

## A. Statutory consultee responses:

RESPONDENT	COMMENTS
(1) Traffic Management Officer, (Thames Valley Police)	<b>No objection</b>
(2) Stagecoach Bus Company	<b>No objection</b> – Stagecoach has no observations of representations to make in this instance, as we are not a bus operator serving Thame.
(3) Thame Town Council	<p><b>Object</b> – Thame Town Council’s Planning &amp; Environment Committee considered the consultation ‘High Street &amp; Park Street area (Thame) Proposed Parking Restrictions’ at its meeting on 18 October 2022. The following response was agreed:</p> <p>Overall Members felt that the proposals were a disservice to the town and not fit for purpose, as there appeared to be a focus on income generation rather than solutions which would benefit residents, businesses, and visitors.</p> <p>Whilst some proposals were welcome and it was recognised that action was needed to address resident’s parking, Members had concern regarding the permit holder proposals. In order to encourage a higher turnover of vehicles, it was suggested that some of the proposed permit holders only zones (purple hatched area) be changed to pay and display with permit holders exempt (yellow hatched area) to allow greater flexibility. Members were unsure if permits applied only to residents, or whether businesses could also apply for permits for their staff. Members also suggested residents’ permits would be more beneficial if the restrictions applied overnight rather than during the daytime, although it was noted that this would mainly benefit residents who work standard daytime hours and would be harder for OCC to enforce. It was felt that Oxfordshire County Council (OCC) should have consulted with residents first, rather than applying a ‘one size fits all’ approach in Thame, which may make issues worse.</p> <p>There is also a need for a more holistic and coordinated view, that considers wider issues relating to active travel, particularly given OCC are due to be publishing a walking and cycling infrastructure plan, as well as parking requirements for</p>

	<p>businesses and from surrounding areas. It was noted that a shared electric vehicle hire club had just launched in Thame and Haddenham, but this would only go so far to address the lack of parking spaces for a growing town.</p> <p>Overall, it was felt that the proposals were a cost inconvenience for the people of Thame without actually addressing the issues our town faces. Whilst some income generation was needed to cover the costs of enforcing the double yellow lines, it was felt that OCC would generate a lot of income for little benefit to the people of Thame.</p>
<p>(4 ) Principal Engineer, (OCC Traffic South, Traffic &amp; Road Safety)</p>	<p><b>Comments</b> – we have interest in PLAN 3, involved with a handful of Nelson Street residents at the moment. Existing problem is Nelson Street is not wide enough for two way traffic with the parking on one side. Results in vehicles running along the footway northbound.</p> <p>Residents want to make it one way southbound (reversing the one-way flow on Rooks Lane). Realistically cannot see this happening anytime soon, if at all.</p> <p>Thoughts are – can the north gap be made long enough to accommodate a southbound vehicle pulling in, and possibility of a central gap?</p>

*B. Online survey responses:*

<b>RESPONDENT</b>	<b>COMMENTS</b>
<p>1499706 Member of public (Thame, n/a)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Too much bureaucracy</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Can this proposal be piloted for a period of time to see if it works and then then get feed back and extend before going ahead.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>object to paying on the public highway no matter for how long the free period is</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Permit holder time should be 24hrs</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Space could be used, there are times when there are no taxis there.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Too much bureaucracy would be involved</p>
<p>1494528 Member of public (Thame, Aylesbury Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Ensure blue badge holders retain free parking with no limit</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Paying for parking will put off people to shop in Thame it has always encouraged shoppers to visit our market town</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Having lived here for 55 years I prefer not paying for parking</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>

<p>1500232 Member of public (Thame, Aylesbury Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> I love Thame I lived here for nearly 19 years and I definitely don't want to be financially punished for shopping at my local shops. I want all high street business to prosper and by introducing "pay for parking" system my high street as I know it will disappear.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> I have explained my reasons for objecting to paid parking in question 3</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> This town is for all its residents, current system is working well and no need to be changed</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Thame is for all its residents there should not be any restrictions</p>
<p>1492935 Member of public (Thame, Blake Way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Not broken why change it</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> This refers to remove waiting restrictions whereas the plan talks of parking park changes. I see no need for any changes. I would like to see the yellow line restrictions enforced at all times.</p>

	<p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b>  What we have now works why change it? It looks like a money-making plan. Please leave it as it is. If you have to make changes get the bus service fixed first so people have an alternative</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Object</b>  you are not clear what you mean by residents. Is it anyone resident in Thame?</p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – Concerns</b>  need to be clear what bays and on what days. The market on a Tuesday works okay now why change it.</p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – Concerns</b>  Suggest the Taxis are moved to the road between Thame Town Hall and shops</p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – Object</b>  The Market Traders use the Cattle market okay</p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – Object</b>  Difficult to have an opinion when it is not clear what you propose.</p>
<p>1493747  Member of public  (Thame, Bridge  Terrace)</p>	<p><b>Scheme in general – Object</b>  There is lack of research as to Thame's ACTUAL needs. Seems like a pen pusher being asked to construct an income generator for the council &amp; subcontractors.</p> <hr/> <p><b>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – Object</b>  Not enough research undertaken to ascertain the needs of Thame as a whole!</p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b>  The current time based system works fine. The proposed scheme is just a cash collecting &amp; profit raising racket for the council &amp; subcontractors!</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Object</b>  Residents parking needs to be outside of office hours but ideally all day.</p>

	<p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> If it 'ain't' broke don't try to fix it</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Residents permits but not entirely convinced the visitors permits will work. But I'm up for persuasion</p>
<p>1557057 Member of public (Thame, Bull Lane)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> As above</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Overall worse for residents and good for coffers of parking companies</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Bad for residents</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> We don't need this, I suspect its just becoming a standard arrangement in South Oxfordshire</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> No need for this</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Like all the above it's going to cost money ( and goodwill) to enforce all of the above. On balance better to have status quo.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Is this a significant need?</p>

	<p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Is there the need to change status quo?</p>
<p>1491581 Member of public (Thame, Cavendish Walk)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Any town that charges for High Street parking ends up with a declining town centre. People do not like the inconvenience of having to obtain a ticket and the risk of being erroneously fined regardless of the cost. Parking needs to be safe and simple for the high street to prosper. I'm also concerned that too many different restrictions covering a single town centre will be confusing, i.e. is this a free spot or a free with a ticket spot of a 2 hour or a 2.5 hour and is it safe to park at all. All parking in front of shops should be under the same restrictions.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> The High Street and Upper High Street are the shopping areas of the town and parking in them should be equally available to everyone who wishes to use the shops. People who chose to live there should have no right to a permanent slice of a shared resource. I have no objection to making residential roads Resident Permit Holder areas but not the commercial ones which need to remain unblocked by long term parking.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> It's a good use of the otherwise empty area and encouraging for the Street Traders.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> Rather than increase the number of unusable prime parking slots in the High Street it would be better for waiting taxis to stop in the cattle market car park and be summoned to the taxi rank by a call button or similar device as used in Hospitals.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> The proposals are to provide free parking spaces on public roads. A residents parking permit for a congested area should not be free but should reflect the level of inconvenience that parking causes to all people who wish to use</p>

	<p>those roads. Owning a house on a street should not entitle people to land grab the public owned utility (roadway) outside it, they could however be allowed to pay the owners (The Town) for its use as a car park.</p>
<p>1497946 Member of public (Thame, Cavendish Walk)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> If charges are introduced it will discourage people from going into Thame town centre and hence be detrimental to trade</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> As previous, introduction of charges will impact on trade</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Residents need to be able to park somewhere</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> I presume this applies to the taxi bays</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1554514 Member of public (Thame, Cheshire Rd)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Paid parking Bays will profit nobody except the company that gets the enforcement contract. Thame has a thriving town centre BECAUSE parking is relatively easy &amp; free. With the proposed 30 mins free, Town Centre shoppers will be stressed &amp; clock-watching.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b></p>



	<p>Paid parking Bays will profit nobody except the company that gets the enforcement contract. Thame has a thriving town centre BECAUSE parking is relatively easy &amp; free. With the proposed 30 mins free, Town Centre shoppers will be stressed &amp; clock-watching. "It ain't broke, so stop trying to fix it"</p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b>  Paid parking Bays will profit nobody except the company that gets the enforcement contract. Thame has a thriving town centre BECAUSE parking is relatively easy &amp; free. With the proposed 30 mins free, Town Centre shoppers will be stressed &amp; clock-watching. "It ain't broke, so stop trying to fix it"</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Object</b>  Many people living in the surrounding streets are away at work between 8am &amp; 6pm. Why not let people working in Thame use the space they vacate? If they aren't working, their car will stay outside their home, so the spot won't be taken by a travelling Thame worker. Visitors coming in to shop wouldn't be looking for a parking space away from the town centre if you don't implement the proposal to put up parking meters.</p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – Object</b>  If there is no street trader using the spot, does it stay empty? If someone parks in it, will they be fined? Do the existing street traders that "informally" use this spot complain about not being able to park there because cars have got in first? Do they</p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – Object</b>  If taxis are causing an obstruction to traffic, then there must be too many of them there waiting. Do they ever get a glut of demand where more than those that can legally fit within the existing rank are required at the same time? If there's not room, th</p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – Object</b>  What a waste of time &amp; effort. How much do the existing permits cost to administer, and how much will the proposed system cost? What are the benefits? There may be good reasons for this, but you haven't explained them.</p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – Object</b>  There is no need. Spaces are not so scarce, demand is not so high.</p>
1492706 Member of public	Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>

<p>(Thame, Cheshire Road)</p>	<p>Parking meters will blight the historic high street. Where will the revenue go? Why change a winning formula for the retail/hospitality outlets. It will drive people to out of town shopping as it has in many other towns.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> High street thriving. Why is change needed?</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> High Street thriving. Other high streets with paid parking are not. Retail/hospitality already under strain. Why drive away custom with extra cost ?</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> If you go to Oxford people drive round and round the residents areas looking for parking. Increased pollution. Increased congestion and frustration.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> This is already a blight. Taxis hanging about fir hours, drivers out iof their cabs hanging around outside Boots blocking the pavement What about a second taxi rank in the Cattle Market or a call system and pick up point rather than more</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> We should use all the spaces. What is the point of empty residents spaces when they are not in use.</p>
<p>1494155 Member of public (Thame, Cheshire Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Other than the Trading Bay where the Kebab van is, and maybe some kind of resident scheme on Park Street, please keep Thame parking as it is to support a thriving high street and town.</p> <hr/>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Thame has a thriving high street and this is partly down to free parking from 1 to 3 hours. Getting people to pay for such periods would drive customers away. Don't wreck a good thing.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Residents who commute to work free their space during working hours when people come into Thame to shop etc. Restricting parking to just residents will leave empty spaces during shop opening hours which will be frustrating for visitors wanting to spend money in the town to see.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> The taxi bay at present is sufficient. Extending it will bring pollution (as they often keep their engines running, many taxi drivers smoke on the pavement next to taxis) and noise.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Why 24 hours? Surely working hours only</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> See previous comments for High Street and Upper High Street. Would support Park Street if number of spaces in Park Street = number of Park Street residents wanting places</p>
<p>1492998 Member of public (Thame, Chiltern Grove)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> If it aint broke there is nothing to fix, this is change for change sake with no benefit to the community at all</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> There is no problem to be solved, parking in Thame has always been free with limited time restrictions and works well, no one would benefit from this proposal apart from the private company that manages it</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> as before, why make it paid for when there isnt a problem</p>

	<p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> again, what we have already works well, why change it?</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> as long as the bay doesnt get longer, its fine as it is</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1500463 Member of public (Thame, chiltern grove)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> We just hope you listen to the concerns and it's not a forgone conclusion. My parents live in Ludlow in Shropshire, a small town like Thame, thriving with shops. Once they brought in charging people now just shop at big out of town superstores rather than</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Parking should be free in Thame, as currently in most places, as it encourages shoppers to stay in town longer and spend money. Charging for parking will just push people to park on already congested residential streets. We also don't believe the money raised will go to the council, but private profit making firms. Parking just needs better monitoring to make sure people aren't breaking any current parking restrictions.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> 30 mins free parking is not enough time to do your shopping, especially if you have mobility issues.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> residents should have a right to park</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> make sense, as long as the times are restricted and monitored</p>

	<p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> agree there should be discussion with residents about what will work best for them</p>
<p>1492594 Member of public (Thame, Chinnor Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Impact on businesses Convenience Cost</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Impact on businesses Convenience for residents Cost impact with cost of living</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Impact on businesses Convenience Cost</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Impact on businesses Convenience Cost</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Impact on businesses Convenience Cost</p>

	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b>  Impact on businesses  Convenience  Cost</p>
<p>1494355  Member of public  (Thame, Chinnor Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  The current system seems to work for most in my opinion. Introducing a scheme which is financially based would not get my support.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b>  As a local resident I feel the current arrangements work</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  I believe the current arrangements work</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b>  Only if they are free to residents</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b>  Only if they are free to residents and visitors</p>
<p>1493271  Member of public  (Thame, Chinnor road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Silly idea</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Businesses will lose money as will put people off</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> Great idea</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1500480 Member of public (Thame, Church road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Meters bad news for shops. Resident parking on high street will push parking down church road where parking already impossible I live in church road and have asked for disabled bay to help me park been waiting a year. I have a degenerative disability so a</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Concerned effect have on highstreet</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> High street will impact on side roads. Concerned my own road which also needs be resident only</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> As above</p>
<p>1489290 Member of public (Thame, Churchill Avenue)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> More flexibility</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> 30 minutes is not enough time to come to town, have a coffee and return to the outlying villages. This is making it very expensive to visit Thame for buying local. It will also be off putting for visitors to the town, making Thame less attractive as a place to visit. This will lead to a reduction in foot fall for the local shops and may lead to many empty shops in the future. 30 minutes in any 24 hour period is too restrictive. Problematic if car is shared and partner not aware that one "popped" into town on the way to work and then other person drops in to but something local or visit bank branch!</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Generally, most of the residents are away during the day. This will lead to large amounts of "available" parking space being empty during the day putting more pressure on the remaining parking spaces. Thame is a Hub for outlying villages and car transport is essential. Hardly any bus services and walking is NOT an option.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> It would be useful for them to have dedicated spaces to park up</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> It would help that the taxi bay area is not split into public and taxi rank space. Better signage would also help.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Free parking is the best solution.</p>



	<p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b>  Just a lot of admin for NO perceivable benefit. (and cost too)</p>
<p>1494805  Member of public  (Thame, Churchill  crescent)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  Doesn't seem to be much consideration for business</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  Death of the high Street, put people off travelling, restrict shopping time. More likely to travel to an area if free and easy ti park</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b>  Shift parking issues elsewhere, residents parking surely not required as much during these times due to work</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b>  Not convinced an extended area is needed taxis are expensive</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b>  Not sure 24hours is a good idea, market days yes, but business hours only would be better</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b>  I've lived in an area with these before, nightmare, put people off visiting</p>
<p>1494582  Member of public  (Thame, Churchill  crescent)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  Makes it more difficult to visit shops when you have kids with you. Need to be quick and having to pay for parking will be a hassle</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b>  Allow residents to park near there homes without having to keep moving the car</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>  Spaces outside sainsburys are extremely handy to pop in quickly to get food</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1489415  Member of public  (Thame, Coombe Hill Crescent)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  If it is not broke - and I don't think it is - then don't fix it</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  Residents will have insufficient parking. This will also drive parking further down Moreton Lane, which already has dangerous and illegal parking at the junction with Coombe Hill Crescent.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b>  No one polices the existing regulations where non taxi cars are often parked illegally. This would be made worse by removing parking spaces for shoppers. Move the taxi rank to the cobbled area around the Town Hall</p>

	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b>  There will not be enough parking spaces for the High Street residents which will force them to park in adjoining roads such as Moreton Lane causing further safety hazards.</p>
<p>1511811  Member of public  (Thame, Corbetts Way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  A consultation with full options first would have left people feeling more involved</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  Parking should remain free in Thame for shoppers - I would support charges over 3 hours</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b>  Resident permits would make more sense 24 hours - those that don't work at home may struggle to park when they get home</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b>  How will this impact on the Tuesday Market</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1550834  Member of public  (Thame, Corbetts Way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  The faff of having to find a machine, get a ticket and return to vehicle to display it when I'm only having a session under 30 mins is an inconvenience that I don't feel is necessary. The current length of stay rules are sufficient in helping spaces to be</p>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b>  For 30minutes Free, the limit of 1 session in any 24-hour period is overly restrictive and will limit the convenience of people making than more than one quick visit to local businesses e.g. a 5 min session to visit dry cleaners, a visit later to buy lunc</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b>  North Street West side from the pedestrian crossing to No.13 should not be permit only. This will prevent use of the library. It should be paid parking bays to encourage visitation to the library.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b>  Help ensure official space for the popular kebab van</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1560771  Member of public  (Thame, Corbetts way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  See previous comments. Stop being greedy. Go back to Oxford and continue to ruin that city. Keep your hands off Thame.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b>  Parking and waiting is relatively easy in Thame to the benefit of shops and livelihood of those concerned.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  Free parking is the backbone of a small town like Thame. It doesn't make any sense to put people off coming into town.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>See previous answer</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> I don't understand this. There's no problem with parking in Thame. Stop being greedy and leave it alone.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> No need</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> No need</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> No need. Seems to work well at the moment</p>
<p>1562039 Member of public (Thame, Corbetts Way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> I would prefer things to stay as they are - not ideal but better than what is being proposed.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> I don't think the proposals will be of benefit to Thame. Plus parking meters in this beautiful town would be an eyesore. Certainly the proposals will not improve the parking situation in Thame.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Will not improve the parking situation, benefit Thame and parking meters will spoil this beautiful market town.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> It seems unfair that some Thame residents and their visitors will have to pay for parking.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b>          Seems unfair to expect them to pay</p>
<p>1555519          As a business          (Thame, Cornmarket)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>          If you are proposing to give 24h free permit parking for residents and traders in the upper car park where are victors to Thame going to park!</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>          Free parking time period of 30 minuets is to short</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>          I object to the 30 minuets free parking time being to short. This needs to be extended to a minimum of 1 hour. This will give a shopper time to visit a couple of shops quickly. 30 minuets is just not long enough, by the time you are out of the car visited and paid for your shopping you will need longer.          However, the rest of the paid parking times I support.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b>          This depends on location! It must not restrict customer parking within the High Street or Cornmarket of Thame. If permit holders can park all day within the centre of Thame this will discourage shoppers to visit the Town.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>          We do not need any more taxi bays. Two taxis in Thame High Street at a time is more than enough for Thame. The current bays are always filled and extra taxi parked in the town are using customer parking bays. I think any extra taxis should be parked and w</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b>          Why do you want to give traders parking in the centre of Thame. All this does is remove parking for customers shopping and visiting the Town Centre. As a trader we have found parking for our van away from the High Street. However, traders do need to come</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b></p>

	As mentioned before, this depends on location.
1559641 As a business (Thame, cornmarket)	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> While there has been a need to "sort out parking in Thame" since the deregulation of parking (IE, the loss of the Traffic Warden to save money), it has not required the introduction of pay for parking. This is a lazy solution engineered to ensure maximum</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> I am assuming that this regards the Proposed Paid Parking: 30 mins free in a 24hr period means that I cannot just pop back in when I have forgotten something - a turn off. Paying for parking where it was once free will ensure that my customers will disappear for a period before they accept that Thame has turned into a town like all of the other towns out there". People will not walk to save a quid! They will go elsewhere Will businesses be compensated while the customers sort themselves out for the first couple of months when our sales dip 20%? Sainsbury and Waitrose car parks will become saturated with cars or start charging!</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> YOU'VE CHANGED THE ORDER OF YOUR ANSWERS FROM THE PREVIOUS QUESTION... As in the previous answer, 30 mins in a 24 hour period is RIDICULOUS. no return within 2.5 hours is a nonsense compromise between the 3 hour main car park and 2 hour on road parking. THE MAIN CAR PARK, FREE FOR THREE HOURS MUST NOT DISAPPEAR</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> While I sympathise to some degree with town centre dwellers, there is a choice to live in Thame centre and the residents should accept the limitations of their location. I am happy for residents to be given permits to park, but I am NOT happy to exclude some parking spaces for use when the residents are "away". To see unused parking bays in the centre of Thame will be doing an injustice to those needing to park during the day</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Unnecessary. This will introduce undue bureaucracy and cost for the street traders that already operate successfully in the centre of Thame and are part of the heritage and makeup of the town</p>

	<p>This seems to be a solution looking for a problem (and to gene</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b>  Again, this looks like a solution looking for a problem. Sometimes I will see a taxi parked in a normal parking bay, but I don't think we have an issue with lots of taxis needed in tyhe centre of Thame. all it will do is reduce the number of parking bays</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b>  We have a weekly market. We do not need or want anything else in Thame. Again, revenue generation at the expense of local businesses</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b>  Only support if no residents parking is created and that the visitors parking is for a max of 24hrs</p>
<p>1493336  Member of public  (Thame, COTMORE  GARDENS)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  I belive parking should remain free in Thame High St</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1554177  Member of public</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p>



<p>(Thame, Cotmore Gardens)</p>	<p>Bad idea , machines on the pavement causing obstruction. Every one will use the supermarket car park s for free</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> X</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> Will stop people shopping</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Haven't needed before why now ? It's only in the evening</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> 2 spaces are enough, just will encourage there bad parking and littering the pavement</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Why , it's not like we are overrun with traders</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> People need some where to park</p>
<p>1558017 Member of public (Thame, Croft Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> The whole exercise is just another excuse to get more money out of residents and businesses and does not benefit the town. It will only drive visitors away from Thame and will be the beginning of the end of the High Street in this so far thriving market t</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> I see no reason to change the current arrangement which is working well</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>This will kill the high street and put visitors off from coming to Thame and supporting local businesses. I see this only to be benefiting the council who is treating it as a money raising opportunity.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Residents should have the right to park outside their house without having to pay, especially in the current economic crisis . This is yet another example of the council exploiting the situation and raising money where it is not justified</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Why? Don't traders pay enough in taxes and business rates? Why punish them further with additional cost. This will only discourage traders to visit and invest in Thame. Very opportunistic of the council to yet again introduce payment while you should be</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> As per my answer to question 8 - Why? Don't traders pay enough in taxes and business rates? Why punish them further with additional cost. This will only discourage traders to visit and invest in Thame. Very opportunistic of the council to yet again introd</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Charging people for parking outside their homes is unconscionable and insulting. If you go ahead with your plans, residents in affected properties should have their council tax reduced.</p>
<p>1489771 Member of public (Thame, Cromwell Avenue)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Leave Thame high street alone and parking, Oxford Council are always looking for ways to penny pinch via car parks. People will not shop at sainsburys and other shops and 30 mins free is a joke - no where near enough time to do shopping!</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> They should stay to ensure cars do not block the roads.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>Only reason to charge for parking is so the council can make money. There is no need for this in Thame and the spaces turn over perfectly fine with the current restrictions as they are and free parking.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> In theory this is a good idea for residents, however if they are at work and the space is free, why can't someone else park there.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> This decreases available parking for the public. I would propose moving the taxi bay further out of the main shopping area , which would still be accessible but wouldn't prevent the public from parking.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1523482 As a business (Thame, East)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Paid parking will kill Thame and the wonderful town we have</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Free Parking in Thame is vital to maintain the vibrancy and independence of the town. Paid parking will seriously reduce custom and drive more business into the hands of Tesco et al</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Destruction of Thame town vibrancy and easy access - simply replaced by a money grabbing exercise</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Why .. it works fine currently,</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Thame parking is fine as it is - please do not ruin this great town</p>

	<p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Why? Don't mess with a town that works well</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> No</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> No</p>
<p>1498798 Member of public (Thame, East Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Having free parking in Thame High Street means that I and many, many others decide to shop locally. I need to drive to Thame for shopping and other services and free parking facilitates this easily. I would stop visiting Thame High Street in favour of one</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> I would stop shopping in Thame High Stret</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> There are enough already</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1493087 Member of public</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p>

<p>(Thame, Esingdon drive)</p>	<p>Concern that the scheme will cause hassle, create vultures of parking wardens in town and end up hurting the commercial viability of Thame Town centre</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b>  Our thriving Town Centre is helped by highly convenient free parking. I am a strong supporter of green initiative and also community. Its not the cost, but the hassle that I object to. Also the wasted money going to any enforcement bodies. The bigger issue with town centre parking is lack of adherence to existing restrictions. I would support higher fines for parking on double yellows etc, rather than fees for on street parking.  Free parking all over the town is what has kept thame thriving. We mostly walk, but not if time is important, so parking is still vital.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  See previous comments</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b>  To maximise utility of space, don't restrict</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>  Already prime space in town, usually underutilised</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b>  Too much hassle, faff, frustrating. Another thing to worry about being fined for. Creates anger and sadness</p>
<p>1488994  Member of public  (Thame, Fanshawe Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  Having to pay for any more than 30mins parking I really fear will kill the beautiful, independent town centre we love about Thame!</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  Free parking is a significant reason I visit Thame town centre in my lunch break when working from home - i wouldn't have time if I had to walk so I wouldn't be spending my money in the town</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1489028  Member of public  (Thame, Glenham  Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  I don't think that charging for parking that was previously free will help encourage shoppers to use our town centre. I also think that having a designated trader bay and extending the taxi rank will reduce the number of spaces available which is not good</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b>  More parking would be good.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  I don't think that charging for parking that was previously free will help encourage shoppers to use our town centre. I also think that having a designated trader bay and extending the taxi rank will reduce the number of spaces available which is not good.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b>  Residents need somewhere to park.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>Having a designated trader bay and extending the taxi rank will reduce the number of spaces available which is not good.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>  Having a designated trader bay and extending the taxi rank will reduce the number of spaces available which is not good.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b>  May result in lack of parking for shoppers and residents.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b>  They need somewhere to park</p>
<p>1495795  Member of public  (Thame, Goffe Close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  In a cost of living crisis with lots of external pressures on household incomes an introduction of parking charges seems unwise. It will make people look for residential streets nearby where they can park for free and thus causing congestion in other part</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  For all the reasons aforementioned.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>

<p>1495069 Member of public (Thame, Greenway)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b></p> <p>1) It appears from the application that those with a disabled badge will continue to have designated bays in which they can park free of charge. Thame is home to many seniors. A lot of these seniors still drive but do not qualify for a disabled designation. Walking to town for necessities is not possible for many of these people. My Father is disabled but my Mother is not. It is not possible for him to be in the car whenever my Mother needs to go in to the town. She is not able, at 82 years old to walk to town and then again home carrying groceries. Paying for parking will add a new and unnecessary cost to their fixed and very tight budget.</p> <p>2) If it is possible to 'mitigate potential displacement into adjoining roads and residential areas.' then surely this should have already been done in areas such as Lashlake Estate which is used on a daily basis for cars that are not able to park in town. The parking in this estate is a very dangerous hazard for those who live in the area and who are either attempting to leave, or access, their homes and to those who walk, often with children. Cars are not only parked on streets but also on corners and pathways. This issue has not been addressed and no solution found for a number of years. With this in mind I can only imagine the practice of parking in residential areas, impeding access for residents to worsen, on all streets near to the town centre.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b></p> <p>I don't believe that these permits will help to stop the displacement of parking from the town centre into residential areas. Residents, especially seniors, need visitors such as family, carers etc. Permits for residents will hinder this.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents, especially seniors, need visitors such as family, carers etc. This may help the displacement of parking from the town centre</p>
---	---



<p>1494651 Member of public (Thame, Grenville Way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Don't fix something which isn't broken</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> I currently drive into town about 4/5 times a week for shopping/coffee/lunch, I am always able to get a parking space along the high street (2hrs spots) don't have to try the upper car park, which I always find full. How will the proposal help me, I'll have to pay to Park with this proposal, more people will try to use the free upper/lower to avoid paying so more people going round to find a spot, which is something you say the new system will help with. I can't walk into town, I don't qualify for a blue badge, many people are the same. I will certainly not travel into Thame, I will go to out of town places and my money will be spent elsewhere. NOT ON PARKING</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> So free parking spaces lost to permit holders</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> So more spots lost on the high street, the current system seems to work, although the taxis currently take over the free bays sometimes so all I see happening is they will fill the new ones plus more after 6</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> So the free parking will go to traders and residents with permits</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Same as before</p>
<p>1554469 Member of public (Thame, Hampden Avenue)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Introducing on-street pay and display parking to such a limited extent offers no benefits and creates additional cost that the taxpayer will ultimately foot the bill for</p>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> This will have a detrimental impact on the health of the high street and simply force people to queue to park in the main car parks for no gain from the status quo</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> This will have an adverse effect on adjacent businesses and would not be required were it not for the proposed pay and display parking elsewhere</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> I rarely see the existing rank empty so cannot see the need to lose a parking bay that could be used by any member of the public</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> No need for this if the pay and display parking is not implemented</p>
<p>1499720 Member of public (Thame, Hawthorn Avenue)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Same</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Because the council thinks its OK to build loads of houses without improving the infrastructure. So it's going to make it more difficult for the elderly to park in Thame.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Just answered that question.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b></p>

	<p>Just answered that question.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Same answer.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Same answer.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Same.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Same</p>
<p>1503450 Member of public (Thame, Hazelrig)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> This is a money making exercise, we need people to visit to keep the town going not making people pay all the time, there is a cost of living crisis and this doesn't help</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> I don't think they should be paid it will restrict people from visiting Thame</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> I don't think they are necessary it isn't like we have a station that fills up with parked cars, there is no need to charge they residents to park</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> The vans often use the free car parks and they are too large</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> No issues</p>

	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b>  I think it will end of full  Of traders vehicles rather than members of the public bringing foot fall to Thame</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b>  I don't think these residents should pay to park</p>
<p>1558302  Member of public  (Thame, Henrietta  Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  The negative implications for shops/businesses in Thame if charges are introduced.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b>  Big change from existing rules which work well in Thame</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b>  Some streets are very overcrowded &amp; residents find it difficult to park near their own homes</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b>  ease congestion in a busy area of town</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b>  this may limit the number of spaces for general users</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b>  I need more information</p>
<p>1492975  Member of public  (Thame, Henry Blyth  Gardens)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  The parking in Thame works well at the moment. Leave it alone.</p>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>          What we have now works and popping into Thame is easy with the 2 hour free parking arrangement already in place. Forcing people to pay and display will make it less convenient for visitors and will discourage visitors. Add to that the litter from parking machines. It's a bad idea.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b>          I agree that residents need somewhere to park but alot of residents have bought these properties in full knowledge of the parking challenges in the town. Alot of families now have 2 cars and the older properties were never planned for 2 car families. What happens when all cars are EV and these residents need to home charge. Not only will the permits be an issue it opens a whole can of worms for council around charging infrastructure.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b>          Residents yes. Visitors no.</p>
<p>1488568          Member of public          (Thame, High Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>          Introduces charging will kill the town and OCC will be responsible for the towns demise</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b>          No changes are required to this element</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>          It is unacceptable for parking charges to be introduced, we want a busy high street in Thame, not a lot of empty shops.</p>

	<p>No one wants to pay for parking. You will kill the town if you introduce parking charges and freedom of information requests will be submitted to assess the impact</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> The current system works well</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> The taxi bay is only used over weekend evenings, you will take away parking spaces for others for the rest of the week just to benefit taxis for two or three evenings a week</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Na</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> We don't require this in Thame</p>
<p>1513916 As a business (Thame, High Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> as per previous comments</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> I work in Thame, on the High Street, as part of my job, I need to use my car on a daily basis, removing free parking will affect those that are on minimum wages especially now rates and general cost of living is rising, having to pay for parking every couple of hours, 6 days a week will leave some individuals/families tight, it will soon add up.. plus the smaller businesses will be affected also by this. less people coming to Thame, soon the town will become quiet, businesses will close.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> as per my previous response</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> there may not be enough spaces for all properties affected</p>

	<p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> not enough spaces in day, and evening, plenty of spaces. restaurants will be affected - which could result in closure</p>
<p>1505461 Member of public (Thame, Hunt)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Not happy with charges being made, where the income won't actually be spent on Thame</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> It will deter visitors</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Residents need to be able to park</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> If the bay is only used in an evening, it's valuable space that won't be available during the day, unless restrictions only apply at set times</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> The road won't be blocked</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> So long as there will still be other spaces left for the rest of us</p>

<p>1493950 Member of public (Thame, Hunt road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Thame struggles with parking at the best of times. But charging for more car parks will put people off visiting the town</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Thame is a small market town. Many locals use it daily to get shopping and go to shops with their families. They won't be able to do this if they are having to pay for parking each time. It will therefore put people off coming into the town</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> Brings more income and people into the town</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Parking is limited enough as it is in the town Centre</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> People need to know they have set spaces to park</p>
<p>1561617 Member of public (Thame, Ireton Court)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Thame needs free parking</p>



	<p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> This will stop people coming in to Thame to shop</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> The public need parking</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Not enough parking at the moment</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> Support without fees</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Free parking is essential for Thame to compete against out of town shops</p>
<p>1493187 Member of public (Thame, John Fulkes Avenue)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> It feels like a two pronged attack, one to discourage people from shopping in Thame at the same time trying to drive an additional revenue stream by the council. I am so fed up of the attacks on car drivers by councillors with a zealous green agenda.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Because Thame is a frequently busy, thriving town. We need to keep the existing free parking as it is.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> We want to encourage more not less people to visit our town and spend money in the shops.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> This has never been an issue, and just comes across as a way for the council to enforce additional revenues.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Because its not a requirement</p>

	<p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>  Why is that a requirement? The existing situation is perfectly sufficient</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b>  Not a requirement. Not an issue, just a cynical way for the authority to make additional revenue.</p>
<p>1500334  Rather not say  (Thame, Kings Close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  Thame parking isn't enough as it is for the number of people who live on Thame let alone visitors. Restrictions will be chaotic</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b>  As a resident of Kings Close. We already have problems with town workers and residents parking here all day so residents cannot park near their homes. The road is a mess and cars are already parked on pavements. This will make things even worse for us residents and unfair that we have not been considered in potential permit parking. Some of us don't have driveways and access to our homes due to no rear access. Its going to be a major problem for us</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  As a resident of Kings Close. We already have problems with town workers and residents parking here all day so residents cannot park near their homes. The road is a mess and cars are already parked on pavements. This will make things even worse for us residents and unfair that we have not been considered in potential permit parking. Some of us don't have driveways and access to our homes due to no rear access. Its going to be a major problem for us page</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b>  As a resident of Kings Close. We already have problems with town workers and residents parking here all day so residents cannot park near their homes. The road is a mess and cars are already parked on pavements. This will make things even worse for us residents and unfair that we have not been considered in potential permit parking. Some of us don't have driveways and access to our homes due to no rear access. Its going to be a major problem for us page</p>

	<p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> This will not stop people parking in our road</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Taxi's are hardly there anyway and it will take away even more parking</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Not enough parking as it is for public. It's all very well building properties but we haven't got the infrastructure as it is . Should of approved the Tescos on bypass. That would of helped this</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Missing out Kings Close and making the proposed streets permit holders is only going to increase traffic flow and parking issues for us.</p>
<p>1559546 Member of public (Thame, Kingsey Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Will make shopping in Thame much more difficult. 1/2 hour free is not long enough to go to more than one shop, even sometimes not enough time to go to just one shop if they have a queue to pay. Will have a hugely detrimental effect on the shops and atmosphere of Thame, I cannot imagine that many of the independent shops and cafes will survive if these proposed parking charges are brought in. Plus, the actual pay and display units themselves, along with all the signs for them, will further clutter up the pavements making it harder for older people, like myself, to get around in a wheelchair or mobility scooter.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> .</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> .</p>

	<p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>  The taxis already take up much of the spaces outside sainsburys and McColls. They may have 2/3 spaces, but they like to use more, and some are quite aggressive. I have difficulty waking, and need to have my career park near the shops, and extending the ta</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b>  I've had these in London before. Start cheap and the price rises every year. Within a few years are unaffordable!</p>
<p>1527309  Member of public  (Thame, Langdale Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  I strongly object to these proposals. Thame has, in contrast to many other small towns, a vibrant and busy town centre. Introducing parking charges risks jeopardising this, and brings with it no noticeable benefits. The current arrangements work well a</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  Thame has a vibrant town centre, with many independent shops and businesses. If complex parking charges were introduced, this would deter people from visiting the town, threatening these shops and businesses.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b>  Permits would not be needed if free parking continued</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b>  The existing taxi bays never seem to be full - this would remove parking spaces, which would not be used by taxis</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>If charges are introduced that were not there before then this would place an unnecessary additional cost burden on street traders at the current time of rapidly increasing costs - and might threaten the vibrancy of the weekly market.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b>  The current system works well - introducing permits would be complex and expensive, and would deter people from visiting Thame</p>
<p>1550089  Member of public  (Thame, Langdale road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b>  Negative impact on local shops, impact on cost of living</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  Negative impact on shops and cost of living</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1494824  Member of public  (Thame, London Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  It's fine how it is. Free parking is a wonderful perk of living in Thame</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  I think current restrictions are fine</p>

	<p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> This will not benefit the community. It roll only put more money in the deep pockets of govt</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Not needed</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1492860 Member of public (Thame, Ludlow Drive)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> as before, extra costs will cause shoppers to shun the high street - costs are spiralling and this will be too much</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> i believe that the charges that are proposed will adversely affect our high street - shop owners will have to put prices up to reflect the new high energy costs, and a parking charge on top will i believe cause people to stay away.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> as before, extra costs will cause shoppers to shun the high street - costs are spiralling and this will be too much</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> i think the space is big enough</p>

	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b> i think the current trader system is excellent. They need permits to park on the double yellow lines on Tuesdays.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> there are enough problems already, i think this would restrict things further and adversely affect the current generally OK system regarding parking</p>
<p>1494987 Member of public (Thame, Ludsdan Grove)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Not needed, works fine now, less restrictions is better, I'm not paying, then I have better choice elsewhere</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> It is good and easy as it easy, I don't want to pay every time. Might just go to Aylesbury then.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Why, works fine now. Will go elsewhere if I have to pay.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Not needed if no change but if town is ruined by parking fees then these will be needed, more admin</p>
<p>1491611 Member of public (Thame, Markus Avenue)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Don't change Thame parking. It currently works well. The town is vibrant</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>I like to spend more than 30 mins walking through Thame with my Kids, and stopping off at cafe and shops. It's free flowing currently and always find a place to park. I don't feel anything needs to change.</p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Concerns</b> 30mins is not long enough to shop or use a cafe</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Object</b> We don't need permits. This a is a sledgehammer to crack a nut</p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – Concerns</b> I don't know what you mean but this statement. Where would this apply to?</p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – Object</b> This works fine currently. This doesn't need expanding</p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – Concerns</b> Why???</p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – Object</b> Spending money to set ties infrastructure up, then charge everyone to get the money back. False economy and make it better for residents. Don't change the current system. It works. Thame is vibrant and more appealing than most towns due to the ability to park for free for a hour or two.</p>
<p>1491620 Member of public (Thame, Markus Avenue)</p>	<p><b>Scheme in general – Object</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – Object</b> I'd prefer to leave the parking as it is</p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b> Free parking is one of the great things about thame. It makes me shop local. If the convenience is taken away and charges apply I'd likely shop elsewhere</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – No opinion</b></p>



	<p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1523566 Member of public (Thame, Marston Rd, Thame)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Not required and never will be. It seems LibDem coalition controlled OCC have a highways dept that is bored and looking for things to screw up as you are with LTN's and Bus gates in Oxford. We don't want it in Thame.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Nothing to be gained for the parking public as it will be permits, so pointless for general use.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> There nothing wrong with current parking conditions. Why should we be forced to mess about with ticket machines. Totally unnecessary. We haven't need them for the 52 years I have been parking in Thame and we don't need them now. Another classic LibDem anti- car campaign where it's not required. I certainly will not be using them so if I can't park on the main streets as now then I will shop elsewhere. You really are not fit to run a council and for sure will be voted out next time.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Complete loss of street parking in the Upper High Street parking area. If the "free"? car park is full then more businesses will lose trade. People will have no choice but shop elsewhere. You are deliberately driving shoppers out of town. As we will with LibDem councillors at the next election.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Taxis already take over spaces that are not designated for their use. It's a disgrace and Thame Town Council have done nothing about it.</p>

	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Permits are charged for in Oxford so one has to assume that will be your next money grab if you are allowed to get away with this farce.</p>
<p>1493011 Member of public (Thame, Marston Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> We need more free parking</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Thame hasn't got enough parking and if you charge you will push people out of the town</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> You will push people out of parking in the town if you charge</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> You'll have nowhere to put them as Thame needs all it's public parking</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> We don't need anymore taxi parking,they take up non taxi parking when there's is full so I suggest you tell them that</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1492839 Member of public (Thame, Mercian Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b>  Totally unnecessary. The parking is well looked after by traffic officers, people are sane enough to not overstay maximum allowed time. Most just come for few minutes and then go. Paid parking would discourage many local people from visiting town centre for small shopping, coffee and/or post office. Thus, small local businesses would suffer from this, just to some external private parking company to thrive.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b>  Free parking time too short.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>  They take too much space already. Rude and overpriced taxi services anyway.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1547760  Member of public  (Thame, Mitchell Close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  I think this is an unnecessary and over complicated set of proposals which will not provide any meaningful benefits. Thank you for allowing me to make my comments.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  These proposals are unnecessarily complicated and purely a money making exercise, which will cost more to implement than will provide an income.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  See previous comment to question four.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b>  This is unnecessarily complicated.</p>

	<p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Only one such parking bay is required.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Too many taxi parking bays already.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b> If this restriction is only for the weekly market I do not object.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> No observations.</p>
<p>1492424 Member of public (Thame, moreton)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Do not put parking meaters in Thame why are occ trying to kill our beautiful town</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> One of the main reasons why Thame thrives is that parking is free, we have a lot of visitors from local villages that support time shops. if we reduse our free parking this will have a massive detrimental effect to our beautiful town centre economy.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> One of the main reasons why Thame thrives is that parking is free, we have a lot of visitors from local villages that support time shops. if we reduse our free parking and introduce parking meaters this will have a massive detrimental effect to our beautiful town centre economy.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p>

	Residents & Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b>
1489092 Member of public (Thame, Nelson st)	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> It is important to find a solution that cares for all residents of thame and doesn't just push the problem onto the next street; in this case; Nelson st and Elms Rd</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Other streets, such as Nelson st, East st etc will feel the effects of this heavily</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> 30 mins is not long enough for people to pop into town. This will drive the death of Thames wonderful high st. Make it 1hr free.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Monday to Friday is reasonable. Saturday is a weekend.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Support if free to residents.</p>
1508185 As a business (Thame, Nelson Street)	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> You're taking a small problem and making it much worse by driving out the visitors and shoppers because the Upper High Street car park will get full far more quickly.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b></p>

	<p>Whilst I welcome the "no changes proposed" to Upper High Street car park, this car park, however become more congested and harder to find spaces in, simply due to the knock-on effect of the proposals. If only the first 30 minutes are free in the proposed</p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b> This will push more traffic into Upper High Street car park, making that much harder to find a space.</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Object</b> It is already almost impossible for anyone to load/unload at the theatre on Nelson Street. A load-in for a visiting show can take anything up to two hours. Sometimes a truck can get a parking space on Nelson Street if they wait for one long enough, but making it Residents Only will make it impossible for us to invite visiting shows.</p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – Concerns</b> Yes issue a permit, but don't charge for it. They'll just avoid it by parking elsewhere and pushing the problem onto local residential streets.</p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – Object</b> There are too many taxis in Thame blocking access to shopfronts and kerbsides. Encouraging them to stay longer will just make the problem worse.</p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – Object</b> Again, the Upper High Street is nearly always full anyway. Allowing traders to use the Upper High car park will make it harder for shoppers and visitors to the town centre. Traders already have the option of storing vehicles in the Cattle Market car park.</p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – Cocnerns</b> No mention of these in the proposals. Please explain.</p>
<p>1496787 Member of public (Thame, Newbarn Close)</p>	<p><b>Scheme in general – Object</b> Thame is a wonderful, vibrant Market Town, the High Street is full of shops which draws in many visitors. I've lived here for over 40 years and I feel bringing in parking charges is a big mistake. We can object as much as we like, but like everything in</p> <hr/>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> The centre of Thame has always been FREE parking, which encourages people to shop in our town. Enforcing charges will deter visitors.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> People who currently live in the areas, will have to purchase permits whereas they can currently park for free.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> The taxi bay should be allocated in a different area, they cause disruption to the high street traffic and these bays would be more useful as disabled bays, there are very few in Thame.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Charging traders for permits, is just another draw on their income in times where it's hard enough to make ends meet.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1506899 Member of public (Thame, Northstreet)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> gov need to stop bleeding public of all their money.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> regarding permits</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> People who live in town need to park.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> obviously we live here, so permits would be great.</p>
<p>1502129 Member of public (Thame, Onslow drive)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> This will limit the ability to park in town and patronise local businesses. The majority of local businesses have leaflets on their doors/windows opposing this scheme. They must have a reason to do so!</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> I do not want anything to change. I also expect every single question in this questionnaire as being loaded towards making people pay for parking; therefore, I object.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> It should be free for one hour or more to allow people to patronise local businesses. I have lived in Nottingham and saw the effect of parking restrictions imposed twelve years ago: It destroys businesses.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> This is a backdoor way of imposing more parking restrictions. Residents may at first park for less but in the end higher charges will be brought in. OWNING A CAR IS NOT A CRIME.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Again, a way of limiting car parking options in Thame. This will impact negatively on the local businesses.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> See previous comment: A creeping way of forbidding car owners to patronise local businesses.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Current rules work fine. No need to add extra cost to traders.</p>



	<p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Residents and visitors should not be penalised to park their vehicles to patronise local businesses.</p>
<p>1544116 Member of public (Thame, Onslow Drive)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Detrimental affect on Thame businesses</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> I think it will detrimentally affect business in Thame.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Detrimental affect on Thame businesses.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Detrimental affect on Thame businesses.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Detrimental affect on Thame Businesses.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Detrimental affect on Thame businesses.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Detrimental affect on Thame businesses</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Detrimental affect on businesses</p>
<p>1493088 Member of public (Thame, Oxford rd)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Terrible idea. Leave thame alone.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>These are not required. Thame functions well as it is</p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b>  Absolutely no need for this. Already good turn over if spaces. Free parking and trouble free parking is one of the attractions for shoppers and supports local businesses. This plan is not supported by the local people. Thame parking is functioning well as it is</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Object</b>  No need for this. Creating a problem when there isn't one</p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – Concerns</b>  Not needed</p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – Object</b>  Again why do this? Not needed</p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – Object</b>  Not required</p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – Object</b>  Parking is fine as it is  Unnecessary bureaucracy and ecpanse</p>
<p>1495175  Member of public  (Thame, Oxford road)</p>	<p><b>Scheme in general – Object</b>  Thame is a beautiful town and great to 'pop' in to. It has a lovely vibrant mix of visitors and space to park for everyone at the moment. I feel the proposals are purely a money making scheme and a waste of time and money. Why try and fix what isn't brok</p> <hr/> <p><b>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – Support</b>  Particularly outside the war memorial, the double yellow lines should be reduced to allow for more parking spaces as there appears to be plenty of room</p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b></p>

	<p>The parking spaces here are well used and ensure a through flow of visitors into the town. If you make these paid spaces these cars will then take up the 'free spaces' in the top car park making it impossible to find parking. You will end up making Thame a difficult town to park in and people will go elsewhere.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Where would these be offered. My parents live in lash lake estate aka Waitrose staff car park. The parking in this estate is quite frankly dangerous! The cars are left all day on each and every junction making pulling out of the roads truly a challenge! N</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> The trader here is always here anyway so I see no difference to this proposal other than to make it an official space</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Why not make the taxi rank the other side of the town hall? The taxis rank is often empty and in this day and age people have mobile phones to call up a taxi personally. If they have to wait then move them to where they are out of the way and make those s</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Again, I hope these proposals will include lash lake estate where all traders/workers and especially Waitrose staff park for the day</p>
<p>1567541 Member of public (Thame, Oxford road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Pay fir parking will discourage visitors and harm business</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Will discourage visits</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> Will reduce available parking</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Helps avoid parking elsewhere</p>
<p>1488788 Member of public (Thame, Park Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Please advise what you propose for Park Street and North Street</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> There is no mention of what happens to Park Street</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> Until you decide on the surrounding area, people will park further away from the town and use parking which residents use.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Please see previous comments.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> I thought they had this already</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Can you please advise what you foresee for Park Street as this will have a knock on effect for residents.</p>

<p>1491532 Member of public (Thame, Park street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Its a money making scheme that will decrease parking capacity and doesn't meet the needs of residents. Furthermore, will devalue housing.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Will there be enough Permit holder spaces for parents with young children along park street. These spaces won't be available for pay and display for people working in the town. Spaces along East Street where people have widened drives without a drop of cu</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> That this isn't applicable to permit holders</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Enough spaces and permits for all residents both day and night and these spaces are not made available to town users</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Spaces will become a premium and it will make parking with young children difficult or parking to unload. There are not enough spaces per household for residents on park street. Residents with drives should be refused permits to make it fair for those residents who don't have available parking.</p>
<p>1493001 Member of public (Thame, Park Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p>

I strongly object to these ill-considered ideas in my town; a place where I contribute lots of money and creativity. Cars bring people, sustaining jobs and families. On the other hand, electric cars should be an urgent priority - especially solving chargi

---

Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – **No opinion**

Paid parking bays – **Object**

Thame is a thriving town containing many small businesses who rely on footfall. Creating paid parking will only inhibit the ability for businesses to attract customers, especially those who offer hospitality - nobody can have lunch in 30 minutes. In addition, this will push people to residential areas to search for free parking, making it very difficult for local residents - and I one of those. Finally, this money will not be going to enhance the transport infrastructure, only line the pockets of contractors. It is immoral and belies a deep misunderstanding of the community.

Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – **Object**

This will not help residents, it will simply push people further into residential areas to search for non-restricted parking. This is not requested or needed by those of us who live here. Invest your time looking at - for example - more electric charging.

Formalised Street Traders only Bay – **No opinion**

Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – **Object**

The taxi bay is neither over-subscribed or especially busy - whereas the parking spaces around it are in constant use feeding the revenues of business close by, many of which are unique to our town. This is an idea from a planner who has not understood ho

24 hour permits for traders – **No opinion**

Residents & Visitors` Parking Permits – **Object**

What a complete waste of administrative effort to solve an issue which does not exist. I live here and do not want the added stress of sorting permits, when there is no issue at present. Again, nobody but contractors will benefit from this daft idea which will keep valuable tourism from our streets.

<p>1518223 Member of public (Thame, Park Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> I'm not sure any major changes are necessary. It's certainly not perfect as it is, but I'm not sure what is proposed will make much difference. Surely measures to reduce overall traffic levels in Thame would be better? At the moment there are simply no sh</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> As a resident with off-street parking in Park Street I am concerned that, according to the proposal, we will not be eligible for visitors permits. Is this an error in the proposal, or is it intentional? If intentional, then I would like to register my strong objection, as we have guests and visiting tradespeople the same as everyone else in the road. Why should we be penalised when we have reduced the demand for on-street parking by providing our own? Furthermore, we have regular visits from support workers and therapists for our disabled son - not all of whom are affiliated to organisations who could apply for carers permits. We currently use on-street parking as infrequently as possible out of consideration for our neighbours, but we do need to vacate our own off-street parking on occasion, for property maintenance, carers visits, etc. To prevent us from obtaining and using visitors permits on the same basis as our neighbours is both illogical and discriminatory.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> As mentioned above, I have concerns about our eligibility for visitors parking permits and object to being excluded from obtaining them. Overall, whilst I recognise that the current parking situation is far from ideal, I am not at all convinced that the proposed scheme will make any appreciable difference and will simply become yet another bureaucratic annoyance for Thame's residents. Nor am I convinced that it would provide value for council taxpayers money, as I think it is highly unlikely that revenues from parking charges will match or exceed the costs of enforcement. It would be far better to improve public transport within the town and to/from outlying villages, and</p>
--	---

	<p>to provide new shops and facilities for the extensive new housing developments around the town. Why was this not required of the developers in the first place? Traffic and demand for parking in the centre of Thame has increased noticeably and substantially over the last few years, largely because our town's new residents have no alternative to driving when they need to shop.</p>
<p>1492673 Member of public (Thame, Park Terrace)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> The town centre parking should remain free. The position of the council is in order to make money and 'increase the turnover' of the parking.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> We live in a road adjacent to Park Street and believe this will have a significant impact on parking in our small road.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> As per my previous comment. We live in a small street adjacent to Park Street and I believe this will have a significant effect on daytime parking in our small road.</p>
<p>1492756 Member of public (Thame, Parliament Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> I do not feel you need to change the parking structure in Thame.</p>



	<p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  Not having to pay for parking in Thame makes it a great place to shop. There is absolutely no need to change the way thame works with its parking</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b>  I think this would be an idea but should be up to the individual streets.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>  There is no need to change the parking in thame</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1492911  Member of public  (Thame, Pearce Way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  OCC are trying to kill town centres</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b>  By introducing parking fees you will destroy the town centre</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  See previous answer</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b>  Residents need to park somewhere</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b>  Traders need somewhere to park or they go out of business which basically what OCC want! Why?</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>Taking up more parking bays for motorists</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> So you want traders to work through the night.....</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> If it is not broke don't fix it</p>
<p>1497520 Member of public (Thame, Pelham Rd)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Parking should remain free.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Introducing car parking charges will put people off coming into Thame.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Parking should remain free to promote footfall in the town's businesses.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> This will give local residents a chance to park.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Seems fair to allow local people to park.</p>
<p>1494410 Member of public (Thame, Pickenfield)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  Ruin the look of the Town  Profit making only  Will discourage shopping locally  Will stop ‘pop in’ purchases  Will drive people away from Thane and local shopping</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b>  As before. Will discourage people from parking and spending locally.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b>  More positive reasons for people to shop and stay in Thame</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>  There are already way too many taxis. Just waiting around chatting. If they are not there too many spaces are left unused which is a waste if good parking</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b>  Why is this necessary. Not needed. I unsightly</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1495088  Member of public  (Thame, Priest end)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b>  Thame needs to keep free and simple parking because it encourages people to use the high street. I rarely struggle to find a parking space if I drive into the town centre so I don’t believe it’s overcapacity</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>People queuing at unsightly parking meters, litter of discarded tickets, will lead to a slippery slope of further more expensive parking restrictions in Thame</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Not many people park at the very bottom of the high street except the residents and from my experience have never struggled to find a place near by</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> They don't need the bays</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Taxi rank is often not fully used</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Unnecessary bureaucracy</p>
<p>1488946 Member of public (Thame, Purser Crescent)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> In my opinion, parking isn't an issue. Residents may have issues parking but that is a problem they accepted when they purchased/ rented the dwelling. Priority should not be given to residents just because they live on that street.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Removing these restrictions will impede traffic flow.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Parking should be free of charge.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Residents shouldn't have priority over others as the street is not part of the property they purchased.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> Ensures traders can park responsibly.</p>

	<p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Completely unnecessary, the current taxi bay should remain as is, with double yellow lines leading to a small number of bays.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> 24 hours is unnecessary.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Residents have no more priority to road space then other road users.</p>
<p>1493197 Member of public (Thame, Purser Crescent)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Not necessary</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> Unnecessary</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> No reason other than income generation.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Unnecessary</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Not necessary</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Unnecessary</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Not necessary</p>

<p>1489918 Member of public (Thame, Queens Close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> This has worked for the last 25 years I have lived in Thame Why mess????</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> as Q1</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> as q1</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Taxi drivers in Thame do not need more space. They are also rude and aggressive towards all kinds of people. They are not Black Cabs but private hire and should be treated as such</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> ensures people have spaces to park especially when we are all being told we need to by electric cars. are the council adding this into the equation for residents?????</p>
<p>1494405 Member of public (Thame, Queens road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Because it will not support local businesses</p>

	<p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> People will not travel to the town centre if they have to pay</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Removes parking spaces for people who travel here</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> There are rarely any taxis in the bays that exist.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> It's just a money making exercise for private companies</p>
<p>1488576 Member of public (Thame, Robin gibb)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> If the situation changes I fear it will be for the worse. I oppose the change.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> As a local resident, I use Thame parking daily. If the parking changes, I will simply stop using town! It is not a threat, one of the major selling points when choosing to live in Thame was the parking situation. If the situation changes - I WILL GO ELSE</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> I think this is a good idea</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>Today we have a good balance- no need to change.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Why incur the additional cost of maintaining such a notion</p>
<p>1492862 Member of public (Thame, Robin gibb)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Nonparking charge</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Thame is such a lovely place bridging parking charge on High Street it will do more damage to local shops and people</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Thame is a lovely town with nice local shops, puting parking charges in place it will damage our local shops</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Residents need to have where to park</p>
<p>1488945 Member of public (Thame, Roundhead Drive)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p>



	<p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Fundamentally object to paid parking in Thame. Free parking is one of the most attractive thing to visitors coming to the town</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> A lot of residents will be at work during these hours and therefore will most likely be a lot of unused street parking spaces. It would make more sense to make it permit holders only from 5pm to 7am so residents have guaranteed parking when they come back</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> There aren't enough taxis that are used/required in Thame to justify extending the area</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Adds unnecessary complication</p>
<p>1492832 Member of public (Thame, Saxon Square)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Parking in Thame should remain free. Perhaps space should be allocated to creating more parking instead of selling it off to developers to build more houses that the infrastructure of Thame cannot support. Members of the council are so out of touch with t</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> The profits of implementing and controlling these changes will go to private parking companies and not go back into providing extra parking for the residents and visitors to Thame. It will also damage local businesses at a time when they need the support</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> As no extra parking places will be provided, the only change is to take money from residents and visitors and pass it onto parking companies not based in the area.</p>

	<p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> The existing kebab van behind the Citizens Advice building is a credit to Thame with a long history and equally long queues of customers.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Not sure what 'Cocnerns' are but if you mean Concerns then this appears to cover all people parking in the town and is therefore a trick question.</p>
<p>1488419 Member of public (Thame, Saxon Square)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Should be left as is.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Not enough space in central Thame for parking</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> This will drive people away</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> They already use up non taxi spaces. They should not have any more space and be penalised if found in non taxi spaces</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b>  How will this work if you want to charge your EV on the street outside your property?</p>
<p>1498408  Member of public  (Thame, Simmons  Way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  Thame prides itself on offering free town centre parking and whilst this will be maintained in the 2 car parks (for now), the introduction of parking meters is unnecessary and will look unsightly in this traditional market town. It is just a way of OCC bo</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  Would like to keep parking free in the town centre</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b>  This will just push parking issues out to the residential streets close to the town centre where people working in the town will park all day and residents won't be able to park outside/close to their own homes. If residents permits are to be issued they need to include those roads close to, but not directly connected to the High Street/Upper High Street</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b>  Allows tradespeople to work on buildings located in the town centre with easy access to their tools/equipment</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b>  Support residents permits for High Street and Upper High Street, however do not support visitors permits as this will potentially take up many spaces in the Upper High Street car park, especially at weekends therefore preventing people coming into the tow</p>

<p>1535961 Member of public (Thame, Spring Path)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Please see comments at the beginning of this survey, but in summary this proposal is an overreaction to a perceived problem. The town centre is a vibrant environment and this action will only cause damage to the local economy.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Unnecessary expense and only short term measure. Does not take in to account the Thame Neighbourhood/green plan proposing longer term parking solutions which would be money better spent Signage and metres will diminish the appearance of town centre Money better spent on linking large estates on edge of town to town centre with a ‘hop on/off’ bus. And or cycle routes Proposed parking restrictions will create displacement issues as other parking spaces on side roads will be sought.. Local trade will lose business as quick in and out parking for customers will be too much of a faff!</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> See above</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Parking displacement to outside my home in Spring Path which is very close to the town centre, very narrow and already subject of random parking potentially blocking the pathway to Cuttlebrook and blocking access to refuse lorries, ambulances etc</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> As above comments re parking displacement</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b></p>
--	---

	<p>Same comment as above re parking displacement plus in this time of the rising cost of living, this will just be an additional expense.</p>
<p>1505948 Member of public (Thame, Towersey Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Current free parking system works well and changes could adversely affect the footfall for businesses in town</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> I am happy with the current arrangements</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> I think the current free parking works well</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Will reduce availability of spaces for shoppers</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Will reduce availability if spaces for shoppers</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> Probably need space for a couple more taxis at busy times</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Limits space for shoppers</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Current system works well</p>
<p>1528835 As a business (Thame, Upper High Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Why change something that works well? Parking in certain areas in Thame need to be addressed such as parking on yellow lines. But the parking in Thame since the Oxford council has taken over has improved. Workers are not parking for more than 2 hours on t</p>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  As the oldest business in Thame we are concerned that by having a paid parking area on the main high street/ upper high street road it will make Thame less desirable to pop into. Our customers will also have issue parking as majority of them spend over an hour with us. The issues will be because people will not want to pay for parking thus meaning the free carparks will be overly busy (where shop is located). I cannot see a customer wanting to come to studio if they have to pay</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b>  What about business owner permit holders?</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>  The Taxi rank always has taxis in it therefore there is always one to hand when you need it. This would mean this is the right amount of spaces and adding another one will just lead to more taxis waiting around !</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b>  Price per day</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1555736  Member of public  (Thame, Victoria Mead)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  It's a market town serving a rural area. People need to use their cars. Unfortunately, the Council has a terrible reputation for listening to public opinion and is likely to push ahead regardless.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b>  Resident's permits for Park Street will push people to park in Victoria Mead where there are no proposals,</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  Parking should remain free to support the amazing selection of independent retailers the town has on offer.</p>

	<p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b>  Parking permits will push people to park in nearby streets that do not have restrictions.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b>  Helps support local taxi firms.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b>  Parking permits for Park Street will push people to park in Victoria Mead. Parking permits will push people to park in nearby streets.</p>
<p>1567520  Member of public  (Thame, Weavers  Branch)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  I object to the parking charges proposed. I support the residents parking. This question is too broad and needs to be split to represent the different categories being proposed.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b>  Free parking has always worked well in Thame, encouraging customers to visit an endless list of small businesses and retail outlets such as shops, cafes, restaurants, hairdressers, beauty salons, yoga and Pilate classes. With paid parking enforced, particularly in these tough and uncertain economic times, my concern is that people will be put off coming to Thame from outside villages and towns as well as from the wider population of Thame itself and consequently not use the small businesses. The proposed parking fees will discourage people from parking and therefore shopping in Thame. Trade will be lost and some small businesses will close down as a result, to the detriment of Thame. It will also overload the supermarket car parks meaning people genuinely shopping in the supermarkets will struggle to find a parking space.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  It is too limiting. 30 minutes is not always long enough, especially if shops are short staffed resulting in longer queues making the shopper run over 30 minutes.</p>

	<p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> It is important for residents to be able to park outside or near their residence.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> Important for street traders to have designated parking enabling them to carry out their business.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> Takes away parking from town centre.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> It is important for residents guests to be able to park when visiting or staying with the residents.</p>
<p>1506030 Rather not say (Thame, Wellington Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Existing traffic warden (that was cut) was enough to enforce parking. This appears to be a scheme to generate extra income for the council.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Impact to local business and tourism</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Impact on business and tourism</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Existing situation seems to work</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Reduction of parking for visitors and local shoppers</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b></p>



	<p>Existing arrangement seems to work</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Impact to local business and tourism</p>
<p>1547225 Member of public (Thame, Windmill Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> While I do support some aspects of the scheme as mentioned in my comments. Overall I do object to such a large-scale plan to reduce the number of free parking spaces in Thame town centre. I believe it will discourage people from coming into the town and h</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> I believe that if the double yellow lines are removed on the East side of North Street there should be a mix of public time limited and resident parking spaces, otherwise there is too greater reduction in public spaces</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> I strongly object. There are too many of these spaces proposed - all along both sides of The High Street and Cornmarket, the main shopping area, is excessive. Half an hour free parking is woefully inadequate as very little shopping/business can be carried out in that time. If the proposed changes and the resultant loss of the current free and time limited spaces (2hours free - no return 1hr) comes into force, it has the very real potential to destroy the town centre trading - especially in current financial climate.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> I agree with the Resident parking only areas along the Lower High Street (plan 1) - Park Street (plan 4) and the west side of North Street ONLY (plan2) I think having resident spaces in the Car park (plan3) could be confusing, and along with Nelson Stree</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> I am not sure whether this is actually necessary as they are currently able to park there - and should continue to be allowed to do so</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b>  This depends on how many permits will be allocated. Too many and it will further restrict the number of free parking spaces for members of the public visiting the town centre, when considered alongside the proposed loss of free parking in High Street and</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b>  This is confusing with question 6, so I repeat:  I agree with the Resident parking only areas along the Lower High Street (plan 1) - Park Street (plan 4) and the west side of North Street ONLY (plan2)  I think having resident spaces in the Car park (plan3)</p>
<p>1529970  Member of public  (Thame, Berkeley road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  This is a terrible idea done with the wrong motives. Thame is bucking the national picture with a thriving high street, don't damage this. It will be short term game for long term loss for the businesses and the residents. Don't break what is working well</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b>  .</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  Strongly object. It is a thriving market town and this will kill it. Why make everyone's lives harder. It works so don't damage something precious for some short term greed.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b>  Parking should be for residents and shoppers.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>  Priority should be given to residents and shoppers. The taxi bay is mostly empty anyway so there is no issue</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	Residents & Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b>
1493895 Member of public (Thame, Chinnor Road)	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Free parking is key to our town</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Permits should be free</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
1560340 Member of public (Thame, East Street)	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> See my previous answer.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. One of the main reasons why Thame is still a viable and vibrant town centre much visited by locals and visitors is that the street parking is free. If you introduce charges to the street parking, even though the market parking areas would still be free, it will discourage visitors so readily coming to the town resulting in an unwelcome reduction in retail activity.</li> <li>2. If you make the High Street parking in Thame pay and display, it will encourage visitors to park outside the payment areas which will reduce the amount of street parking for residents of adjacent streets, for example East Street, where residents' parking is already at a premium.</li> </ol>

	<p>3. By introducing residents' permit parking in the High Street, Upper High Street, Park Street, North Street, Nelson Street etc. it would obviously benefit residents of those streets, but again more visitor and town business parking will be forced to spill out into adjoining streets without residents' parking. Again, East Street is most vulnerable, where residents already have to compete for available spaces.</p> <p>4. Speaking as a Thame resident, of course I realise that town centre residents require allocated long term parking places and the existing ones are welcome. By all means, increase the number of these, but too many, combined with street parking charging, will merely make life more difficult for residents living in nearby streets without residents' parking.</p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b> See my previous answer.</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Object</b> See my previous answer.</p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – Support</b></p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – Object</b> See my previous answer.</p>
<p>1488551 Member of public (Thame, Fairfax close)</p>	<p><b>Scheme in general – Object</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – Object</b> This is a terrible idea! I live in Thame and one of the joys of the town is to be able to pop in, park easily and use the local shops to get things that I need. Heavy things like milk , stuff from Robert dyas which is bulky. This is an awful money making scheme by the council who just want to make life more difficult for local residents and business. Thame is a lovely vibrant town and this idea will kill it. Why should be pay for parking? It's our town, our shops or community! We shouldn't have to pay to pop in for a coffee and do a bit of shopping! Not everyone can walk miles or carry heavy bags!</p>

	<p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b>  Why should we have to pay to use our local shops and businesses? Like I said one of the joys of Thame is being able to pop into town, get a coffee look around buy a book or something from Robert dyas and then go home. Not every can carry heavy things, even those who are able bodied. It's discriminatory to those in the town who can't afford the tarrif and making the poor poorer- this won't affect people with money! In addition to the cost of living crisis, the problems facing business trying to stay afloat this is a awful, insensitive and discriminatory idea.</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Object</b>  Parking should stay as it is.</p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – Object</b>  There is nothing wrong with Thame parking as it is. Don't change it!</p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – Object</b>  Leave our parking alone.</p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – Object</b>  This will leave less parking for everyone else.</p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – No opinion</b></p>
<p>1494956  Member of public  (Thame, High st)</p>	<p><b>Scheme in general – Object</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – Object</b>  I live on the high street and I see the number of people popping into town. If we charge for parking people will just go to larger shopping parks where you can park free for hours. I think this is a backward step for Thame. Protect our town!</p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b>  No charging, this is not a good idea.</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Support</b></p>

	<p>This would benefit people who live in the centre of town.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> Handy area for elderly residents to pop to shops?</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1499542 Member of public (Thame, High Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Waiting limits work perfectly adequately now. Increasing the number of limited parking spaces/introducing meters in areas presently used for parking will deter people from visiting the town and have a detrimental effect on businesses. The only reason for it seems to be about making money. Businesses struggle already especially in the current economic climate. There seems to be no advantageous reason for proposing these new restrictions.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Detrimental to businesses. Will harm the town by discouraging visitors. The current restrictions seem perfectly adequate and have been for years.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> There seems to be no good reason or advantage to these suggested measures. Residents currently pay enough in council tax without them having to incur even more expenditure. Setting up the whole system will cost money as will the administration for it. No one appears to benefit.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> It will cost money to set up and administer. It would appear to benefit no one. I'm not aware that anyone has asked for it and it appears to be something imposed on the town with no clear reasoning.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>Currently at times the number of taxis can reach ridiculous numbers. Often drivers are just sitting in cars passing the time. More parking spaces will just encourage more parking up.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Unnecessary cost. Present situation perfectly adequate as it has been for years.</p>
<p>1488444 Member of public (Thame, Hopton road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Thame is fine as it is.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Thame parking should stay free. Its a rare bonus and it's never over crowded anyway</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Not all houses have drives and sometimes you need to find a space nearby if you get home late</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Not needed</p>
<p>1543182 Member of public (Thame, Ludlow Drive)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p>

	<p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> The current restrictions are perfectly adequate the parking should continue to be free but properly monitored.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1503149 Member of public (Thame, Michaelis)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Will kill the town</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Will kill the town</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Will kill the town</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Will stop business</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Short term solution</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Will kill the town</p>



	<p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Will tourism</p>
<p>1488751 Member of public (Thame, Onslow Drive)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> No need for charged parking</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Unfair in local residents</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Minimise spaces for all</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b> Thame residents being penalised for staying local</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Not enough general Spaces</p>
<p>1488198 Member of public (Thame, Overton drive)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Will it work or cause more problems</p>

	<p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> It need to be free for longer than 30mins</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> There are other places for them to park</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1532144 Member of public (Thame, Pickenfield)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> 1/2 hour free is not long enough to go into any shop &amp; pay, or if you are very lucky and queues are short, to go to more than one shop. And the free period is only allowed once in every 24 hours, So everyone will end up paying minimum £1 to shop in Thame,</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b></p> <p>.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> The taxis already take up a lot of space, both in the taxi tank, the parking spaces by the town hall, and the pedestrian area the other side of the town hall, and many of the drivers are extremely rude.</p>

	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b> How much will you be charging traders to park? And how much will this increase every year?</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Again- cost?</p>
<p>1488697 Member of public (Thame, Towersey Drive)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> I think it is a short sighted money motivated plan that will have negative consequences for the trading in the town.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> I believe that the main reason Thame has a thriving high street is because you can park easily, for a decent period of time for free. I believe changing this will detrimentally affect the town.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> I think some residents struggle to park and permits would help this.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> I think what is currently available is adequate.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> I think it will help town based residents.</p>
<p>1492889 Member of public (Thame, Whittle)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b></p>

	<p>Should not charge for Thame parking will kill shopping in the town</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Shoppers come to town as there is free parking</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> These will be abused</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> There is not enough double parking when deliveries are being done to warrant traders only bay</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Traders should not use shoppers parking bays</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> If you buy a house in the town your aware of the parking restrictions</p>
<p>1488628 Member of public (Thame, Lower High Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Leave well alone.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> I am a resident of the Lower High Street Thame OX9 3AE. And I am very concerned about the Proposed Parking restrictions in my road. I am an old age pensioner, and do not have a garage , have lived here for 40 years. I want there to be Parking Permits, fo</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> I have done in my earlier comment!.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> I don't have a garage, I am an old age pensioner, and this is my home for 40 years!</p>

	<p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> No comment</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> Support the traders.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> I have already stated my reasons earlier</p>
<p>1491694 Member of public (Thame, Long Crendon Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Parking seems to work well as it is. Keep it free.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Keep it free</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> My daughter had this imposed where she lives in Tooting; a real pain when I go to stay.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> No change needed</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> No change needed</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Don't change</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Keep things as they are</p>

<p>1488477 Member of public (Thame, Horton Avenue)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> This should never have been farmed out to the county council. The people patrolling have absolutely no clue about Thame as a town and what works.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> The amount of times during a day that I can pop in and out of town negates 1 free 30 min period. That's never enough time to queue for the post office. Work out if the sorting office is open. And if you can remember to get everything in one trip then you're some sort of wizard.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Residents parking needs to be for non working times. When theyre at work the car typically isn't there. Residents permits should be 24/7.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> With regard to where this is being proposed it sounds like an underhanded way of kicking out the kebab van.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> The taxi rank should be round the back of the town hall. Where there is already room for more than two and cars can already not park. Losing more parking spaces in the town when there is no need is bonkers.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> Seems sensible to be able to identify the traders vehicles. They then wouldn't be ticketed by our somewhat over zealous new warden.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Support IF they are done correctly, see previous comments about suggested times and why they are rubbish.</p>

<p>1500142 Member of public (Thame, Anon Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> Encourage people to use Thame</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> 30 mins free is not enough time. It takes 20-30 mins at least to queue at Thame Post Office. It should be free but agree a restriction to prevent long term use as particularly near the book shop / Reaston Browns this area is well used for quick drop offs / quick shopping</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Yes if you live in Thame High Street and have no parking agree should be allowed</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> It happens already. A bay will perhaps stop parking in that area etc</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> Current situation with Taxis is chaotic at times i.e. double parking</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> seems reasonable and updates current system</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> as previously if you live in this area</p>
<p>1489274 Member of public (Thame, Beech)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> some proposals seem more acceptable than others</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>it will put pressure on the remaining free 1 hour car parking spaces and cause congestion. 30 mins is too short for a quick stop in Thame if you live in nearby villages with no bus service</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> will make short shopping trips to the town harder</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Concerns</b> will impact access to town for shopping trips</p>
<p>1488712 Member of public (Thame, Blackthorn Grange)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> I'm concerned that these proposals are made in a disjointed manner with how the public gets to the high street, how they spend their time there and the ways available to get to the high street. Safe walking and cycling routes into the town centre are mini</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Charging for parking will discourage those living on the outskirts of town from using the facilities in the town centre. Walking from these areas is difficult especially with families. As a family we would rather drive elsewhere or purchase online to get something that have to pay to park in Thame high street. Making it more 'difficult' to park when you just want to nip to town will encourage more people to shop online rather than spend time in our high street pottering around.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> I don't object as such as long as the number of spaces is proportional to the number of households ie no unused bays.</p>



	<p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> Good idea for people such as the kebab van.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> This bay is underused on a daily basis. Extending it would serve no purpose as far as I can see.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b> Is this for all traders including the market or just for other traders. Seems like a good way to get some money for what already works.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Surely this is only required if you have resident parking on upper high street.</p>
<p>1493367 Member of public (Thame, Cedar Crescent)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Enforcing parking fees will stop people shopping in the town centre.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Paid parking will deter people from shopping in the town centre.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Depends on how many spaces will be taken up by resident permits.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> I don't understand the need for these.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>

<p>1560020 Local or County Cllr (Thame, Charles Drive)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> I'm not convinced that parking meters are necessary in the town centre. The permits should pay for themselves and the incremental cost of parking enforcement for a small area in the town centre does not justify the large cost and inconvenience of installing parking meters. It would also make parking in Thame a lot more confusing for visitors, with a mixture of paid and free parking on the same streets. The current system of paid parking in the car parks outside the centre and limited-duration free parking in the centre is better. It's important that the duration of free parking in the centre is strictly limited and controlled, preferably the same duration in all spaces eg 2 hours.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1493043 Member of public (Thame, Chowns close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> The town could end up with less shops &amp; community if people shop out of town due to paid parking</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> People will no longer buy from the high st &amp; will go out of town I'd no longer convenient</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Not long enough for shopping &amp; lunch</p>

	<p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Will it be free for those residents</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> Reduces pollution while they idle their engines when dropping off,</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Cost of these?</p>
<p>1551722 Member of public (Thame, Church Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Thame town is a trading hub but also a residential area and the parking restrictions support neither local businesses, who need local customers and those who live further a field to continue to come to Thame without barriers and residents who have to dea</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> See my previous comment</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> I generally support this but it can't be for a select number of streets. It needs to be for all residential town centre areas to make it fair</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> See previous comment</p>
<p>1556104 As a business (Thame, Church Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> We understand that parking in Thame is restricted, but introduction so many resident parking areas and then charging for the rest of the on-street parking will lead to pressure on side streets, leading to congestions and difficult for pedestrians and resi</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> We are very concerned that the restrictions to parking in the High Street will make the side streets congested with parked cars. We are also concerned that people will park in our private car park and then go into town.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> Again we are concerned that making people pay for parking will encourage them to park in the side streets, particularly Church Road - a narrow road, leading to Thame Barns Centre and Thame Cricket Club. This will cause access problems to anyone coming to</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> We understand the residents need parking places, but this will put pressure on side streets. People living in Church Road will find it difficult to find places to park if there are unable to use the High Street for additional parking.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> We feel that the taxi rank is big enough, using general parking spaces for taxis makes it harder for members of the public to park.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b></p>

	<p>We think this will lead to side streets being congested, causing access and safety issues for those who live in the side streets. We are also concerned that people will park in our Private Car Park because they cannot find on-street parking in the town.</p>
<p>1500328 Member of public (Thame, Corbett Way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> Cost involved unclear</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Unclear if it will make the problems worse</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Cost of administration</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Fair approach</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> Helpful</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> Taxis important for people who don't have cars</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> Traders important to Thame</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Seems fair</p>
<p>1494580 Member of public (Thame, Cotmore Field)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> Keep parking free of charge and do not extend current restrictions. The system works really well, both for shoppers and local businesses</p>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> The waiting restrictions are not an issue. No change needed.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Thame works really well as a dynamic market town with lots of independent businesses because free parking attracts lots of visitors. Most come to shop for an hour or so. There’s no need to charge for parking. This can only deter visitors who may as well travel to Aylesbury or Wycombe.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Too restrictive for everyone else. Residents knew the situation before they moved in.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Again, it would limit parking to visitors from outside Thame or those in outer estates for whom walking would be too far. Instead, provide many more facilities for cyclists</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Sorry, but this suggestion is also simply uncalled for. The current arrangement works perfectly well.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Anything that interferes with ‘first come first served’ parking will deter visitors to local shops and other businesses and hence be bad for the economic health of the town.</p>
<p>1510335 Member of public (Thame, Croft Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> That it might reduce quick shopping for shops income. That charges should not be high as per in Oxford. That spill will move to other residential roads</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> Interested resident</p>

	<p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b> Suggest the restrictions commence at 9am to allow people to do quick shopping on the way to work/school</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Support</b> Residents need to be able to park. However - how will you prevent further spill from Park Street into Croft road especially around school pick up times</p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – Support</b> stop double parking</p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – No opinion</b></p>
<p>1540743 Member of public (Thame, Cromwell Avenue)</p>	<p><b>Scheme in general – Concerns</b> I think there are many positives within the proposals but do not agree the pay and display proposals will achieve the objectives set out, and therefore either require further adjustment or omitting from the proposals.</p> <hr/> <p><b>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – Support</b> Parking occurs in these locations currently, without causing any severe nuisance or safety issues - no objection to these being removed. Enforcement of these areas would only increase parking usage elsewhere.</p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b> Currently there are time limited parking bays, which if enforced correctly would provide sufficient fluidity/cycle of use - these proposals will not improve on this. The proposals would be setting restrictions/charges at higher level than is necessary to achieve the objectives outlined in the statement of reasons. Anyone parking for the time limit currently in place will not park for a lesser period of time under the new proposals on the basis of a small fee - therefore this will not create a higher rotation of use of the bays. The act of finding a pay point (or using an app) to make payment will become a nuisance and further delay any transfer of parking bays. If the charge is required as a form of taxation for maintenance etc that is a different discussion.</p>

	<p>Anyone driving into the town currently that has the option of making the journey on foot, would not do so purely on the basis of avoiding a £1.60 charge to park.  Once proposed charging infrastructure is in place, there will no longer be a consultation requirement of this level at a later date in order to make further adjustments to fee charges, or to omit the free period.  Overall, the proposal to charge for parking to the areas outlined will not provide a meaningful alteration in parking behaviour, and therefore would not aid in achieving the proposed objectives. It would be useful to see which type(s) of vehicle user the council believes these proposals will be most effective with, and if that group would provide the level of change the council is aiming for.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b>  Providing permits are only provided to residents with no current private parking provision, I would support this.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b>  This is already currently used for traders, and the formalisation of this would not impact largely on the parking availability.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b>  Residential properties with no private parking provision should be have priority over permit issue.</p>
<p>1492824  Member of public  (Thame, East Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b>  As described above already.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  As manager and resident of the Cross Keys pub I have grave concerns with what you're proposing. The pub lies on the corner of Park Street and East Street. I notice that you intend to restrict Park St and the High Street to residents. Though I agree with t</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b>  If we are not entitled to a permit, these proposals will clearly be unworkable in our situation.</p>



	<p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Support on the basis that part of East Street is also included. If it's not, then I firmly Object.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> I believe there are already sufficient spaces for taxis in Thame for the size of the town.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> I support this, as they have to park somewhere. However, residents have to take priority. Sorry, but they live there, they have no choice. They need to be catered for first.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Same concerns expressed above.</p>
<p>1525591 Member of public (Thame, East Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> I live in a terraced house in East Street and parking at the moment is very very difficult for residents. When paid parking is installed, more visitors will be trying to park in East Street, as it will be free, and parking for residents will prove impossi</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> I live in a terraced house in East Street Thame and parking is very very difficult at the moment. When paid parking is introduced, parking for residents will become impossible, as visitors will park in East Street, as it is free. I believe that each terra</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Because I am a resident in East Street Thame</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> See previous commentsSee previous comments</p>

	<p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b>  Difficulty for residents parking in East Street</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b>  See previous comments</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b>  Residents parking permits should be available for residents of East Street too</p>
<p>1539658  Member of public  (Thame, East Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b>  Resident permits need to be extended to East street due to circa 20 terrace houses not having any other means of parking.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b>  Addition parking required</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b>  As residents of East street,living on the terrace houses directly off the high street we would strongly highlight the need for the resident permit parking to be extended to include this area.There are circa 20 terrace houses who have no parking provision</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b>  Safety concerns as many cars use this to access shops quickly (or post office)</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b>  Only support if limited for use</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b></p>

	Resident Permits need to be extended to sections of East street with 20 terrace houses with no access to parking.
1550489 Member of public (Thame, East Street)	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> As a resident of East Street, where we already have rather extreme difficulty in parking anywhere close to our property; we often have to use Park Street and the High Street to park. As your current proposal completely ignores &amp; excludes East Street for</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> As a resident of East Street, where we already have rather extreme difficulty in parking anywhere close to our property; we often have to use Park Street and the High Street to park. As your current proposal completely ignores &amp; excludes East Street for Residents Permits, but grants permits to most other residential roads flowing off the High Street (Park St, Nelson, Street, North Street, etc), resultantly we will then suffer the even more heightened demand for parking on East Street, which is unacceptable and has been missed from your own evaluation..</p> <p>We have the same parking issues &amp; challenges as Park St, Nelson St, North St no others, where there is no resident-specific parking, but a huge demand for parking, being in central Thame, which is highly problematic for us. In particular, there are some 20+ terraced properties to at the south end (Cross Keys end) of East Street, that have no parking allocated provision at all. This is the same situation as the other residential streets that you have suggested should get Resident’s Parking Permits. Your logic makes no sense in excluding East Street from this Resident’s Permits scheme and appears you have given that no consideration at all. This needs to be revisited.</p> <p>We therefore insist that the mistake you’ve made in making this proposal, of excluding East Street for Resident’s Parking Permits, is acted on and the scope of your proposal is extended to these East St residents, so that are also able to obtain parking permits that cover East St and the other areas you mentioned permit holders will be able to park, without charge.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> As a resident of East Street, where we already have rather extreme difficulty in parking anywhere close to our property; we often have to use Park Street and the High Street to park. As your current proposal completely ignores &amp; excludes East Street for Residents Permits, but grants permits to most other residential roads flowing off the High Street (Park St, Nelson, Street, North Street, etc), resultantly we will then suffer the even more</p>

heightened demand for parking on East Street, which is unacceptable and has been missed from your own evaluation..

We have the same parking issues & challenges as Park St, Nelson St, North St no others, where there is no resident-specific parking, but a huge demand for parking, being in central Thame, which is highly problematic for us. In particular, there are some 20+ terraced properties to at the south end (Cross Keys end) of East Street, that have no parking allocated provision at all. This is the same situation as the other residential streets that you have suggested should get Resident's Parking Permits. Your logic makes no sense in excluding East Street from this Resident's Permits scheme and appears you have given that no consideration at all. This needs to be revisited.

We therefore insist that the mistake you've made in making this proposal, of excluding East Street for Resident's Parking Permits, is acted on and the scope of your proposal is extended to these East St residents, so that are also able to obtain parking permits that cover East St and the other areas you mentioned permit holders will be able to park, without charge.

Formalised Street Traders only Bay – **No opinion**

Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – **Object**

This is a very useful drop off and short stay parking area, that should be maintained in its current use.

24 hour permits for traders – **Concerns**

This could lead to overuse of the limited parking we have from traders, with vehicles perhaps being permanently left in these parking zones, which would cause further parking issues

Residents & Visitors` Parking Permits – **Object**

EXCLUSION OF EAST ST in the scheme.

As a resident of East Street, where we already have rather extreme difficulty in parking anywhere close to our property; we often have to use Park Street and the High Street to park. As your current proposal completely ignores & excludes East Street for Residents Permits, but grants permits to most other residential roads flowing off the High Street (Park St, Nelson, Street, North Street, etc), resultantly we will then suffer the even more heightened demand for parking on East Street, which is unacceptable and has been missed from your own evaluation..

We have the same parking issues & challenges as Park St, Nelson St, North St no others, where there is no resident-specific parking, but a huge demand for parking, being in central Thame, which is highly problematic for us. In particular, there are some 20+ terraced properties to at the south end (Cross Keys end) of East Street, that have no parking allocated provision at all. This is the same situation as the other residential streets that you have

	<p>suggested should get Resident's Parking Permits. Your logic makes no sense in excluding East Street from this Resident's Permits scheme and appears you have given that no consideration at all. This needs to be revisited.</p> <p>We therefore insist that the mistake you've made in making this proposal, of excluding East Street for Resident's Parking Permits, is acted on and the scope of your proposal is extended to these East St residents, so that are also able to obtain parking permits that cover East St and the other areas you mentioned permit holders will be able to park, without charge.</p>
<p>1492042 Member of public (Thame, Elton Field)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> All parking should remain free, however, I feel residents (and their visitors) should be entitled to a permit to park wherever and not be restricted.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> Fewer double yellow lines on wider roads that allow it gives opportunities for more parking spaces.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> It makes short visits to town inconvenient and challenging - e.g. post office, pharmacy etc. It will also result in people finding free parking options which knocks on the inconvenience - e.g. supermarkets, pubs, residential streets etc.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> If people live there, they should be able to park there.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> Getting a taxi in town can be a challenge as there aren't many. More space for them would be a benefit.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> If you live there, you should be able to park there. And that includes visitors.</p>

<p>1488935 Member of public (Thame, Fairfax close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> I worry that it is a money making scheme, rather than one aimed at addressing parking issues in Thame.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> I feel that it would stop people from using the businesses in town which will cause them to lose some trade. I do not see a problem with how it is currently run. If it was too be charged. I think the first hour should be free.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> People that live in the high street need parking spaces where they will not be charged.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> I do not think the bay is big enough for the taxis required</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Concerns</b> I think it depends on where they are introduced.</p>
<p>1492783 Member of public (Thame, HAMPDEN AVENUE)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> I think it's sensible to make things clearer where no waiting is allowed etc, and although I don't require a resident's permit, I can fully understand that this would be reassuring for those living in roads with street parking only. However, it seems that</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b></p>

	<p>My concerns are that this will negatively impact local businesses, several of which are independent traders/family run businesses. The money being charged for parking will not be then invested in the local infrastructure but merely be profit for whichever</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> Assuming this means that the space which Atalay's kebab van uses. This simply screams of profiteering. Atalay's have been trading there for years with seemingly no concerns and is in fact a major draw for the nightlife in town and a much loved institution</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> Do we need more taxis? Has there been a great demand for them?</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1554522 Member of public (Thame, Hampden Avenue)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> The free parking in Thame allows for hassle-free parking which in turn generates more business for the shops. If pay and display were introduced this would reduce and put a stop to browsing along the high street, with everyone trying to get what they need done within the free 30 minutes.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Permits for Park Street are potentially a good idea, if the residents are in agreement to this proposal. I would imagine that the current parking restrictions are a nuisance for them. Permit only bays on the roads closer to and on the high street would i</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p>

	<p>Assuming this formalising where the Atalay van parks with an informal arrangement currently then I would be in support of making this a formal space for them.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> I don't believe there is a strong need for more taxi space. There always seems to be ample taxi availability.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1528507 Local or County Cllr (Thame, Harrison Place)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> Whilst I understand the need for parking management, I do not see how this plan will help? It appears to be an exercise to generate revenue for OCC. No data has been produced to demonstrate a positive impact. The general consensus is that it will impact o</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Implementation of this model will have two impacts</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The implementation of permit parking on the upper high street opposite the main car park will result in a loss of spaces currently used by visitors shopping or making quick visits to the town centre. As these spaces face shops it makes no sense to have permit parking throughout the day, when one would expect most residents to be at work. People looking for spaces, as the main car park is normally full will either move out into the community roads e.g. Lea Park or will not come to Thame, as they will not wish to drive around looking for a parking space. Such actions have a negative impact on the local environment, as idling engines create additional pollution. The likelihood is this will impact footfall on what is currently a vibrant town centre</li> <li>2. Thame centre has a vibrant shopping centre, based on the ability to park free and within easy distance of the shops. Many trips are quick trips of 1 hour or less. The 30 minute free parking is insufficient and should be extended to 1 hour.</li> <li>3. OCC, have stated that the programme will release spaces with more churn of cars? Yet they have failed to provide any data to actually demonstrate this ?</li> </ol> <p>Thame Council have requested OCC to attend a public meeting as part of this consultation - they have refused to do so. May I remind you That councillors are elected, Officers are employed and paid by the electorate and as such they are your customers.</p>



	<p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. The placement of parking meters on the street line will be detrimental to the character of Thame Town Centre</li> <li>2. The implementation of this proposal will result in more cars being parked in the peripheral areas such as Lea Park, Southern Road, Windmill Road etc. Resulting in rat run parking.</li> <li>3. The plan is not holistic to the need of a transport policy that embraces the whole of Thame, it smacks more of a revenue generation exercise</li> </ol> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Concerns</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1. Permit parking in upper High street will remove valuable shopper parking, This is especially key as often the main car park is full.</li> <li>2. How much research has been carried out to show that residents actually park in these areas between 0800 - 1800? No</li> </ol> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – Concerns</b></p> <p>I assume this is being used for the Kebab van</p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – Support</b></p> <p>How will this be Policed, will Taxis be stopped from parking around the Town Hall</p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – Support</b></p> <p>As long as funds return to TTC</p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – Concerns</b></p> <ol style="list-style-type: none"> <li>1, This is likely to push visitor parking to outside the Town Centre</li> <li>2. People who purchased houses without off street parking, knew they would not have a defined space at the time. Therefore why do we have to restrict parking for others to satisfy</li> </ol>
<p>1507891 Member of public (Thame, High Street)</p>	<p><b>Scheme in general – Concerns</b></p> <p>Those concerns have already been stated.</p> <hr/> <p><b>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – Object</b></p>

	<p>I live at 18 High Street. I notice that residents parking will not be available outside my house and that visitors permit will not be available at all. There are many residents on the High Street and the plans do not seem to cater for our needs.</p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Concerns</b> I would like to see the proposed bays include the option to park with a residents parking permit.</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Concerns</b> There are insufficient places for Residents and they are at inconvenient locations for those of us that live on the main high Street.</p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – Support</b> They seem sensible.</p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – Support</b> Agree.</p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – Support</b> Agree</p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – Support</b> Support but these need to be extended to all High Street residents and the areas that can be parked in need to be extended. Currently there are no convenient places to park with a permit and access 18 High Street. With a registered disabled child this is</p>
<p>1514309 As a business (Thame, HIGH STREET)</p>	<p><b>Scheme in general – Concerns</b> I would welcome a discussion with myself from a council memeber, who I'm able to give a real life experence on the town and the need for many many issues to be addressed which caters fror the local traders and its staff and the residents and visitors t</p> <hr/> <p><b>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Support</b></p>

	<p>I'm in favour of pay and display proposal but enforcement is needed, currently there is little or no enforcement without it the scheme would be floored</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> there is a lack of parking within the town for employees to park for those who work within the town. what is the proposed number of residents who require parking ?</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> I cant see why this is necessary as it is not needed and only seems to penalize the operator, there are better way to generate income without victimising one individual</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> the taxi rank would be better resited to the other side of the town hall outside superdrug and robet dryis</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> there needs to be a limited number of traders permits as this will reduce customer parking within this area</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> this will cause issues with building and renovation works as is clearly seen with the two current properties that are having work done and large areas of parking is coned off and been reserved for tradesmen in the lower high street and vans parking across</p>
<p>1510224 Member of public (Thame, Holliers Close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> While I welcome an opportunity to reduce the minority of car users who choose to park all day in the town centre (to save a 2 minuet walk from the cattle market pay &amp; display) I am concerned about additional street furniture, the need for outsourced parki</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> My concern relates the the placement of additional street furniture / pay &amp; display meter in the town centre in an already crowded area.</p>

	<p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b>  The cost of permits is significant, and a new cost - at a time where households are facing increasing costs overall and budgets are squashed. Many homes will need 2 permits and many of the home effected are rented - perhaps disproportionately impacting on</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b>  I don't believe it is necessary - the street traders and Thame have managed for a very many years comfortably with the existing system, I do not see advantages of formalising (with the additional costs this will bring).</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b>  Will someone be policing the Taxi ? They are frequently double parked, getting in the way of other road users and occasionally a minority of drivers exhibit concerning behaviour (starting at women and commenting on young girls walking to and from school).</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b>  Again - I do not see any advantages of introducing this when we already have a system that works for the town. Presumably it just reflects an administrative change to the County from Thame and a potential increase in costs for traders?</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1561107  Member of public  (Thame, Holliers Close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <p>With regards to the central areas (High Street and Upper High St) I believe that these proposals will result in the free parking areas becoming hugely congested with vehicles waiting for spaces to be released rather than pay for a space. Many of these ve</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  With regards to the central areas (High Street and Upper High St) I believe that these proposals will result in the free parking areas becoming hugely congested with vehicles waiting for spaces to be released rather than pay for a space. Many of these veh</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b></p>

	<p>The free period is not long enough.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1503362 Member of public (Thame, Kings Close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> We need residents parking in Kings Close. It's already hard enough to park my one vehicle in the close because so many houses here have more than one car (plus so many residents here are now getting parking tickets!) but with these proposals more people w</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> Parking has always been free and people will just try and park slightly out of town where residents park</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> Unnecessary</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>

<p>1521250 Member of public (Thame, Kings Close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> As a resident of Kings Close, I am concerned about the knock on effect into other streets close to the town centre. As a resident of Thame, I am concerned about anything that worsens the challenges our high street businesses already face in attracting cus</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> Sensible use of available space for additional parking in the town centre</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> Will encourage use of parking for people visiting shops in Thame and will discourage long term parking in these spaces</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> As we have already seen since restrictions were placed on residents and workers in the centre of town, the overspill will then affect the streets closest to the town centre, namely Wellington street, Kings Close, Moats crescent which become daily car parks for residents and workers who do not have, or do not wish to pay for, the required permits</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> Not sure who this is targeting nor when it would be applied.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Extending this zone will only be of benefit if taxis are restricted to this area and are unable to now use up other spaces</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> As per previous comments. This will just shift the problem into other streets, and without sufficient enforcement will make it difficult for residents outside of the permit zone to park in their own streets</p>
<p>1564407 Member of public</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p>

<p>(Thame, Kings Road)</p>	<p>The proposed parking charges seem to be reasonable. I would hope that these will not increase once the plans are put into action.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>          Concerned that a large number of parking spaces will be lost to visitors/shoppers which will affect the town's businesses, especially in the Upper High Street which is primarily a commercial area, and also High St and North St. (Agree that Park Street and</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b>          I mainly support this but I think 5pm is a better end time.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b>          I do not agree that parking in parts of Upper High Street, High Street and North Street should be for residents only. Could these not be Pay and Display for anyone but those with residents' permits don't have to pay?</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b>          The kebab van is an important part of Thame life. Hopefully they will not be charged too much for being able to continue to park where they have done for many years.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>          The plans remove too many parking spaces used by visitors/shoppers.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b>          Not sure about how these work but if they are a way for traders to park all day then they are essential. Hopefully they will not be too expensive.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1490292          Member of public          (Thame, Kings road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b>          Too severe parking restrictions could further damage our small town and drive more businesses under</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b></p>

	<p>Not to get rid of double yellow lines and free parking</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  30 minutes too short to do a shop, visit post office, hairdresser etc. It will drive people out of Thame town centre and lead to the closure of more businesses. 1-2 hours free would be better and then no return would be less damaging to the town. Also when visitors here for weddings etc where would they park all day if it's max stay 2.5 hours</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>  There is already two bays. Why are more needed?</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1488981  Member of public  (Thame, lashlake)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b>  IT WORKS FINE. Pease stop trying to find ways to mess it up and even better dont hand power over to parking coroporations. look whats happening with the moped equiped foul tempered issuer of tickets</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  people may want to go to a few shops, have a cup of team and maybe do something else without getting a parking penalty charge</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b>  I cant even go to the tip thats 10 miles away, my company is based in aylesbury and my van is registered to the company. theres no way i am sure that I will be able to get a parking permit for anything less that £5000 and a mountain of beaurcracy</p>



	<p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b>  I live here but because i drive a commercial vehicle based in bucks I will probably not be allowed to apply and will be forced to leave this town</p>
<p>1492529  Member of public  (Thame, Lower High Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b>  I support these moves in general but they have to encourage visitors to our town, whilst allowing residents to access reasonable amounts of parking - they must not be seen as a money-making scheme</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b>  Asume that the ability to use online / App methods of payment such as Ringo will be provided either exclusively or in addition to cash/ card meters</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b>  I welcome the concept of residents parking permits as I can very rarely find a parking space, but I have a major issue with these proposals in detail. We live in a larger house and contribute higher amounts to the public purse as a result. We also have 4</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>  The taxi business should have sufficient bays to provide 2 or 3 available taxis and then other cars can wait in a quieter area and move into the bays when they are free. Giving a taxi company more waiting area in a place where visitors may want to park is</p>

	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b>  Please see my comments under the other section regarding residents permits - I would support this if you provide 2 free permits and the ability to purchase 1 or 2 additional permits for cars owned by permanent residents</p>
<p>1518035  As part of a group/organisation (Thame, Lower High Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b>  Please ensure any pay and display parking in the town has the same time limit. Under the proposal, most areas are 2 1/2 hours, whilst one section near the war memorial is only 2 hours. This will catch some people out unnecessarily and seems unjustified.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b>  I write on behalf of Thame Museum. There is a concern that exhibitors dropping off items may be unable to park near the museum. Are we eligible for visitor parking permits? In addition, is there a possibility of a new disabled parking bay outside the museum to assist our disabled visitors?</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1490003  Member of public (Thame, Maple Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b></p>

	<p>Very useful is waiting for someone</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b>  Due to the number of volunteer groups etc I often pop into town up to 3 times a day, this means I will have to get a ticket for these bays</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b>  I would like to know how many spaces this will include</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b>  If this is spaces is increased it must be enforced as the taxis currently take up a lot more spaces than they have allocated</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1488495  Local or County Cllr  (Thame, Marston  Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  Shops and restaurants are trying to promote business and to restrict to 30 mins will put people off of visiting Thame.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b>  As before</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b>  Many locals use the town to support local business and if there are too many permit only spaces, this will not leave enough room for others</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b>  Many use these to drop/pick people up. Eg. for school children to limit parking outside schools for child safety reasons</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b>  There are not enough parking bays for others for this to be of any use to locals</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1523992  Member of public  (Thame, Moorend Lane)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b>  As mentioned i don't think you have considered the impact to the streets just beyond the area you have focus upon.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  Existing motorists could look to streets around Cornmarket, High Street and Park Street with pay and display introduced. Moorend Lane already sees a number of workers use the street for "free parking", i am concerned as a resident this will get worse.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b>  I feel Resident permit holders should be extended to Moorend Lane too.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b>  Too many visitors to Thame are not using the car parks, but seeking "free" parking at the expense of residents.</p>

<p>1539065 As a business (Thame, Moored Lane)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> Predominantly the Town benefits from its unique approach to parking. The amendment could disturb the balance and alter the character of the town. Restrictions to the time allowed to park in the on street bays could help, however I'm not wholly convinced</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> 30 minutes is adequate time to visit a shop in Thame</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> I disagree with resident permit holding generally. In a small town, they can find a place nearby.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> Street trading is a very poor line of business which fluctuates considerably. Street trading can bring the whole appeal of a town down. They have enough space on the Tuesday market, yet they still don't reach the optimum capacity for stalls and are not</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Thame is small enough to cope with the traffic including taxis etc. It is a small market town which caters for the often, bulk shopper, elderly shopper, people who want to pick things up quickly at their own risk of a fine, no, please think very careful</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> I support any way to improve local trade in the Thame town centre, however I would be very concerned about any proposed changes, Thame attracts business because it has the convenience of not having to pay for parking, however, this is open to manipulation</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> I think this could damage trade and complicate issues.</p>
<p>1533133 Member of public</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p>

<p>(Thame, Naseby Close)</p>	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  Will the cost of implementation of parking meters and signage etc be cost effective? Who will the money paid for parking be paid to?</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b>  I am not sure that this will change the turnover of parked vehicles?</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b>  They should have priority</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b>  Good idea</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b>  How much larger will this area be?</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b>  Good idea to give residents priority</p>
<p>1489579  Member of public  (Thame, Nelson)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b>  Displacement of Parker`s into residential streets nearby eg Nelson St where there are 34 spaces and 70+ cars....</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b>  Still won't be enough spaces</p>

	<p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b>          Could be abused</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b>          Will there be enough spaces?          Will they be free?          Will those who have private parking not be allowed permit?</p>
<p>1553192          Member of public          (Thame, Nelson Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b>          More information for the exceptions e.g someone with a drive or one parking space, three cars.          More guidelines for the parking attendant as they appear to park where they wish, yellow lines etc which does not generate good will. It will become more of an</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>          it isn't clear as to what owners with garages/parking space (therefore not entitled to a parking permit) are able to apply for in their own right e.g visitors parking permits, visiting tradesmen etc Are these households allowed a permit for an extra car e</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b>          The area designated pay and display (blue hashing) outside Smarts Fish and Chip shop and The Practise should be exempt (yellow) for Upper High Street permit holders, they haven't been allocated enough alternative space on market day.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b>          You should be able to park in front of your own house. It may cause issues for businesses though that have a flat/apartment above their premises.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> I don't think Thame requires an additional taxi spot here. At present the raised crossing has an open view, I think it would obscure a small child from sight.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> I think 24 hours is too long, 18 hours would allow set up and removal on the same day. It prevents evening parking that supports local pubs/restaurants.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> There aren't enough details on who can have the visitors parking permits and the allocation of residents permits/property. Apologies if I've missed this somewhere.</p>
<p>1488885 Member of public (Thame, Newbarn close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> Please keep parking free.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> Will mean less people shopping in town supporting local businesses, as charges will put them off.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Will help people in park street for example, get a parking space. But consideration needs to be given for workmen/visitors needing to park in the residential street for those houses.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> Good idea. Local business support</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Too many empty taxis in town as it is. There can't be any business for them if there are so many. Need more car spaces not taxi spaces.</p>



	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> If it helps local businesses.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> If it means local people can park in the street they live in.</p>
<p>1498714 As a business (Thame, North St)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> As previously described</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> North St West side You are eliminating public parking by introducing Residents only permits, where are the shoppers supposed to park now. North St East side I welcome the yellow lines going, However, you could continue round the corner into Wellington St</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Why charge someone who will enrich the town, Marlow doesn't.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Already explained re North St</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> Sounds fine</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> No problem</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Uses valuable spaces for shoppers and will not be used during work days.</p>

<p>1489907 Member of public (Thame, Old Union Way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Removing waiting restrictions could lead to people parking in places they should not.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> This could result in the car parks becoming congested and shoppers/visitors unable to park. Only a very limited number of permits should be allowed</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1490227 Member of public (Thame, Park Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> Very complex consultation which should have taken place months ago. Concerns re added burden of cost.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Very difficult to understand, high cost in time of cost of living crisis</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> Added costs at time of cost of living crisis</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Support principle but concerns re added costs at time of Cost of living crisis. As a resident we already pay high level of council tax why do we have to pay for a service which should be ours as of right as a council tax payer</p>

	<p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b>          Hope they don't have to pay exorbitant charge</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b>          Cost will not affect us as over 70s, but concerns for added costs for council tax payers at time of cost of living crisis</p>
<p>1492628          Member of public          (Thame, park street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b>          i object to some of the recommendations</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>          Thame business owners do not need or want more parking restrictions. in order to encourage customers to visit Thame, the parking should be free of charge</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b>          Residents have access to very limited parking. Parking permits will restrict parking to residents only</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b>          its a good idea</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>          We do not need more taxi spaces</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b>          Traders should park free</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b></p>

	<p>I agree in principle but am concerned at the cost. Residents should not be penalised by having to pay for parking permits. Permits should be free of charge for first car and modest sum for second car.</p>
<p>1494589 Member of public (Thame, Park Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> There seems to be very little benefit to the overall community. While traders and some home owners on some streets will benefit from permits it is unlikely to provide benefits to others who will have to fight for even less on-road parking. With more peopl</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> It isn't clear where these restrictions are being removed from so its not possible to give a considered view when there isn't full disclosure</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Because it will make no different to what happens in the Town Centre. When driving through the town you have to be alert to cars stopping suddenly, hovering, pulling out and reversing into spaces with no consideration for other drivers or people crossing the road. It won't stop people parking illegally. Thus is where the focus should go. Enforcement of illegal and dangerous parking in the town. The only people who will benefit are the private parking companies. Perhaps more consideration should be given to pedestianising the town centre area to encourage more visitors, social spaces and Al fresco dining/drinking.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> More information is needed on which roads will be affected, how many permits are allocated to each house and how much permits will cost. We live on Park Street (where there is already no parking) and park at the rear of our house. It is already hard to pa</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b></p>

	<p>As before, I live on Park Street but it is the big that is always overlooked because it is on the Thame Park Road/Chinnor Road junction. We struggle to park already and there is already a ridiculous single yellow line on the road to the rear of our proper</p>
<p>1492887 Member of public (Thame, Park Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b>  Although I understand that the proposal for Residents' Parking will be beneficial to many residents in these roads, it appears that residents with off-street parking are being excluded from the scheme. My family is resident in Park Street and we have off</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b>  The "eligible properties" for Residents and Visitors' Parking Permits exclude residents with off-street parking. My family is resident in Park Street and we have off-street parking for our own two cars. With the new proposals it seems we are not eligible for Visitors' Parking Permits. We, like residents who park in the road, have visitors and service providers who need to park near our house. We also have support workers and therapists who regularly work with our disabled son, some for several hours at a time, not all of whom may be eligible for a Carer's Permit. We request that residents with off-street parking may either be included in the eligible properties for Residents' and Visitors' Parking Permits, or alternatively may purchase Visitors' Parking Permits without having a Residents' Permit. Otherwise, those with off-street parking are being penalised for having created their own parking area to alleviate the call on limited parking in the road.</p>

<p>1517227 Member of public (Thame, Park Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> In general I support this scheme wholeheartedly, but have some concerns for the residents of the terraced houses at the top (town) end of East Street. I believe that these houses and the currently unrestricted parking bay on East St that runs from opposi</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> I believe this will make a significant improvement to residents parking and simplify the existing informal arrangements already in place in much of the town. Extensive free parking remains for shoppers and businesses with long stay provision available at</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> Existing parking is free (though time limited) in these areas and still has good parking turnover - unsure why pay and display is being introduced other than to increase revenue?</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> As per previous comments. Parking has been a significant issue for many residents of Thame for some time now and this simplifies the current informal arrangements that are in place while also incorporating the current High St parking scheme</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Its is important that residents have the option of visitors permits and the proposals seem reasonable</p>
<p>1562976 Member of public (Thame, Putman Close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b></p>

	<p>I want to see appropriate parking spaces, charges and enforcements. I would like to see unreasonable parking for long periods of time, especially outside parking areas. I would like to see a ban of parking on pavements which restrict pedestrian access.</p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Concerns</b> I prefer the existing 3 hour limit.</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Concerns</b> Not all residents need a daytime parking permit. The opinions of the residents are important.</p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – Concerns</b> Would it be followed? There may be long periods of time when the bays are unused. Who would enforce this?</p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – Support</b></p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – Cocnerns</b> Depends on where and how it's enforced. In my experience the enforcers are over officious penalising me when I was getting a parking permit, or when my wheel was touching the white line. Tends to be used a money making machine as more residents' permit</p>
<p>1491556 Member of public (Thame, QUEENS ROAD)</p>	<p><b>Scheme in general – Concerns</b> Please can Oxfordshire and Thame councillors visit the area around Chinner road, parking on pavement causes obstruction of fire vehicles and pedestrians. Cars speed to get past parked cars, this should be the priority</p> <hr/> <p><b>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – Support</b></p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b> In the 20 years I've lived in Thame I've virtually never had an issue with the parking. Adding parking costs for such a short period will deter visitors</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Support</b></p>

	<p>This is fine, but the real parking issues in Thame are around Chinner road where people constantly park illegally, this should be a higher priority</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> Only for electrically powered taxis</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> Ok</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Spending money on central area when the main parking issues are around Chinner road. Also the 2nd permit should only be where the car is electrically powered</p>
<p>1528077 Member of public (Thame, Queen's Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> The parking as it is works well; there is a good turnover of spaces. Businesses may suffer if parking charges are introduced, and with permits, cars will be pushed further out of town onto other residential streets.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> See previous.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> See previous.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> Will take up a lot of space and reduce the area for parking.</p>



	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> This will be a huge hassle for residents!</p>
<p>1525252 Local or County Cllr (Thame, Swan Walk)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> I must also comment that this whole consultation exercise seems to be back to front. Why weren't residents, businesses and a sample of visitors consulted prior to framing a detailed proposal, rather than being asked to comment on something which is patent</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> I am not directly affected as I live in the town centre and have off-road parking BUT I did live for 27 years in Park Street (1986 - 2013), am very well acquainted with the resident's parking issues, led a residential parking survey in 2005/6, and this w</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> As already stated against Q.3 .....my immediate concern is the proposal for 'Resident and Visitor' parking bays in Park Street between 8.00am – 6.00pm, which makes no sense at all and totally fails to recognise or assist the issues faced by residents. The</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Support in principle if they address the need effectively but, as already commented, these proposals don't.</p>
<p>1516577 Member of public</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p>

<p>(Thame, Towersey Drive)</p>	<p>not mentioned on the sheet</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  Parking should be free in Thame to encourage people into the high street</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b>  If the property when purchased did not allow for reserved parking then it shouldnt be included now</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b>  Traders need to be able to park for the supply of goods and products to the local community</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>  The amount of taxis in the current bay is sufficient for the local community, we do not want a string of taxis congregating and clogging in the area</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b>  This is not mentioned in your document</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1495638  As a business  (Thame, Upper High Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b>  I note your proposed parking charge changes and broadly have no objection, with one exception. You have made no provision for business owners and workers to park in Thame. Me and my team have to spend time moving our car around Thame every day because</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  I note your proposed parking charge changes and broadly have no objection, with one exception. You have made no provision for business owners and workers to park in Thame. Me and my team have to spend time moving our car around Thame every day because t</p>

	<p><b>Paid parking bays – Concerns</b>  I note your proposed parking charge changes and broadly have not objection, with one exception. You have made no provision for business owners and workers to park in Thame. Me and my team have to spend time moving our car around Thame every day because</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Concerns</b>  I note your proposed parking charge changes and broadly have not objection, with one exception. You have made no provision for business owners and workers to park in Thame. Me and my team have to spend time moving our car around Thame every day because</p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – Concerns</b>  I note your proposed parking charge changes and broadly have not objection, with one exception. You have made no provision for business owners and workers to park in Thame. Me and my team have to spend time moving our car around Thame every day because</p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – Concerns</b>  I note your proposed parking charge changes and broadly have not objection, with one exception. You have made no provision for business owners and workers to park in Thame. Me and my team have to spend time moving our car around Thame every day because</p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – Cocnerns</b>  I note your proposed parking charge changes and broadly have not objection, with one exception. You have made no provision for business owners and workers to park in Thame. Me and my team have to spend time moving our car around Thame every day because</p>
<p>1552482  Member of public  (Thame, Upper High  Street)</p>	<p><b>Scheme in general – Concerns</b>  I'm concerned that this consultation will just be paying lip service and that decisions have already been made. I believe that a rude and over zealous parking attendant does not make visiting the town centre a pleasure. I'm saddened that the town centre w</p> <hr/>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b>          What happens if there isn't a space in these areas for a permit holder?          How many permits v how many spaces?          Does it mean as a permit holder I can no longer park in the car park area all day?          What about Market days?</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b>          "Proposed increase of 1 parking place"          Or "vehicles" as it states elsewhere.          Need clarification on how many vehicles</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b>          Would like clarification is it £7.50 a day or £25 for any period not exceeding a week?</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b>          Why can't residents permits be for whoever owns a car at the property and is on the electoral role rather than two permits per property?          If I understand it correctly not all residents with permits will be able to apply for visitors permits. ie Upper High Street addresses. I strongly object to this and can't see any logic? Where would my elderly parents have to park if visiting me in Upper High Street ? This seems very unfair</p>
<p>1558029          Member of public          (Thame, Upper High Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b>          Though I agree that some change might be needed in the town, I am most concerned that this will adversely affect some of our small independent traders, who have relied on the free parking in what is, after all, a market town, with few car parks attached t</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b></p>

	<p>why remove the double yellows? it does not affect the use of the parking and it dissuades people from double parking.</p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Concerns</b>  How does this work? if you think you are going to be less than half an hour and then it takes longer (for example a 5 min trip to the Post Office taking MUCH longer due to staff incompetence), how will this work?  As a resident of the Upper High Street, w</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Concerns</b>  How many spaces are being made available to residents against the number of permits sold? if there are no spaces left, can we then park in the main car park as before with a resident permit? we don't want to have to park in other streets as this is not on</p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – Concerns</b>  Is this the Kebab van space? if so, I have no objections to the Kebab van, but I am amazed that it will cost only £50 more than a resident permit for commercial space! if this is the case I would like to have this space, I am prepared to pay £200 a year.</p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – Object</b>  There is plenty of space already for taxis.</p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – Concerns</b>  Please clarify the difference between a "Trader" (permit £7.50/day [paragraph 2f]) and a "contractor" (£25 for a period not exceeding a week [paragraph 6b]) and why are they treated so differently? surely a contractor could claim to be a trader and vice v</p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – Cocnerns</b>  I am firstly disgusted that a government body should have such appalling spelling! "Cocnerns" is not even a word!  Why can we in the Upper High street not get visitor permits? where do our visitors park? why are our visitors singled out?  I strongly objec</p>
1566804 Member of public	Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b>

<p>(Thame, Upper High Street)</p>	<p>Mainly as expressed at the start - loss of relatively relaxed approach to parking in Thame; insufficient spaces for residents parking; not enough thought given to long-stay parking; siting of meters could cause disturbance; similarly the street trader's b</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> Appears to add clarity and allows more unrestricted space for residents to park legally.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> Whilst I see the logic in encouraging more frequent turnover of parking spaces, I don't want to lose the relatively relaxed feeling of Thame by being unnecessarily restrictive. Are there sufficient parking spaces in the proposal for all residents? Where w</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> To protect a number of parking spaces that can only be used by residents (although I fear there won't be enough of them).</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> As it is proposed to be placed on the Upper High Street side of the Citizens Advice building I would hope this would be restricted to reputable traders only and supervised for noise, pollution etc (e.g. no generators/loud music etc).</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> There is currently insufficient space for all the taxis so this might ease a little the congestion caused when taxis can't park.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> If the decision is taken to fully implement these proposals then it will be essential for local residents to be able to offer parking to their visitors so they can maintain their social connections.</p>
<p>1520582 Member of public</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p>

<p>(Thame, Wellington street)</p>	<p>I think this will make it harder for people to come to the high street which we are trying to encourage not make it harder. For older adults, ticket machines are hard to use and actively enforcing these restrictions will be costly</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> I'd like to make a difference</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> I am concerned</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> This will clog up the side roads</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> This will minimise the number of parking areas</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> Support</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> As a side street resident, this will only Push cars to park on my road</p>
<p>1568266 Member of public (Thame, Wellington Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> Thame's time-limited free parking in the town centre provides positive encouragement for visitors to shop in town. Pay &amp; Display areas in the centre are not needed, even if they provide employment for a Parking Ticket Warden.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> The proposed changes look too complicated. A devious way to raise money from parking fines!</p>

	<p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b>  The present time-limited free parking in the very centre of town is simple and surely encourages shoppers? I can understand the need for some Residents' Permit parking bays, but Pay &amp; Display parking on the roadside is unnecessary.</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Support</b>  Parking space for residents in the centre of town is getting harder to find. Regrettably, I fear that some areas now need Residents Permit parking.</p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – Support</b>  The Atalay van has become a popular feature in the town centre. The location is perfect.</p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – Support</b>  There are often taxis waiting outside the Taxi Parking bays - clearly they are an essential part of the local transport network.</p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – Support</b>  The Tuesday Market and other occasional markets are a popular feature in the town; market traders should be supported.</p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – Support</b>  I'm sure this is now necessary for town centre residents.</p>
<p>1561262  Member of public  (Thame, Windmill  Road)</p>	<p><b>Scheme in general – Concerns</b>  I think additional double yellow lines should be added to one side of the streets adjoining the scheme e.g. Windmill Road otherwise there will be a knockon effect of double parking that will make these streets difficult to negotiate.</p> <hr/> <p><b>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – Concerns</b>  I think the half hour free parking is not long enough and will be confusing. I think additional double yellow lines should be added to one side of the streets adjoining the scheme e.g. Windmill Road otherwise there will be a knockon effect of double parki</p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Concerns</b></p>



	<p>I think the half hour free parking is not long enough and will be confusing. People will hardly be able to visit one shop and leave inside 30 minutes.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> seems fair</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> Support if it does not increase the traders costs significantly</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> Seems reasonable</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Seems fair if the residents no longer need to stress about getting a parking space</p>
<p>1556288 Rather not say (Thame, Wykeham Park)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> will lead to greater congestion in road</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> pushes parking further into side streets making it difficult for residents to park, planned charges are unlikely to affect the volume of traffic seeking to park</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Affects visitors to Thame and pushes traffic into side streets</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> helpful for street traders, not sure of impact on town</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p>

	<p>extra taxi space welcome</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> helpful for traders to be able to park when working with businesses and residents in town</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> bureaucracy, a way of making money, unconvinced that this does anything to support the town and allows creep to other roads in the future</p>
<p>1488613 Member of public (Thame, Youens Drive)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> At the moment parking is varied from 1 hour to 3 hours. There are times where people may need the 3 hours. Also, why not keep the 1 hour free as it is and this will encourage people to use Thame shops. 30 minutes is not long if you need the bank!! Free pa</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> There will undoubtedly be a cost to find this.</p>
<p>1499645 Member of public (Thame, chestnut avenue)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> concerns listed.</p>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  We often shop at both Sainsbury's and Waitrose. I am not sure 30 minutes would be long enough. I feel 1 Hr free would be more suitable.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b>  I do not feel 30 mins free is long enough. I feel 1hr free is more appropriate.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>  is there that much of a need for more taxi spaces. Would it not be more beneficial to use this as parking spaces.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b>  why would a traders need 24 hours? Would they just need a permit for an average working day.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Concerns</b>  I only have concerns if we need to pay for the permits.</p>
<p>1492848  Member of public  (Thame, Cotmore  gardens)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b>  It says this will remove congestion which is a good thing.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  The current arrangement of 1 hour is better especially if queuing at banks or post office half hour is insufficient. After 1 hour bring in charges. Will also make people more inclined to clog up Waitrose or Sainsbury's car parks as they are free which just pushes the problem elsewhere. If the charge does come in then it should be at a reduced rate. Bucks car parks are 70p for one hour.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> Reduce congestion.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> Reduce congestion around the bus stop and taxi rank.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> Often seems like a free for all and adds to congestion.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Stops people parking and then buzzing off all day or on holiday and leaving their car parked inappropriately.</p>
<p>1561961 Member of public (Thame, Digby Close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> Strong objections to a poorly thought through plan that seems to only be a money maker for OCC and will damage the local trade, residents and visitors. Very poor and cynical miss use of council powers.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Basically this is a reduction in parking and additional cost for residents and visitors. It will damage trade and is not cost effective. Poor scheme</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> It's cash to the country council for no return. It actually will depend on fines as it will not be economic to operate</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> This is much the same as now so no changes that I can see. Why change what already works</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> Sounds like rules and enforcement to create more income for OCC with no local benefit</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> This serves no purpose other than another excuse for fines. It will damage trade and have a negative impact on traffic</p>

	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> As long as this is not at the cost of free parking</p>
<p>1491453 Member of public (Thame, Glenham Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> Often waiting restrictions are already breached so modifying them to 15-30mins waiting would be reasonable</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Free parking should remain with time limited parking though use of apps or ANPR kerbside meters. Use of paper tickets is not environmentally friendly due to single use nature</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Already times of the day where this is under-utilised</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Open to abuse</p>
<p>1497201 Member of public (Thame, High Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> Concerned about not enough resident parking spaces</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> It will drive people out of the town centre</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Concerned that there will not be enough spaces</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> Good to support local businesses</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> To support local businesses</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> I am a resident</p>
<p>1488746 Member of public (Thame, Lambert Walk)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> I would support (for environmental reasons) but have some concerns that the high street businesses would suffer and Thame has such a wonderful high street that this would be a huge shame.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> As above. Support on Green grounds. Concerned over potential damage to the high street</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> As previous</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1490541 Member of public (Thame, Nelson Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Living on a nearby street which is already scant on parking and impacted by commuter parking, I would expect a negative impact on the ability to park near my house (I as well as neighbours already need to often park streets away). Parking would be pushed</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> As previous - Living on a nearby street which is already scant on parking and impacted by commuter parking, I would expect a negative impact on the ability to park near my house (I as well as neighbours already need to often park streets away). Parking wo</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> As previous. Parking is already for want of a better word, a nightmare on Nelson Street. This would at least give residents priority parking. Is paid parking is put in without resident permits it will be a disaster for us on our street. They would need t</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> If this is for the market I would be concerned it would impact the vibrancy of what is always a well ordered market. No trader stays over 24 hours so don't see the need.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>

	<p>As prior, it would be imperative if paid parking was to be implemented that the side roads for residents were protected.</p>
<p>1494839 Member of public (Thame, Strafford way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Turning Thame into a cash cow for parking enforcement. Confusion for older residents (without blue badges). Increased street furniture.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Unnecessary street furniture. Security of machines. More paper into the environment for tickets - additional litter.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Protect on street parking for residents</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> Protect the parking for the kebab van.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> Won't stop taxis in no waiting areas.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Why is extra bureaucracy being encouraged.</p>
<p>1494942 Member of public (Thame, Van Diemans Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b></p>



	<p>I don't think there should be paid for parking on the high street.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> I know that residents can sometimes struggle with parking, particularly when events are on. I think it would be beneficial to have areas which are just for local residents</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1488730 Member of public (Thame, Youens Drive)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> Please keep Thame free to park. We are suffering enough from rising costs</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> We do need free 'quick' parking</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> This will put people off popping in to the town if they have to pay to park.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Important for residents to be able to park</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> Good idea</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> The taxi rank is plenty big enough</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> As before, residents need parking</p>
<p>1493092 Member of public (Tiddington, North close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> This is a bad idea and no benefit will be seen in the town. Why follow the same as every other little town, be unique and do not charge. Unless all the money can be utilised to make changes within the town I totally object to this suggestion.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Thame is one of the few towns that do not charge for parking and I think its wonderful. No one abuses the parking, everyone who visits Thame does so because they like the town and the fact they don't have to pay for parking. What benefit would the town see from the charges?? None. It would only line pockets of the parking company. I fear trade would depleat if people ahead to pay to park.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> As per my previous comments</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Not everyone that visits the town are residents. Me included but its much closest town and its so refreshing to not have tonoay to park. I'm only ever in town for a couple of hours if that and so to have to pay for such a short visit I'd be quite upset about.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> The wonderful free parking is limited and so extending this would benefit no one at all. Why change what works quite well</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> The traders may take up all the spaces that can be used for customers entering their shops.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>How would this even work. You would have to sign up to get a parking permit of you're visiting. What a pain</p>
<p>1492950 Member of public (Tiddington, School Lane)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> Parking in Thame should remain free. I dont object to the current parking time limits, but it should remain free to park</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> It will deter people from supporting shops in Thame. It will also encourage parking on narrow back roads and on pavements, possibly blocking peoples private driveways.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> The taxi bay is long enough.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1492868 Member of public (Tiddington, Sandy lane est)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Paid parking for day visitors</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> As a supporter of local independent businesses, Free parking is the main draw to Thame town for shopping.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Puts me off shopping here as it does in other places that have introduced this</p>

	<p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Just the area allocated</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> Cost involved</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> Good for the market town</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1488833 Member of public (Towersey, Windmill Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Current arrangements work and being able to stop, do a quick task, leave are currently OK. Enforcement of time limits may help BUT bringing in new charges will positively make me no longer frequent trade in Thame. In my view a very poor proposal for a non</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> The proposals are not necessary and will make Thame less attractive</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Not necessary. Solving a non-existent problem.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Solving a problem that doesn't exist.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	Residents & Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b>
1488361 Member of public (Towersey, Manor Rd)	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> The current system works perfectly and Thame is a thriving town precisely because parking is free right in the town centre.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> We come shopping and eat/drink in Thame precisely because it's free to park for up to 3 hrs</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Lack of space for other users</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> No need and takes up more precious parking spaces</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> No need taxi have enough space and they shouldn't be waiting there if the existing space is already full.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
1494755 As a business (Upper High Street clinic, Upper High Street)	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- dated proposal</li> <li>- previous form of this has destroyed many towns</li> <li>- very short sighted</li> <li>- rent increase</li> <li>- energy bill increase</li> <li>- stops encouraging community</li> </ul>

- reduces ease and puts on people accessing the town
- less footfall - reduced income for bu

Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – **Concerns**

Looking at other towns parking charges introduced to a town reduced its attractiveness to shoppers and other potential customers. Cross pollination of local businesses is reduced with less footfall in Thame there will be lots of available parking spaces b

Paid parking bays – **Object**

People will go to big superstores or other towns where they can nip in and out and get their shopping. 30 minutes grace is not long enough for anything to be done. Or if going to the hairdressers or dentist now having to pay for parking might encourage someone to go somewhere else without the hassle and the cost.

Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – **Object**

Residents are already parked outside their house if they require a space in the daytime. A lot of people have returned to commuting and there would be lots of spaces left unused while people are at work. Most office workers have left in time for residents to return at 5.30pm.

Perhaps residents permits from 6pm to 8am is more useful

Formalised Street Traders only Bay – **Object**

Why should one trade be supported more than another. I pay rates and rent probably higher than a street trader

Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – **Object**

Taxis operate in many different forms - a local community bus or village hospital transport. Maybe a stopping bay with driver staying in the vehicle ?!

24 hour permits for traders – **Support**

Providing these are fairly available to all businesses and not a select few

Residents & Visitors` Parking Permits – **Object**

I feel I've already answered this.

1494567  
Member of public  
(Ashendon, Main  
Street)

**Scheme in general – Object**

It's unnecessary and will deter visitors and destroy a thriving town centre. Privatising parking lowers footfall and changes the economic dynamics, this would be a very short sighted decision that would be highly damaging and detrimental to the businesses

**Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – Concerns**

Many visitors come from the surrounding villages and this will make it more difficult to get into Thame and, for villages that don't have any public transport, this will create unnecessary restrictions

**Paid parking bays – Concerns**

This makes no sense. The only people benefiting are the parking companies and this will destroy a thriving town centre. You only have to look at Aylesbury to see the town centre full of charity shops and empty shops to see how damaging paid parking is.

**Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Concerns**

The majority of residents are at work between these proposed hours. The only people to benefit are the parking companies. Please don't do this, you will kill a thriving town centre.

**Formalised Street Traders only Bay – Object**

Why? This makes no sense.

**Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – Concerns**

Again, this is fixing a problem that doesn't exist.

**24 hour permits for traders – Object**

I can only assume this is another way to make money out of visitors to Thame and unless there is a real reason, this will simply reduce the amount of traders to the town in turn negatively impacting Thame

**Residents & Visitors` Parking Permits – Object**

Why are you charging for parking? Where will visitors park? Please don't do this as people won't be avid to visit any more.

<p>1491645 Member of public (Brill, Northhills)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> As before</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> It works as it is.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> It works as it is. It encourages people to continue to use our wonderful town centre. I own a holiday let in a nearby village and it's one of the commendations I make when guests ask me where to go- free parking is a huge recommendation</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> It works as it is</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> More rules and regulations to manage&amp; adhere to. Thame town centre is an important shopping amenity, the more rules and regulations the similar we become to anywhere else</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> I think I have coveted this in all my previous answers</p>
<p>1492908 Member of public (Chearsley, Church Piece)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> The current parking restrictions allow visitors ample time to enjoy Thame, either by shopping, eating/entertaining or having the opportunity to browse and shop.</p>



	<p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Thame will become a less attractive town for all the reasons listed above, and will impact on the Traders. I do not want to see Thame become a ghost town. It currently serves many surrounding villages.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Thame is currently a thriving town, and the present parking restrictions seem to adequately support this.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1492786 Member of public (Chinnor, Beech)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> With the increased cost of living paying to park in the town centre will result in less spending in local shops which will adversely effect the economy.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>

1493379  
Member of public  
(Chinnor, Lower  
Icknield Way)

Scheme in general – **Object**

---

Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – **No opinion**

Paid parking bays – **Object**

1. As a visitor it would put me off going to Thame to go and meet for coffee, food and going to the lovely shops. It is an extra cost which adds up especially considering the current cost of living, so choices have to be made and paying for parking is a cost to avoid paying.

2. 2.5 hours is not long enough to allow for a social meet up in a coffee shop or restaurant and going into the shops. This makes Thame a less attractive as a meeting point, because an extra benefit has been to be able to run an errand or having a potter when catching up.

3. The lovely mix of independent shops, coffee shops and restaurants make Thame unique and I fear that, with paid parking, they would not be able to run their business profitably and close down. This would mean empty shops and only (budget) chains keeping foot in the high streets completely changing Thame for the worse. The destiny of so many other towns.

Why do I fear that the shops would have to close down? The money spent in Thame would significantly reduce due to

a) Less people coming to Thame as they might take their shopping elsewhere as free parking has been such a USP for Thame.

B) People would come into town less often because they are put off by paid parking so trips are skipped or things sourced elsewhere

C) People would spend less money in town because they have limited time due to time restriction. It would be hard to combine a social with shopping within 2.5 hours.

In summary: less people, coming to Thame less frequently and spending less. That will be unsustainable for independent businesses.

Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – **Object**

It would reduce availability of parking for all. But it depends on the affected roads.

Formalised Street Traders only Bay – **No opinion**

Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – **Object**

Always seemed like there are enough spaces for taxis

	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1505277 Member of public (Chinnor, Mushrave)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> I don't see the need to change anything as per my first statement.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> The parking has work fine for the last 20 years and don't see why it needs to change. These changes will impact the selection of thame as a place to shop and eat out in a negative way thus impacting the business and community spirit within thame and the surrounding villages.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> This is a about revenue generation and nothing more. See previous statement.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> I support this however the need for this I driven by the ever increasing expansion of thame and other villages by new builds without considering the impacts, infrastructure and need of the existing towns and villages and the negative impacts to residents</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> It was working before so don't have an issue with formalising this provided it has absolutely zero impact and or Costs to the traders and users of those traders.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> It's work well now so why change it. If it's not broken don't fix it.... A wise old saying...</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> Support this provided there is no impact and additional costs for the traders and users of of e market. Otherwise leave leave it as is.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>

	See my answers to residents parking. But visitors should use the free parking like every past else ....
1495440 Member of public (Chinnor, N/A)	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> It currently works as is</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Works how it is</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> This will kill the footfall in tge town and it currently works well as it is. This is a money grabbing ploy as is everything today. It will have a negative impact!</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> For areas where parking is very restricted outside of town centre</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> If extension to existing strip would make sense as supports taxis and minimal impact on private parking</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> For larger upper carpark only</p>
1493592 Member of public (Chinnor, Lower Icknield Way)	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>1. As a visitor it would put me off going to Thame to go and meet for coffee, food and going to the lovely shops. It is an extra cost which adds up especially considering the current cost of living, so choices have to be made and paying for parking is a cost to avoid paying.</p> <p>2. 2.5 hours is not long enough to allow for a social meet up in a coffee shop or restaurant and going into the shops. This makes Thame a less attractive as a meeting point, because an extra benefit has been to be able to run an errand or having a potter when catching up.</p> <p>3. The lovely mix of independent shops, coffee shops and restaurants make Thame unique and I fear that, with paid parking, they would not be able run their business profitably and close down. This would mean empty shops and only (budget) chains keeping foot in the high streets completely changing Thame for the worse. The destiny of so many other towns.</p> <p>Why do I fear that the shops would have to close down? The money spend in Thame would significantly reduce due to</p> <p>a) Less people coming to Thame as they might take their shopping elsewhere as free parking has been such a USP for Thame.</p> <p>B) People would come into town less often because they are put off by paid parking so trips are skipped or things sourced elsewhere</p> <p>C) People would spend less money in town because they have limited time due to time restriction. It would be hard to combine a social with shopping within 2.5 hours.</p> <p>In summary: less people, coming to Thame less frequently and spending less. That will be unsustainable for independent businesses.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1495092 Member of public (Chinnor, Lower Icknield Way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>Thame has a huge variety of facilities - mainly shops and cafes. It is a perfect place to pop to for essentials but also leisure.          Visiting is currently VERY accessible which attracts people. Paid parking with inhibit this accessibility and I truly believe reduce footfall.          Personally I know it will reduce how much I visit Thame (i.e How much I spend).</p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b>          It should remain free</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Object</b>          That would sabotage the local businesses!!! If people cannot easily park they will go elsewhere</p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – Object</b>          Same reason as before</p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – Object</b>          we need people to stop so that they get out their cars and spend money</p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – Object</b>          again this will reduced the accessibility of the high street to the general public (the people who keep it alive)</p>
<p>1488934          Member of public          (Chinnor, Druids Walk)</p>	<p><b>Scheme in general – Object</b>          I really think you don't realise people don't need to go out to shop these days, they can save money and get things delivered to their home for less money.</p> <hr/> <p><b>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – Concerns</b>          Why not just demolish all Thame shops now. You'll rid the area of shops, business rates and parking charges.          I'll just pay to subscribe to Amazon and the like and you'll get nothing.          Wake up and support your locals, business and citizens.          Or just let s</p>

	<p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> Let's just kill what's left of the High St.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> You'll kill any revenue you get now and earn nothing.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> I think you are totally out of touch with reality.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b> An omoeba could work out people's concerns, but they have one extra brain cell over you. So maybe ask a sponge for an intellectual answer to your problems.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1492960 Member of public (Great Haseley, Latchford lane)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> If it ain't broke don't fix it. Never have any problems parking in Thame. Will just put me off visiting. All the queueing for poor performing machines adds up to a few more people who could have parked, done a quick shop and left. If it's a pain then I w</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Will ruin the wonderful character of Thame and reduce visits to businesses already struggling with on line competition.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> If I'm parked then I'm visiting local businesses and supporting them. I don't just leave my car for fun.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Elsewhere they are always empty. Will just cause further congestion at the other car parks. Overall there will be less visitors in the day. I already avoid Thame on market days.</p>

	<p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> They already park in the car bays.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> For what reason? There is always parking. I've never had a problem parking in Thame. Is it just a money spinner?</p>
<p>1492701 Member of public (Haddenham, Station Rd)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Thame is a significant local service hub for many surrounding communities and isolated dwellings who are not able to use public transport as an alternative. By introducing parking charges you will start the pressure for all parking to be paid in the town</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> They work fine so why do you need to impose paid parking which will start the slow decline of the thriving Thame town centre as it has in so many other parts of Oxfordshire where you are trying to ban the car and don't understand how the local economy works.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> There is no need to impose charges. With only 30mins there is no time to do anything and you will simply fine everyone in an attempt to generate revenue</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> If there are more taxis waiting in this busy part of the high street then there is clearly little demand. Taxis are expensive and most people cannot afford to use them.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p>



	<p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b>  If you buy a house with no parking attached you cannot expect to park right outside your house and need to make alternative arrangements. Having these restrictions will once again make popping to see friends an administrative nightmare , make it hard for carers to park and visit the clients in residents only areas and merely increases the revenue raising potential for the Council. There is a cost of living crisis - now is not the time!</p>
<p>1488827  Member of public  (Haddenham, Bridens  way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b>  I don't agree with the alternative proposal</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  I am a person who generally walks to Thame, during my lunch hour, 4 days a week. I only bring my car when needed, so these restrictions would put me off and mean I will go elsewhere to do shopping where I need my car to be parked for longer</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b>  Shops are open outside these hours.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b>  If the charge will be greater than the trader already pays I object</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b>  I don't consider it necessary, if there is a need for the taxi bays the taxis should be moving frequently enough to mean that no more bays are required</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b>  As unsure which traders you mean? Shop owners? If so if they aren't already charged and you propose charging then strongly object</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b>  Not required if other changes aren't made</p>

<p>1494466 Member of public (Haddenham, Cotts Field)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Same as both it will kill the town centre</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> I live in Haddenham just over the county border in Bucks, making paid parking will stop my family and I popping in for shopping and lunch. I am sure this will be the same for lots of people who live outside the town. It will stop daily footfall.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> As I said before</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> Why change what is already working very well.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Concerns</b> Why change what works</p>
<p>1488962 Member of public (Haddenham, Fairfield close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Thame is fine the way it is. People are struggling for money and you want to charge for parking. Less people will visit Thame and therefore will have a negative impact on the business and the town itself.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b></p>

	<p>I think putting paid parking will stop local people from neighbouring villages, such as Haddenham (where I live), coming to Thame to use the shops there. It will have a negative impact on businesses and a huge annoyance. If anything the free period should</p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b> Will stop people coming to Thame to have a look round the shops/running errand, having a negative impact on businesses</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – Object</b> No need. Parking needed for people coming into town not for taxis to sit for hours on end</p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – No opinion</b></p>
<p>1493134 Member of public (Haddenham, Thame Road)</p>	<p><b>Scheme in general – Object</b></p> <hr/> <p><b>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – Object</b> This will kill Thame high street and massively impact the businesses there</p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b> Huge impact on the high street businesses</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Object</b> Should be for all</p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – Object</b></p>

	<p>The parking as of today is fair for all</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1495421 Member of public (Long Crendon, Cheersley Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Thame works beautifully the way it is and it helps local businesses thrive by driving local custom into shops, rather than online to major retailers.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> There's no need. The roads are always clear, and many of the shops would be affected by customers not being able to quickly stop outside and load their purchases.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> I have no choice but to drive to Thame but should this happen I would most likely start shopping online, as I'm sure many others would, massively affecting local businesses and independent traders. Cost of living crisis also means every penny counts. There are also always spaces in Thame so there's no need for the change.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Again, there is no need. Residents are always able to park where they like to.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> There is no need for this. Visitors and residents currently always have plenty of choice where to park. Changing this would likely keep me - and many others - from visiting Thame high street at all.</p>

1492578  
Member of public  
(Long Crendon, Friars  
Furlong)

Scheme in general – **Object**

To force people from nearby villages to travel further to shop is the antithesis of green.

Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – **Concerns**

Supportive of reducing restriction but have concerns about road safety.

Paid parking bays – **Object**

Thame has a thriving town centre with many interesting (non-countrywide) businesses giving us a great choice. Most towns have done what is proposed and have destroyed their centres with only cafés and charity shops keeping the boarded up premises company. If there is limited and chargeable parking this will stop many from coming in from the surrounding villages both in Oxfordshire and Bucks as the local bus service is patchy. Putting off customers will mean businesses will close, there will be less reason to visit Thame, the centre will die, business rate income will collapse. Your proposal may help the big nationwide players and the parking enforcement company but it will destroy local businesses and turn the centre of Thame into a shabby wasteland. A few years ago the number of parking spaces in Thame was reduced. We were told at the time this was to reduce pollution, did no-one think that the inevitable result would be cars going round and round looking for a free space? I'm sure pollution was worsened.

Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – **Concerns**

Surely most residents will be out at work during the day and not need parking spots allocated, many will be walking into town.

Formalised Street Traders only Bay – **Concerns**

The market place is closed for parking the night before. Thame market is excellent but to reduce parking for it's customers will only harm it. Banbury market which was once brilliant is now a pathetic hotchpotch of a few uninspiring stalls, Thame being a

Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – **Object**

There is enough taxi parking.

24 hour permits for traders – **Concerns**

This is only necessary if you go ahead with the changes which will, likely shut down many of the traders.

	<p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b>  You will be increasing pollution short-term as drivers struggle to park. Long term it will destroy Thame as a thriving market town, many will probably just vote with their feet and burn fuel to go to Aylesbury etc for the convenience.</p>
<p>1492762  Member of public  (Long Crendon, Friars Furlong)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  None</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b>  Paid parking in Thame will only benefit private parking companies. It brings no value to Thame whatsoever, and is in fact detrimental. There has been no charge for parking since I can remember and visitors and residents alike, have enjoyed this. Why change it? It will discourage visitors and affect the economy of the town.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  As previous comments</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b>  If this is to be in a residential area then yes. Otherwise no</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b>  Aren't there enough already?</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b>  Potential for blocking spaces and causing chaos</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b>  Only support for residents and people who work in Thame</p>
<p>1493130  Member of public  (Long crendon, Giffard way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> I object to the introduction of paid parking in thame. The current system works effectively. The reasons for changing to paid have not been adequately made out or evidenced</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> I object to paid parking, the current system works very well and the need for change is not evidenced</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> As before</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> As before</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> As before</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> As before</p>
<p>1506318 Member of public (Long Crendon, Giffard Way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Would support more parent and child spaces as a) difficult to get young children in/out of car in current spaces but b) also not going to afford to pay parking too. C) half hour is barely enough time to get child out of car and around one shop whilst also</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Death of the high street - not able to pick up people / drop off.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Death of the high street; give people 2 hours parking to keep local businesses going. People will go elsewhere to shop, eat, meet for coffee etc otherwise!</p>

	<p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b>  Depends on extent - should also provide parking for people from local villages to shop locally. If residents have parking nearby they will not require permits in town.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b>  Depends on extent. Is this for use every day? What street traders? How much space?</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b>  No opinion in general but depends how much other space is lost. I can't say I've seen taxis in Thame parked up / where this area is !</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b>  Unsure the extent on the proposals but same comments apply; depends on extent...  Would support more parent and child spaces as a) difficult to get young children in/out of car in current spaces but b) also not going to afford to pay parking too. C) half h</p>
<p>1491665  Member of public  (Long Crendon, High Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  It is a simple fact that if you charge for parking in Thame, less people will visit.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b>  Charging to park in Thame will severely affect the town &amp; it is a huge mistake. I have discussed this with many people who currently shop in town and they, &amp; I, feel that we would not bother to drop into town much to shop locally as it would no longer be easy. The car parks are already full most of the time &amp; would be rammed if you start charging for parking elsewhere. Offering 30 mins free will ensure that people do not linger to shop more or, say, visit a coffee shop. And what is the point of limiting parking to 2.5 hours? How extraordinary to restrict the time people can visit Thame for when the longer they spend in the town, the more money they will spend! This proposal is so ill thought out. As we know, the high streets in the UK are dying &amp; it is essential for the survival of Thame to encourage visitors to the town by making it as simple as possible to shop there, not discourage them from coming by making it inconvenient &amp; expensive.</p>



I cannot think of one advantage of charging to park in Thame other than the Council earning more revenue. If, however, shops & cafes then close due to lack of business, you will earn less in the long run. When you make this decision, remember quite how easy it is for people to shop online or at a supermarket where parking is free. Please see sense as these proposals are NOT to Thame's advantage.

**Paid parking bays – Object**

Charging to park in Thame will severely affect the town & it is a huge mistake. I have discussed this with many people who currently shop in town and they, & I, feel that we would not bother to drop into town much to shop locally as it would no longer be easy. The car parks are already full most of the time & would be rammed if you start charging for parking elsewhere. Offering 30 mins free will ensure that people do not linger to shop more or, say, visit a coffee shop. And what is the point of limiting parking to 2.5 hours? How extraordinary to restrict the time people can visit Thame for when the longer they spend in the town, the more money they will spend! This proposal is so ill thought out. As we know, the high streets in the UK are dying & it is essential for the survival of Thame to encourage visitors to the town by making it as simple as possible to shop there, not discourage them from coming by making it inconvenient & expensive.

I cannot think of one advantage of charging to park in Thame other than the Council earning more revenue. If, however, shops & cafes then close due to lack of business, you will earn less in the long run. When you make this decision, remember quite how easy it is for people to shop online or at a supermarket where parking is free. Please see sense as these proposals are NOT to Thame's advantage.

**Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Concerns**

I would only support this in residential roads which have severe parking issues.

**Formalised Street Traders only Bay – No opinion**

**Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – Concerns**

I don't think it would be wise to extend the taxi rank by more than one or two spaces in order not to lose more public parking.

**24 hour permits for traders – No opinion**

**Residents & Visitors` Parking Permits – Cocnerns**

As I mentioned, I feel resident's parking should just be restricted to roads with severe parking problems. Those residents will obviously need visitor parking permits.

<p>1491619 Member of public (Long Crendon, Chearsley road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Thame is great as it is, a thriving town with availability for parking all the time</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Cost of living, lack of need and local businesses. Money is already tight and it penalises people at an already difficult time. There are always available spaces so there is little justification for this. It will also damage small businesses. People will simply shop online and not bother coming into town, and our high st will lose small businesses and become full of chain stores like other towns.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> There are always available spaces anyway so this would just leave them empty for no reason</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1492958 Member of public (Long Crendon, Chearsley Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Poorly thought out, short term, money making enterprise. This'll kill the town, business, drive people away and adversely affect community cohesion. Strength of feeling will also be represented at the ballot box for council elections I'm sure. The council</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Cost of living crisis and people won't pay to park. Deliveries are cheaper and more convenient. Paid parking discourages shoppers, discourages footfall and disincentivises people from using local facilities. People will feel taken advantage of and people</p>

	<p><b>Paid parking bays – Concerns</b> As I have said in the previous box. It will kill business, community spirit and the essence of a free flowing, lovely little town.</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Object</b> No need. Thame has ample parking and in fact, the council should open up more cycle ways to encourage other modes of travel; particularly from Long Crendon to Thame. Over building in Thame then insisting on resident parking permits is wrong.</p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – Object</b> Thame works well as is with ample stopping zones.</p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – Object</b> You'll kill trade, business and discourage people from investing in Thame.</p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – Object</b> As previous box opinion.</p>
<p>1494610 Member of public (Long Crendon, High street)</p>	<p><b>Scheme in general – Object</b> The high street has only survived to date because of free parking and this will destroy the high street</p> <hr/> <p><b>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Object</b> Free parking is essential to encourage people to use the centre of town to shop rather than going to out of town locations where they can park. Thame supports a rural community with insufficient public transport to encourage more journeys on foot or by public transport. I will not use Thame if I have to pay to park and will go to Aylesbury to out of town retail parks.</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Object</b></p>

	<p>Protecting thame as a free and accessible place to shop and visit</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> We should be supporting our businesses rather than making them complete unnecessary paperwork</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Support for the high street</p>
<p>1493211 Member of public (Long Crendon, Peascroft)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Thame works better without paying for parking</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1488405 Rather not say (Milton Common, London Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>No change is needed and it will cost more money for the local community and slow down and limit peoples access to the town. The only gain I can see from this is the local council benefiting from extra charges for permits and parking in general. This isn't</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> I feel that the current situation is more than enough</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> Permits would take up too many of the spaces and they'll never become free</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> If there isn't enough space for taxi's maybe we have too many? They are always sitting there doing nothing</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Residents should be able to manage with the current agreement</p>
<p>1543552 Member of public (Oxford, Banbury Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Where will you ask about the so-called traffic cushions? I would like my strong objections to these to be noted. Traffic cushions or as they are more commonly known 'speed bumps' cause increased pollution due to vehicles having to slow almost to a stop to</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> Removing restrictions can only be a good thing</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>This council has already seen to the removal of numerous parking spaces and a huge rise in the price of parking within their jurisdiction, they should not be permitted to remove yet more free parking, or to take yet another opportunity to use drivers as a cash cow (as the press has reported they have been doing for years) and extract money from us. They have already shown their bigotry and hatred towards drivers and their policies are based on an eco-fascist green agenda which is fundamentally anti-car. These changes have not been adequately justified and will not benefit locals, and should be rejected.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> There is not enough visitor's parking, residents have ample parking and plenty of choice for parking nearby, visitors need to park close to their destination especially if they are only allowed to park in the space for a short time.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Find space elsewhere for street traders, such as on pavements, do not sacrifice parking bays which are already underprovided.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> This bay should not exist anyway, create a taxi rank somewhere else if they need one, do not victimise private cars even more with unnecessary restrictions</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Parking needs to be adequate for everyone not just for traders, provide free parking for everyone in stead.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> More free parking, less restrictions</p>
<p>1488804 Member of public (Postcombe, Lower Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> Things working well. More charges for parking will reduce footfall in the town. Works well as it is, don't need to change anything.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Works ok, no change needed</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>No changes needed, works well as it is.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Already works ok , no need for change</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> 24 hrs is too long</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> No need for visitor permit</p>
<p>1493207 As a business (Relish Kitchens, Cornmarket)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> This is a terrible survey; it would have been helpful to have a brief explanation of each question detailing the proposal as well as checking the spelling.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> This will deter shoppers and lead to a dead high street just like the other towns in the area where there are parking charges. Ultimately the high street will be full of empty shop units and the council will be worse off.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	Residents & Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b>
1559531 Member of public (Sydenham, Sydenham road)	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> An unwelcome and unnecessary proposal for paid parking in Thame. I really do hope if a majority of responders to your survey object, you'll withdraw this and think again.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Creating some paid parking and some free parking in Thame will mean traffic queues inside the free parking areas with competition for spaces (potentially causing accidents as drivers race to get in any available parking spaces), stress on drivers, while the paid parking bays sit idle. Paid parking in Thame will likely cause some shoppers and others visiting the town to go elsewhere where parking is free, at a time when market towns need all the customers they can get just to survive.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> As someone who regularly goes into Thame from one of the outlying villages to use the shops and other businesses, I find there is already competition for parking spaces, so creating some paid and some free parking areas will make that worse as most drivers will compete for the free spaces. Thame is a lovely market town, and one of its big attractions for years has been free parking, which the town council has wisely retained for the sake of the businesses there. This approach is like taking a sledge-hammer to crack a nut - sort out the few problems there are with trader and resident parking another way, but please don't ruin the availability of the town's attractions for everyone else.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> I don't live in Thame but in one of the outlying villages, however I do have concerns that you really listen to what residents want and don't impose parking permits that they have to find money for at a time of stretched household finances. I'd hate to be</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p>



	<p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b>  As already stated, please listen to what the majority of residents are saying who are affected by this proposal. Having to find £100 a year for a parking permit at a time of stretched household finances is likely to be very difficult for some affected res</p>
<p>1490032  Member of public  (Tetsworth, Cygnet  Close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b>  I know there has to be change, but residents are already permitted to park overnight. The car, in a rural area is an essential not a luxury for many people and I am a great supported of shopping in Thame and recommend it to many. 30 minutes free is insuff</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  As the replacement is less appealing to me personally.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  You suggest people walk into Thame. I live 4 miles away, though Thame is my nearest shopping centre. There is no bus service at the times when I could travel, as I work full time. I feel charging will cause people to go elsewhere to where parking is still free, such as the large supermarkets or retail parks and local businesses will be disadvantaged. The reason this is even remotely required is because of the huge development around Thame in recent years, with no thought for infrastructure. I have lived in this area since 1979 and feel some consideration should be given to those who patronised Thame before it became overpopulated.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b>  Residents are the most likely to be able to walk or cycle to their destinations, to shop every day and thus carry shopping that is not too heavy. For we 'out-of-towners', walking is not an option. There is no reliable footpath, the roads are busy with a speed limit of 50mph and I would have to walk 8 miles there and back.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>  Taxis encroach on the non-taxi parking area regularly. Throughout the hot summer months, the drivers ran their engines, presumably for their air conditioning and I imagine the same will happen if it is a very cold winter, in terms of heating the cabs. In</p>

	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b> How many, how often, selling what, causing what sort of litter and noise to surrounding homes?</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Depends what is meant by residents and visitors. My postal address is Thame, does that mean I would get access to a permit? Even if I did I do not support this. Thame is well supplied with paths and cycle routes, not to mention brilliant bus services.</p>
<p>1494457 Member of public (Tetsworth, Marsh End)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> There are a few good ideas in here but, the proposed changes to paid parking are a bad idea and will have a negative impact on the high street shops.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Parking should be kept free in Thame. If charges are imposed it will kill the small businesses and the town.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> 30 minutes free is not enough. At least two hours free is required. The residents have not asked for this change to 30 mins so, who is it for? Who will benefit? - The parking operators that is who.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Where parking is difficult, I have no problem with permit holder parking only.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> The taxi drivers get very upset when a normal car uses their space, however, they have no issue occupying a normal parking space to wait for customers. So, no I object to extending their existing spaces.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> Good idea</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>

<p>1488921 Member of public (Tetsworth, Swan Gardens)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> I think charging local people will spoil the relaxed and happy vibe that Thame locals love</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> I am concerned</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> People already facing very high bills, will our people off shopping / eating / drinking in Thame</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> May need to park here occasionally</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Will make Thame too busy</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> There are v few taxis waiting in this area, and extending it will reduce parking areas for everyone else</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Will be more difficult for members of the public to park</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b> Will make it difficult for people with its permit to park</p>
<p>1488900 Member of public (Tetsworth, Swan Gardens)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Object</b> It significantly disadvantages those living in local villages who rely on Thame as a shopping centre. It was drive local villagers away from Thame to ordering more online or busting larger out of town shopping centres which continue to have free parking.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> More parking space needed.</p>

	<p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Disadvantaging those that live in nearby villages who cannot walk into town which is where are local shops are.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> No parking should be charged. If that remains the car then no permits would be required.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> We need to encourage local business and traders, but I only support this if other parking remains free.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> I support; however, it needs to be monitored and removed if not used. I don't see it fully used now.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Not required if parking kept as free</p>
<p>1493093 Member of public (Chinnor, Farm Place)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Because it's greedy and unnecessary</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	Residents & Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b>
1492864 Member of public (Chinnor, Mill Lane)	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> The current arrangements seem to work fine - so charging feels like another way to raise money.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> Not sure how many taxi journeys are made each year from the existing bay, but the current space seems adequate.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
1489003 Member of public (Chinnor, Rannal drive)	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> It covers all bases now</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> I think it will just move the problem to othe non permit resident roads</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> It works pretty well now, there just needs more signs to the other car parks in the area which are generally less full during the peak time in town</p>

	<p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b>  Don't know where these proposed spots are but if they are on the high street this completely reduces spaces for parking during the day</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>  It's big enough already</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b>  It just moves the problem to other parts of Thame without car parking permits</p>
<p>1558645  Member of public  (Haddenham, Gibson Lane)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b>  Thame high street parking should be kept free</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1561954  As a business</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p>

<p>(Kingsey, Risborough Rd)</p>	<p>-----</p> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> my business needs to have the facility for loading and unloading and am concerned that this will become problematic.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> As a business holder, I'm concerned about people having to pay to park when window shopping and purchasing items in a relaxed way, due to free parking, is no longer available curb side</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> Will all traders in Thame be afforded the opportunity to park in this Bay or only those on Tuesday? Which then begs the question why are they entitled to a special bay when they are only trading on Tuesday, the Market day, and not those that trade 6 days</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> There are already plenty of taxi bays available.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b> Again, is this all business traders or just those on Tuesdays?</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1561333 Member of public (Kingston Stert, Stert road)</p>	<p>-----</p> <p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Free parking should continued</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> I want the ease of free parking</p>

	<p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1491789 Member of public (Long Crendon, Bicester Rd)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> Open for abuse.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> Change of parking restrictions will affect local business.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Charges for parking would be counter productive for local traders.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> will be open for abuse.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> there are no street traders apart from a kebab van.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> It is already in place and seems to work.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b> Open to abuse.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Local residents sometimes struggle for parking.</p>



<p>1492922 Member of public (Long crendon, High St)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> The impact it will have on local business</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Object</b> Car parking charges typically kill town centres. Charges benefit no one except councils - leave alone as Thame is difficult enough to park and business' have enough issues to contend with!</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> See previous response</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> See previous comments</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> See previous comments</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1491633 Member of public (Long Crendon, Na)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> I have concerns as I think it will impact the town for everyday people just popping in and doing a bit of shopping as they might as well go into the next town where there's more shops if having to pay comes into play</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b></p>

	<p>Thame has always been easy just to pop into to go to the bank, if I have to pay for parking I might as well drive to Bicester and go shopping as well</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> If people need to buy permits they may well not come to Thame for their trade</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1534959 Member of public (Long Hanborough, Main Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> Puts more pressure on the car parks that are often full when there are spaces available on residential roads. This might act as a disincentive for people outside the town to visit.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Makes the town seem unfriendly. Would be better to have residents between a smaller period of time. Say between 12 and 2. This will stop all day parkers.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>

<p>1488671 Member of public (Tetsworth, High Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> For those of us living in surrounding Villages without shops, we depend on being able to come to Thame, park for a short while for free so that we can use the small shops in the Town. Half an hour is not long enough to do that. You are forcing us to go</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> I think 1 hour should be free. I understand people have to pay if they are staying longer, but only maximum two and a half hours. My hairdressers appointment takes three hours, you are forcing us to go elsewhere which will mean the small traders losing customers</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> I understand residents needs somewhere to park and think that is a good idea. We had to pay for a permit when I lived in a London Borough.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> Good idea, they pay for the prililege so should have a marked bay.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> Well that's joke, the Taxis park wherever they want!</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1489849 Member of public (Towersey, Chinnor Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Concerns</b> Better to be more ambitious and close the whole area to motor traffic.</p> <hr/>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> Too many cars in Thame. We need to make it safer to walk and cycle.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> Need to discourage car use.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> People with mobility issues and without a car need taxis.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> Traders will fill up the space. Need to close all this space to cars.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Deters people from using cars.</p>
<p>1527319 Member of public (Haddenham, Sheerstock)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> None</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> Aids traffic flow</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> To give more turnover of traffic throughout the day</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Makes it easier for residents to park outside their properties.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> To make it easier for taxi drivers to operate at busy times.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> To make life easier for Thame residents who own cars, and their visitors.</p>
<p>1488970 Member of public (Scotsgrove, A418)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> There are a couple of very vocal anti change voices on Love Thame. People need to be encouraged to walk or cycle into town centre. Contrary to these negative voices, there is plenty of evidence that shows towns thrive when cars are restricted. Air pollut</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> Encourage more people to walk into town, and make easier to get a space for quick visit</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> As long as there is limit to number of permits given per organisation, then reasonable that traders should have space to park near to work. However walking/cycling to work should be encouraged wherever possible (businesses incentivised to do so)</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> For town centre parking system to be effective, need to ensure that people dont clog up surrounding streets</p>

<p>1488953 Member of public (Shabbington, Crendon Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> Stop workers parking all day. Encourage actual visitors to the town and hopefully make it easier to park. Wouldn't be supporting pay and display without the free period</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> More parking spaces are required to keep the town busy</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> Stops people overstaying but also helps those who just need to pop to a shop quickly</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Concern how it will be made clear which spaces are which especially in upper car park</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1541770 Member of public (Thame, Aylesbury Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> Buses only should be allowed to drive straight through Thame. town centre. The upper and lower High streets could be divided for buses only, as is the case in other busy town centres. Pedestrians and children walking to school, should be given first pr</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> Traffic in Thame driving up and down looking for parking are obstructive, and dangerous for pedestrians.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> Heavy traffic is destroying the historic town centre. Pollution from traffic is unpleasant, and extremely loud.</p>

	<p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Only 1 per household, and checked and restricted with careful consideration.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> The taxi bays are fine as they are. Buses should be given priority.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b> They can park in cattle market, and not take up parking spaces.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> How will these be allocated? Restrictions must apply, and enforced, as this will lead to nepotism</p>
<p>1551609 Member of public (Thame, Bell Lane)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> It is so important that shoppers from outside Thame feel that they will be able to come into the town and park without having to pay. I have seen several other towns really suffer because parking becomes chargeable and because there is nowhere to park fo</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> We are generally in support of the updates to the parking system and we are particularly in support of keeping the two larger parking areas in the high streets free of charge, however we are keen to see enough other free parking available for shoppers who</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> Please just make sure that there is still enough free parking to encourage shoppers to come into Thame and support our local shops.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> There are plenty of residents in Thame without parking and for whom this will hopefully provide easier parking.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p>

	<p>It should make parking easier for Street Traders.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> It should be fine as long as there is still enough free parking for shoppers coming into Thame.</p>
<p>1489368 Member of public (Thame, Blackmore Close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> Any new parking schemes need to be enforced on market day. Currently nobody polices parking around the market partularly on the high st around the Nelson st junction with cars parked unattended on double yellow lines all around the junction which is dange</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> I live in the town and parking is becoming a night and needs to be brought under better control</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> Allows for a quick visit to a shop staying foc while deterring parking at the roadside for longer periods</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> There are residential properties in the town centre that don't have their own parking</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> Thame doesn't need more taxi's this is only an issue because there are too many taxis chasing too little trade so they spend long periods parked up doing nothing</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>



<p>1491867 Member of public (Thame, Buttermarket)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> I am a resident in Thame and would be nice to have a permit so that I can actually get parking as I struggle on a daily basis to get parking as I live off the High Street</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> There are lots of cars in Thame, hopefully this will ease the number of cars. I am also looking forward to having a permit so that I can actually get parking.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> I am a resident in Thame and live off the High Street where I struggle on a daily basis to get parking.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> This will assist residents with parking as workers are always taking up our parkings which causes us to drive around and around looking for parking.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> I am a Resident living off the High Street. Having a permit will definitely make it easier to get parking.</p>
<p>1544824 Member of public (Thame, Cavalier Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Lot of people come to Thame for between 30 to 60 mins. Charging could make them go elsewhere</p>

	<p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b>  Visitors to residents in centre of Thame need to park somewhere</p>
<p>1487736  Member of public  (Thame, Chestnut Avenue)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1554104  Member of public  (Thame, Corbetts Way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b>  I would like to see strong enforcement of the parking restrictions on the north side of Upper Thames Street - especially on market days. Illegal parking by market users and traders and thoughtless obstructive parking by blue badge holders makes this area</p>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  Overall I am supportive of the unnecessary and confusing restrictions - particularly on the SE side of North Street. However I would wish to see enforcement action against vehicles obstructing the highway when parked at an angle to the kerb. All too often</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b>  I support enforcement of restrictions and recognise they must be paid for. Having previously lived in London where restrictions are generally enforced I am concerned at the hazard to all classes of road users caused by some of the appallingly bad parking</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b>  I recognise that residents who do not have off street parking need somewhere to park and am supportive of the introduction of residents bays. Hopefully no more permits will be issued than there is provision for parking. I would like to see an additional g</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b>  I assume this is primarily for the use of the excellent kebab van which clearly needs security of its parking arrangement.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b>  I am not sure Thame needs a lot of taxis parked up in the town centre awaiting customers. They could wait elsewhere until there were spaces available in the taxi bay in the High Street.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b>  Makes sense that traders who need to park in the Town Centre can do so if they are prepared to pay for the long term use of bays.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b>  If you are going to have residents parking bays, then a permit system to use them is clearly needed. As with the residents permits, the number of visitor permits needs to be controlled to ensure there are places available for them to be used.</p>
1498444 Member of public	Scheme in general – <b>Support</b>

<p>(Thame, Cornmarket)</p>	<p>Please consider free and non restricted parking in all of Thame on Tuesdays, when most of the available parking spots are blocked by the market and the highest number of visitors comes into town.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b>  My husband and I have parking permits for Upper high street car park. On tuesdays, when the market is in town, it's impossible to find alternative parking. Our current system is to move the cars to the high street at night and then between 9 and 10am, whe</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b>  Doesn't seem necessary.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1488259  Member of public  (Thame, Cotmore  Gardens)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b>  Making it as easy as possible to walk, cycle or get public transport into town (and back) must go hand in hand with these.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b>  Frees up car parking, stops people parking all day.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1499981 Member of public (Thame, East street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> Would like to see East Street subject to resident parking permits</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> Will free up more room for people dropping off or collecting</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Will push those who use town car parks for parking into surrounding residential streets</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> I would like to see permits on east street for residents as it is difficult to park as people use the street to park on to go into town</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> Will hopefully stop traders parking on surrounding residential streets</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Support to allow residents only to park on strets where it is very difficult to find parking</p>
<p>1488529 Member of public</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p>

(Thame, Fairfax Close)	<p>Ensure that restrictions are constantly monitored &amp; action taken against those who abuse the restrictions</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b>  Parking in &amp; around town centre is often difficult with car users ignoring restrictions. When we got a traffic warden early in 2022 it helped but he has not been seen for some time</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b>  If these are " policed" it will help ease parking issues</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b>  Defines areas for specific use.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b>  Will ease the issue of taxis double parking by existing bays</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b>  As previously response</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b>  My ease issues of parking in Park Street</p>
1561329 Member of public (Thame, Greenway)	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b>  As a resident of a road within walking distance of Thame High Street and Upper High Street, I am concerned that this will push more parking onto neighbouring roads; by "solving" one problem you may be creating another.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p>

	<p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> The Bay is occupied by a very popular food outlet; good to have its place formalised.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> I don't support charging residents for permits as they are not being treated the same as residents in other parts of Thame.</p>
<p>1492820 Member of public (Thame, High Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> A more consistent overall approach would seem to make sense.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> A more rationalised approach seems reasonable provided there is recognition that some residents live on the High Street.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> It is an important local authority principle that people should be encouraged to live and work in high streets in order to help such streets be economically viable, so facilitating parking for residents seems fair. Do bays need to be 'residents only'? I f</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>

	<p>People who actually live on high streets should not be discriminated against by parking being made difficult for them.</p>
<p>1493813 Member of public (Thame, High Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> Providing there will be enough visitor permits issued then this is a good idea</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Providing there will be a reasonable amount of visitor permits issued, then I support this proposal</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Providing there are sufficient visitor permits issued then I support this proposal</p>
<p>1562873 Member of public (Thame, High Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> Overall proposals are sensible and positive. The Keep Clear area outside Hazelton House 15 High Street (where we live) needs to be widened as exit / entry can be dangerous given turning / stopping traffic, particularly cyclists that are difficult to see (</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> Overall mix of parking proposals is positive</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b></p>



	<p>Generally positive provided retailers not impacted</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Positive in overall context</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> Hope that the Kebab Van (an institution) is not impacted</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> Taxis need more parking space</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> Informal markets add more interest to Thame</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> As a Resident we would want permits for ourselves and visitors</p>
<p>1490998 Member of public (Thame, Lambert Walk)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> we have enough free parking.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Residents on those areas need places to park</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p>

	Residents & Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b>
1493563 Local or County Cllr (Thame, Lower High Street)	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> As a resident of the Lower High Street, I support the introduction of permit parking bays for local residents. With both car parks remaining free I also support the introduction of pay and display parking bays as the first 30 minutes remains free.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> As a resident, I struggle to park outside my home, sometimes having to park 10 mins away, so support this.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
1488838 Member of public (Thame, Markus Avenue)	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p>

	<p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1495632 Member of public (Thame, Mitchell Close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> The existing arrangements for monitoring and enforcing existing parking restrictions in adjacent parts of Thame - e.g. the double-yellow lines in Mitchell Close &amp; Southern Road - are very poor and infringements are regularly seen in these areas. If the n</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> I'm content with the proposals but have concerns about the monitoring and enforcement of parking restrictions in adjacent areas, which will inevitably experience increased pressure as a consequence. Southern Road and Mitchell Close in particular experienc</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> Discourages long-stay parking in these areas</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Traffic movement in the Lower High Street and Park Street in particular is often impeded by vehicles searching and waiting for the limited on-street parking in these areas - often to the considerable inconvenience of people who live there.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> Frees up traffic movement by cutting the number of waiting vehicles.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> Sensible idea.</p>

	<p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b>  Helps free up traffic movement and addresses the excessive competition for the limited parking spaces in these busy parts of town.</p>
<p>1561926  Member of public  (Thame, Mitchell Close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b>  This will improve parking in Thame.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b>  Since the town lost the traffic warden a number of people have abused the parking provision in the town to the disadvantage of others.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b>  It reads like a good system</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b>  The residents need somewhere to park their cars.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b>  good idea</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b>  Another good idea, hopefully it will. stop the taxes double parking and blocking the High Stree.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b>  Good idea, and the charge is good.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1552994  Member of public  (Thame, Moorend Lane)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p>

	<p>It will give residents the ability to park by their homes</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Being able to finally park by homes</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1489203 Member of public (Thame, Nelson Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> I do have concerns that there are sufficient facilities for residents from more outlying areas of the town and commuting workers to access the centre, either through strengthened public transport provision or longer-term parking spaces away from the centre</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> 30 minutes sufficient time to complete small errands and longer period charges not unreasonable</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Living on Nelson Street, I find it increasingly difficult to find a parking space near my property, especially as many places seem to be occupied by commuters or business vehicles. Legitimate traders engaged in working at properties find it difficult or i</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Essential that residents and their can have convenient access to their properties without being displaced by commuters and shoppers.</p>
<p>1490543 Member of public (Thame, Nelson Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> It makes sense</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> In principle I support this to help with managing parking rotation and 2 1/2 hours is sufficient for shopping and visiting local business and combined with the free parking remaining in the two main central car parks. My concern will be impact on local bu</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> I live on Nelson Street and parking is a major issue for residents. As housing developments in the area increase this has got worse. We urgently need a solution to provide for residents and this element needs to be retained within the overall plans.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> it is formalising what is already happening</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> This will restrict parking for people coming into Thame</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> we need to balance needs to local residents and businesses</p>

1559428  
Member of public  
(Thame, Nelson Street)

Scheme in general – **Support**

Do it as soon as possible.

Link the proposals to consideration of One-way traffic in Nelson Street.

---

Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – **Concerns**

I live in Nelson Street, (off upper high st) and have no off street parking and no availability as yet of residents permits.

Usually there is no where on the street to park as visitors to the high street and businesses and residents with more than one c

Paid parking bays – **Support**

There is plenty of free parking in the market squares. Paid parking will probably make more of these spaces useable by permit holders/other groups. The first 30 mins will be free for quick errands in the town.

Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – **Support**

I want residents permits to be available for use in the residential streets and also areas nearby. This is because there isn't enough parking for one car per household on Nelson Street even if the Nelson street spaces were all empty of outside users and o

Formalised Street Traders only Bay – **Support**

The street trader by the citizens advice bureau is very popular and as a result brings in trade for other outlets. I think this parking could be time limited to certain periods of the day.

Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – **No opinion**

24 hour permits for traders – **Support**

Some trader spaces will be essential on the main car parks if the residential streets become residents only. The town needs space for workers to park. However, There should still be an adequate number of free spaces for shoppers/visitors and overflow spac

Residents & Visitors` Parking Permits – **Support**

	<p>I have no off street parking in Nelson Street and end up parking well away from the house as on street parking is so limited. This is inconvenient with shopping, children, visiting tradesmen, visitors. Even unloading outside the house before parking elsewhere</p>
<p>1529562 Member of public (Thame, North Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1539113 Member of public (Thame, North Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p>



	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1488287 Member of public (Thame, Park Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Good Idea in theory, but the time needs to be 24/7. I live at number 5 Park Street. I arrive home from work around 6.30pm every evening and can never find a space near my house. The Residents Permit Holder only parking areas need to be for the time</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1556703 Member of public (Thame, Park Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> John Hampden Primary School is located on Park Street. The residents parking proposed runs from 08.00-18.00, this would preclude parents from parking on the road at school drop off time (school starts at 08.45) and may lead to parking in other less desir</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p><b>Paid parking bays – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Support</b>  I live on Park Street with my husband and three primary school aged children. In the last decade the parking has got harder as more families own multiple cars, also people park on our road to go into the town centre or to work. Residents are better at p</p> <p><b>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>24 hour permits for traders – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – Support</b>  I am a resident of Park Street. There is not enough parking on our road for all the houses. Residents are more economic with the space they need to park than visitors. Visitors/shoppers can park in the car parks in town, residents need to be able to le</p>
<p>1532691  Member of public  (Thame, Park Street)</p>	<p><b>Scheme in general – Support</b>  I think the measures are sensible and I think they will provide better access for parking to those who live and work on Park Street and the High Street.</p> <hr/> <p><b>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – No opinion</b></p> <p><b>Paid parking bays – Support</b>  Paid parking bays would make parking spaces more readily available for those who want to access central services in Thame.</p> <p><b>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – Support</b>  I purchased my home on Park Street in 2011 and have steadily found it more difficult to find parking near my house as Thame's population has increased. I now often have to park nearly half a mile from my house to find a space.</p>

	<p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> Those who staff businesses in central Thame should have access to full day parking in the two car parks.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Parking for residents in Thame is so difficult since the further expansion of town housing.</p>
<p>1496835 Member of public (Thame, Pelham Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> One concern might be increased use of Supermarket car parks for shopping elsewhere. Both Waitrose and Sainsburys can be full at times.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> I can understand that local residents can have difficulties for long term parking if those spaces are aimed at shoppers.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> 30 minutes free is an adequate time and further time for £1 is reasonable</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> I can understand the concerns of residents unable to park close by</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> They can serve a useful purpose</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> Presumably there is a real demand for more taxis</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b> These are free and useful car parks. Loss of too many spaces would be unfortunate.</p>

	<p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b>  Residents can be frustrated by shoppers parking in residential streets</p>
<p>1493110  Member of public  (Thame, Pennington Place)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  Thame isn't easy to park in now!</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1494861  Member of public  (Thame, Roman Way)</p>	<p><b>Scheme in general – Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1495236 Member of public (Thame, Roman Way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> I am in general support based on the fact that the main car parks are remain unchanged. I think this is vital to sustain a healthy high street.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> No issues with the current restrictions</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> No concerns provided that the free 30mins doesn't required you to get a ticket. This will unduely hinder people wanting to pop into things quickly.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> Not sure parking should be lost to taxis which might not be being used? I'm not familiar with the demand here, but if there are more taxis than can park currently it might suggest there are too many waiting for the demand.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b> I think this should be limited otherwise there is potential to lose a lot of parking</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1489310 Member of public (Thame, Seven Acres)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> proposed plan will reduce congestion</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> reduce congestion and improve parking</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> residents should not be affected or financially penalised</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> too many spaces already taken up by taxis</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> traders need to be supported</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> residents should not be penalised by changes and need access</p>
<p>1535100 Member of public (Thame, Southern Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> This may not apply here but I have read that the Cattle Market site in Thame may be sold or retained by the public. Whatever happens PLEASE could we have a good FOOTPATH to get children to Barley Hill School. The journey through the car par at the moment</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> Probably a good idea for reasons stated in introduction to this survey. However they will have a big effect on roads near the High Street where visitor cars are already parked in shopping/office hours. One example is Southern Road where I live. We are luc</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p>

	<p>If I didn't have a drive i would definitely want a parking space near my house especially as Thame gets bigger and busier.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> will probably release other spaces for visitors to Thame</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>No opinion</b></p>
<p>1551118 Member of public (Thame, Southern Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> No further comments to those already made.</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> Because the current situation is unsatisfactory.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> Thame has reached size where such measures are necessary.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Again the expansion of Thame now requires this measure too.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> Expanding demand.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> We have now reached a stage where this is necessary.</p>

<p>1490368 Member of public (Thame, Upper High Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> I am a resident of the Upper High Street. I want to be able to park outside Carmichaels all day as this is next to my house, (especially on a Tuesday). I also want to have the option to buy permits for my visitors as at the moment they have to fight for a</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> I am a resident of the Upper High Street. In my opinion I should be given priority for parking in this area. Tuesday parking now is a nightmare as I cannot park outside Carmichael's all day/along the side of the road by Rumsey's. This section should only</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> Do many people use the taxis? I think the space is already adequate.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b> I do not support this as I will have to compete to park with these other cars. Residents should be given priority and not traders.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> I have a permit for the Upper High Street. This needs to extent to outside Carmichael's and Rumseys as I need to be able to park somewhere on a Tuesday. Outside the memorial would also be helpful. People on Park street should have seperate permits for tha</p>
<p>1493616 Member of public (Thame, Weldon Way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p>



	<p>A good first step to reducing vehicle traffic in the town centre. Now let's work on pedestrianising Cornmarket from The Black Horse to The Rising Sun and make the centre of Thame a pleasant, car-free zone which will attract increased footfall to shops wit</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> The growth of vehicular traffic into the centre of Thame needs to be controlled, and preferably reduced. This plan is a good first step into reducing car use in the town</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> Again a good first step in reducing car use.</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Residents who do not have any off-road parking space should be prioritised over casual visitors</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1488738 Member of public (Thame, Wellington Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> Providing it is done properly and means there aren't any negative knock on affects to Wellington Street as you push people out of the direct centre</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> 6pm seems late, it should be until 5pm</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p>

	<p>I am a resident that struggles to park.. my concern is who qualifies for resident permits? And what if you have more than one vehicle?</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Object</b> We shouldn't use our small highstreet as a taxi rank!</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> As a resident adjectent to town centre, parking is difficult. Resident and visitor permits would be great</p>
<p>1562553 Member of public (Thame, Wentworth Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> Parking needs to be controlled. To many people parking to long in restricted places.</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> People overstaying in these spaces</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> They need to be able to park</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> Currently they park on the road opposite the pub and chip shop</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> People park their cars in there forcing taxis to park elsewhere</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>

<p>1490886 Member of public (Thame, Windmill Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> About time that there was a strategic approach to parking in Thame. Some concerns that parking pressure will be pushed to surrounding areas. Turnover of parking will support business. 30 minutes free is excellent idea - clearly this isn't just about a</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> Better for business with turnover of parking</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Concerns on the number of resident only spaces in Upper High Street. These spaces should be general spaces with residents with permits using any space in any carpark. Fully support use if resident permits for North Street and Nelson Street.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Concerns on the number of resident only spaces in Upper High Street. These spaces should be general spaces with residents with permits using any space in any carpark. Fully support use if resident permits for North Street and Nelson Street.</p>
<p>1493404 Member of public (Thame, Beech road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> Residents parking should include Wellington street, East Street and Chinnor road</p>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> Increased turnover of vehicles and encourages people to walk</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Concerns</b> Concerned it's only during the day - they need to be evening too</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Concerns</b> This is used for multiple reasons</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Concerns</b> I'm not sure it needs extending</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b> Good idea</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Needed</p>
<p>1492735 Member of public (Thame, Kings close)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> I support this and don't feel it will have a big impact on the town. Maybe people will start following parking regulations</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b> Parking in Thame has been a free for all for many years and people have not been following parking restrictions nor have the been "policed"</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> People will not take advantage of the parking limits and only park for the time they should and not all day every day</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p>

	<p>Residents do need somewhere to park and permits seem like the best option</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Good for residents that's live close to the town</p>
<p>1494554 Member of public (Thame, Nelson st)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Permits for residents are much needed on Nelson street.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> A good idea</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b></p>
<p>1490172 Member of public (Thame, Nelson st)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/>

	<p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b> We need residents permits in Nelson st now !</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> Makes sense</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Nelson St in Thame needs residents permits now please</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Object</b> They don't need it</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Object</b> It restricts other users</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Nelson st needs residents permit's please</p>
<p>1488324 Member of public (Thame, Upper High ST)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> Visitor permits for UHS residents. I am about to undertake a trial scheme that has enable me to install a EV charging point outside my property. OCC are partners in this with a company called Element Energy. Currently if i charge during the day I can rec</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Concerns</b> I have no issue with the parking charges and think the idea is a good one. My concern regards the placement of the payment machines. (Black dots on the plan?)One of which seems to be outside my door. This is a conservation area and has properties that are</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b></p>

	<p>I am currently a permit holder for Upper High St car park. Yet cannot park outside my property (33 UHS) for fear of getting a ticket. This would improve my situation, meaning I can now park outside my house. This section along UHS would benefit from what</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b> Not sure why as a resident of UHS I am not entitled to a visitor permit??</p>
<p>1555367 Member of public (Thame, Upper High Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b> There is too much parking in the town centre with vehicles circulating to find a space. Air quality is poor. Public town centre parking should be replaced by additional parking outside the town centre. All other town centre car parks in Oxfordshire have</p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b> Hopefully, these proposals will reduce traffic congestion in the town centre</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Provide residents with some security of town centre parking</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> Provides a good service</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b> Taxis need more space</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b>  Residents need more parking, hopefully to reduce parking in surrounding streets, and parking on pavements (Chinnor Road)</p>
<p>1498540  Member of public  (Thame, Park Street)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>Support</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b>  Making parking easier for residents by having designated residents’ parking zones</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Support</b>  Makes sense</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b>  See sbove</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b>  Makes sense</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Support</b>  Makes srnse</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b>  See above</p>
<p>1542388  Member of public  (Haddenham, Roundhill View)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>No opinion</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p>



	<p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Thame is my nearest town (I live in Bucks though) and free parking is vital to the small shops and businesses that operate there. If parking becomes difficult or expensive there will just be more online shopping</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b> I know the bay and it is regularly used by a street trader so formalising it seems sensible</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> People living road side in Thame centre need access to parking</p>
<p>1566360 Member of public (Thame, Church Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>No opinion</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Object</b> The parking proposal for the Lower High Street provides for residents parking. There are 31 parking spaces in this section of the High Street but I believe only 11 houses do not have either parking areas or garages. This seems nonsensical to allocate so many spaces for residents as there are far more spaces than residents who may request permits. Are all the parking spaces to be allocated to residents from this section of Lower High Street alone or will permits be available to others in the locality? If only the High Street this is not equitable. This is a concern because if spaces in this area are only allocated for residents of the High Street, cars will be pushed into parking onto other nearby streets including Church Road where we live. Residents in this street park have to park on the roadside and if there is pressure because other cars are 'bumped' from the high street for parking spaces this will be intolerable to residents here. It already is a very busy cul de sac with access for residents, businesses, church and cricket club. More cars manoeuvring for parking will create additional problems. This aspect of the</p>

	<p>proposals needs a lot more thought on numbers of spaces allocated to residents and which residents so that the current parking situation is not exacerbated.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Cocnerns</b>  Please see my comments about Lower High Street residents parking. How will permits be allocated? If parking permits are only for Loower High Street residents this would be unjust. Why can't residents of nearby street get visitor permits?</p>
<p>1542769  Member of public  (Thame, Denbigh Road)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>No opinion</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Support</b>  Hopefully will help resolve parking problems in Thame</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b>  Needs to be extended to whole town as people will park in residential areas to avoid parking charges</p>

<p>1489111 Member of public (Thame, Montrose Way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>No opinion</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> So that residents can actually park near there homes</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> So people can park</p>
<p>1490548 Member of public (Thame, Nelson St)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>No opinion</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Annoying</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>Support</b> Live on street near centre with limited parking. Paid parking in town will push people to park here.</p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p>

	<p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b>  Live on street near centre which has limited parking. People will be pushed to park here</p>
<p>1489753  Member of public  (Thame, Stuart Way)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>No opinion</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>Concerns</b>  The proposed 2 &amp; 2.5hr proposals in The High Street invite confusion. A single time period is more appropriate. Nothing is scheduled for East Street. Much parking will be displaced to this area making parking impossible for residents.  Plan 2 shows remova</p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>Support</b>  Street Traders provide a valuable attraction and encourage visitors that might go elsewhere. Without such a bay, traders would leave the Town.</p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>Support</b>  Although taxi parking is not an issue I'm aware of on most days, there are periods when taxis park in the road. Providing extra space will help alleviate this.</p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>Concerns</b>  The parking of trade vehicles other than for loading/unloading reduces spaces for visitors who spend money and support those same businesses. Parking of these vehicles would be better sited in the Cattle Market.</p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Object</b>  Parking is in very short supply. Residents are not legally entitled to spaces but it is reasonable to provide one per property but no more! Visitors should be treated like any other member of the public.</p>

<p>1494154 Member of public (Thame, Cromwell Avenue)</p>	<p>Scheme in general – <b>No opinion</b></p> <hr/> <p>Existing Waiting Restrictions to be removed – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Paid parking bays – <b>Object</b> Making business lose customers as they might not have the cash to pay</p> <p>Residents Permit Holder only parking areas – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Formalised Street Traders only Bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Extension of the existing No Stopping except taxis bay – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>24 hour permits for traders – <b>No opinion</b></p> <p>Residents &amp; Visitors` Parking Permits – <b>Support</b> Residents will know who is parking locally and will know wether random people are parking then walking into town or various other places</p>

*C. Email & written responses:*

<b>RESPONDENT</b>	<b>COMMENTS</b>
<p>(5) Member of public (Thame)</p>	<p><b>Object</b> – I massively do not agree with as it will be detrimental to the town in general.</p> <p>But my main issue is I live in the town centre. I currently don't have a parking space as I live in a one way street and it's ridiculous.</p>

	<p>I currently have to pay for parking as it is which is just stressful and inconvenient. Thame council will not give me a permit as I'm like 30 steps from their current policy.</p> <p>I work shifts. I am dependent on being able to park in car park with no additional costs. Frankly I don't feel I should have to pay to park as I am a resident and I should be issued with a permit.</p> <p>Yes currently I can park there overnight except Tuesday but I work shifts and finding somewhere to park my car is already a massive inconvenience. Something needs to be out in place for people that own a car and cant park centrally.</p> <p>I can't afford to be having to pay to park and frankly I don't see why I should as I live in town. I can't afford parking fines and I don't feel I should be receiving any anyway as I live in Thame town.</p> <p>The process will be detrimental to the town, but more importantly you will cause issues for residents. You need to either give people that can't park permits or give them a designated place they can park.</p> <p>The current car park attendant you have in Thame is a joke and power hungry.</p> <p>In conclusion I am opposing it massively unless you put something in place for residents that actually live in the town.</p>
(6) Member of public (Thame)	<p><b>Object</b> – I have looked at what is proposed for new parking arrangements for Thame, and I have to write that I think it is more of a money raising exercise than a real desire to improve parking in Thame.</p> <p>There are no new parking area proposals, merely a designation of existing parking sites for meter parking (hitherto free although time limited) and other parking areas marked out for permit parking - again presumably for a price.</p> <p>How this introduces greater flexibility I do not know. In fact, with resident permit parking permits, general parking for shopping etc is reduced.</p> <p>Oxfordshire and the neighboring county of Buckinghamshire have been happily allowing thousands of new houses being built in and around Thame, with minimum infrastructure development. Thame is still having more houses being built having already plans for 1000 plus., Neighbouring villages (e.g. Haddenham) are building hundreds of new houses, without extra shopping facilities. Thame becomes the shopping centre for this part of the world.</p>

	<p>Putting in parking meters, and assigning residents permits, does not increase or improve parking - but increases Council revenue.</p> <p>Why not be honest and say so?</p> <p>How long before the proposed continued free parking in front of the town hall and in the Upper High Street (market ground) will continue - one year, two year??. Charging parking in these areas undoubtedly is in the Council's sights.</p>
<p>(7) Local business (Wheatley, London Road)</p>	<p><b>Object</b> – I suggest that with many consultations, formal or otherwise, the decision has been made and your plan will be followed. I very much hope my suspicions may be wrong in this matter?</p> <p>The change in parking control from Thame Town Council to Oxfordshire County Council made little sense. This further proposal made even less sense, and is dressed-up as an improvement? We all know it is about increased revenue!</p> <p>Revenue raising has a threshold, it is not unlike 'The Young's Modulus' Curve of Elasticity. By this, I mean:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Deterring Visitors and increasing the fiscal amounts you seek to take will exceed what can reasonably expected. The consequence is Shops doing less well, and at worst closing.</li> <li>• The consequence is a reduction on tax take and revenue. The 'numpties' amongst us then increasing parking charges to counter the loss of revenue, a well-trodden path in Oxfordshire.</li> </ul> <p>You will undoubtedly argue that you have little interest in Business Rates paid, VAT, Council Tax, and or Employer and Employee Tax contributions.</p> <p>I say to you: Thame High Street is a finely-balanced Eco System. Efforts to squeeze a little more will upset the balance, and in time will be counter-productive.</p> <p>I hope you will consider these views.</p>
<p>(8) Member of public (Thame, High Street)</p>	<p><b>Object</b> – I am raising one objection having looked at the plans carefully.</p>

	<p>16 and 16A is the public entrance to a busy Dental practice and private home, there are high steps out onto the pavement. Temporary ramps are put in place for wheelchair users to access the Dentist . The proposed placement of a parking meter as per plan will impede the placement of the ramp.</p> <p>Therefore If this is the planned location for a parking meter can task now that it be placed 3 meters to the right outside number 17 where the pavement widens.</p>
<p>(9) Member of public (Thame, Wellington Street)</p>	<p><b>Object</b> – As residents of Wellington Street, we wish to object to the above proposal. Parking is already extremely difficult due to the small amount of on-street parking available. This is made worse by the volume of visitors/ workers who already park here on a daily basis.</p> <p>It seems ridiculous to put even more pressure on this already difficult situation by adding extra vehicles, who as a result of the proposals would no longer be able park in the restricted areas.</p> <p>We do not expect to be able to park outside our house, but should be able to park within a reasonable distance in our own street.</p> <p>The extra pressure these proposed changes will put on residents trying to park will make living in Wellington Street untenable.</p>
<p>(10) Member of public (Email response)</p>	<p><b>Object</b> – I would like to place an observation to you regarding Residents Parking permits. OCC have agreed for a pedestrian crossing to be places along Wellington which we remove 6 legal parking places. Also at Goodson Mews there will be a further 4 car parking spaces removed.</p> <p>Kings Close have a number of homes that do not have off street parking. With the introduction of resident parking permits at Nelson Street, which doesn't have any parking restrictions. We humbly request for Kings Close and Wellington Street to also be given the same considerations.</p> <p>We believe that the introduction of paid parking within the town centre will cause the business parking to push further out into residential areas.</p>



	<p>I am opposed to paid meters within the Town Centre as I believe of the town centre and surrounding areas were monitored all day with a permanent traffic warden on site to uphold the current traffic order, fines would cover the costs.</p>
<p>(11) Member of public (Email response)</p>	<p><b>Object</b> – Thame is currently a thriving town, with a good and attractive variety of retailers. If you restrict easy parking you will destroy its accessibility and Kill the passing trade which frequents these retailers.</p> <p>I would urge the council to look closely at towns like Princess Risborough (Buckinghamshire) and Rickmansworth (Herts) where the council decided (against the locals wishes) to inflict parking charges on the high street. Gone are the retailers who dont have their own (very restrictive) parking behind their shops, and the high streets are now full of empty shops and charity shops, as their trade has been pushed to the supermarkets and retail parks where parking is free and easy.....</p> <p>Half an hours ‘free’ parking isn’t free - it’s a hassle and time consuming annoyance. By the time you find a ticket machine, enter your details, and return to your car, much of the free half hour has been used.... If you <b>ABSOLUTELY HAVE TO DO THIS</b>, then make the free period one hour and give the local traders a chance. Preferably keep Thame parking fee free.</p> <p>Your allocation of Blue Badge disabled parking bays is misguided. The post war baby boom means that the aged population is dominant and with that goes the ever increasing need for disabled parking bays - particularly in the high street.</p> <p>I feel extremely sorry for the local residents - inflicting residential parking permits again causes added expense, which no one needs in the current economic climate, and huge stress. Your proposed system of visitor permits is very unfair.</p> <p>Do the finances add up ? Chasing a few parking pounds, to kill the town ? I would be very interested in seeing your figures.</p> <p>In essence, please keep Thame parking free.</p>
<p>(12) Member of public (Thame, Gas Alley)</p>	

	<p><b>Object</b> – As the Waitrose &amp; Sainsburys carparks are free for 2 hours, I think people will go there rather than having the bother of P&amp;D machine queues. Which will mean that people wanting to shop in the supermarkets will have difficulty finding a place. The central car parks are already full, very often.</p> <p>I used to live in Corsham, Wilts – another market town, slightly smaller. The introduction of parking charges, coinciding with the banks closing down one by one was the death knell for the town. People hated it and shopped elsewhere, and the market stalls left as their sales went down and charges went up. This in turn affected the shops, as footfall decreased, so I moved to Thame which seemed a good sensible thriving place, with 4 banks (and an excellent market &amp; shops). Now it has only 2, and fear the fate of Thames, will be a disaster if parking is charged.</p>
<p>(13) Local business (Thame, Upper High Street)</p>	<p><b>Concerns</b> – 1) What are the provisions for people with more than one vehicle. I have a car and a van for work. My wife has a car. I'm told there will be 2 permits available per house, so what do you expect me to do with my work vehicle? Please let me know.</p> <p>2) Thame Music Academy at Upper High Street has visiting tutors who sometimes need to park for a full day. What provision is there for visiting tutors? Can we get Thame Music Academy permits that are available for visiting tutors? This is a much needed resource for Thame, and with all the added costs in heat/light etc I'm sure you can understand that more added costs could lead to the failure of the Academy which teaches a lot of people every week. As I'm sure you know, music and musical education is extremely important for many reasons - mental health being one, so I'm sure Oxfordshire County Council would like to support the Academy and make sure it stays open.</p> <p>I am not against a permit system, but there has to be provision for all. I'm sure there are families with children that have a car, so maybe 3 permits per household is fairer?</p> <p>I appreciate it can't be open ended, but the system has to be fair for those that have a work vehicle as well as a personal vehicle.</p>
<p>(14) Local business (Thame, East Street/Park Street)</p>	<p><b>Concerns</b> – As manager and resident of the Cross Keys pub I have grave concerns with what you're proposing. The pub lies on the corner of Park Street and East Street. I notice that you intend to restrict Park St and the High Street to residents. Though I agree with this in principle, as the status quo clearly needs addressing, it will leave us, and a number of houses in East St in no-man's land. Those working in Thame will no longer have access to</p>

	<p>Park St and parking spaces adjacent to the war memorial. This will undoubtedly result in them parking in East St. So the issue will still exist, it will just have moved on to a neighbouring street.</p> <p>Our official address is East Street. However, part of the property was previously registered as Park St. Does this mean we will not be entitled to apply for a permit? Should this be the case, we would no longer have access to any parking in the vicinity due to your new recommendations.</p> <p>I believe that the proposed parking permit area should encapsulate and include part of East Street, up to and including the terrace houses adjacent to the pub end. This area can cease once the drop curbs and driveway areas begin. But it would at least give those residents that completely rely on street parking somewhere to park near their homes. If this is not considered, residents of those homes, like ourselves will not have a permit and will have to battle for parking spaces due to people working in Thame taking up those areas.</p>
(15) Member of public (Haddenham)	<p><b>Concerns</b> – Its not clear how making some bays pay while the main car parks remain free will meet any of the objectives below:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• More efficient and effective enforcement of the restrictions.</li> <li>• Better turnover of parking spaces, creating more opportunities for users to park.</li> <li>• Introducing charges will encourage more trips to the centre to be made on foot.</li> <li>• A reduction of vehicles circulating looking for parking spaces.</li> </ul> <p>The following comments apply:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• Enforcement is needed whether paid or not - some paid parking might contribute to that but you should be explicit that it would be an increase contribution to enforcement costs</li> <li>• It will restrict the number of free spaces and increase the number of people trying to use them so cannot improve turnover and create more opportunities and will potentially increase circulation of vehicles looking for free spaces</li> <li>• Many people using Thame do not have the opportunity to come by foot. Thame serves a number of villages in Oxfordshire and Bucks.</li> </ul>
(16) Member of public (Thame, East Street)	<p><b>Concerns</b> – I have reviewed the plan for parking around the centre of Thame, and feel that there needs to be a broader view on permit parking in area.</p>

	<p>I would like to state from the beginning that I have no issue with people working in Thame parking in our street, they need to park close to their employer, without any restriction. What does need to be brought in, is getting the parking spaces marked properly so when people do park, they don't take up two spaces, which will obviously have a knock on effect when other people try to park.</p> <p>In the propositions, the only real residential street to be allocated parking permits is Park Street. I can tell you from my local knowledge, that numerous residents from Park Street, use East Street to park their cars when there is not enough parking in Park Street, this situation will continue and probably worsen once the permits come into force. I have asked your council at a previous meeting with Thame Council about Permit Parking, and I was told that this was not possible, but now this appears to have changed.</p> <p>So instead of just looking at just one residential street in central Thame, how about looking at a more structured response to the issue, and not put things off.</p> <p>I suggested years ago, that you would obtain more parking on East Street, if you changed it to one way, up to the hospital, and then have diagonal parking along the street, with the correct road calming measures, it would also limit the speeding drivers doing upwards of 50 mph down the road.</p> <p>So please before any decision is made on permits, consider what is being proposed, and what the knock on effects maybe, as Nelson Street, Wellington Street and North Street will suffer.</p>
(17) Local business (Thame, High Street)	<p><b>Concerns</b> – What is the level of enforcement going to be (full time attendant or part time 8am – 5pm?)</p> <p>Is there a provision for a PARKING Bay for deliveries to High Street shops &amp; local residences ? as there are a large number of deliveries who currently double park along the High Street and greatly affect the traffic flow within the Town Centre and up towards the North Street roundabout and down Southern Road Roundabout</p> <p>These proposals will only work if there is affective enforcement and a balance between local business, residence, &amp; visitors, Currently if on the days when there is a limited enforcement offenders are currently been notified of potential enforcement by a WhatsApp alert, something that clearly does not work and allows repeat offenders to escape been caught.</p>

<p>(18) Member of public (Thame, High Street)</p>	<p><b>Concerns</b> – I have studied the proposed consultation papers &amp; parking maps for revised parking in Thame. I have lived on High Street, Thame for 24 years &amp; we have always parked our cars without any restrictions in the Lower High Street.</p> <p>I see from the plans that No 81 has been excluded from any of the proposed residents permit parking bays in the Lower High Street. I am on the cusp of Southern Road &amp; as there are no alternative plans shown for residential parking for my house, I would very much appreciate if the proposed plans could be revisited &amp; amended to include a residential parking permit in the Lower High Street for No. 81.</p>
<p>(19) Member of public (Thame, High Street)</p>	<p><b>Concerns</b> – I'm one of a number of residents on East Street, who are deeply concerned about the exclusion of East St within the scope of Resident's Parking Permits being allocated.</p> <p>As a resident of East Street, where we already have rather extreme difficulty in parking anywhere close to our property, we often have to use Park Street and the High Street to park. As your current proposal completely ignores &amp; excludes East Street for Residents Permits, but grants permits to most other residential roads flowing off the High Street (Park St, Nelson, Street, North Street, etc), resultantly, we will then suffer the even more heightened demand for parking on East Street, which is unacceptable and has been missed from your own evaluation..</p> <p>We have the same parking issues &amp; challenges as Park St, Nelson St, North St and others, where there is no resident-specific parking, but have a huge demand for parking, being in central Thame, which is highly problematic for us. In particular, there are some 20+ terraced properties to at the south end (Cross Keys end) of East Street, that have no allocated parking provision at all. This is the same situation as the other residential streets that you have suggested should get Resident's Parking Permits. The logic makes no sense in excluding East Street from this Resident's Permits scheme and appears it has been given no consideration at all. This needs to be revisited.</p> <p>We therefore insist that the mistake made in making this proposal, of excluding East Street for Resident's Parking Permits, is acted on and the scope of your proposal is extended to these East St residents, so that they are also able to obtain parking permits that cover East St and the other areas you mentioned permit holders will be able to park without charge in the town centre.</p>
<p>(20) Member of public (Thame, High Street)</p>	

	<p><b>Concerns</b> – Overall the proposals seem reasonable and well thought out. For residents living at or near 17 High St, however, there appears to be limited opportunity to park near their place of residence. There are five households (including my own) at number 17 alone, for example. I appreciate that lower High St, where residents permit holder parking areas are proposed, isn't a great distance away but it's far enough if there's a heavy load to carry or if resident mobility is a problem.</p> <p>Local authorities seem to generally support the principle that people should be encouraged to live on High Streets as this helps to promote the social and economic vitality of such streets.</p> <p>Under the new proposals, a Thame resident living on North St or Nelson St, for example, can have a permit to park very close to their place of residence (a good thing, of course!).</p> <p>Those of us living in the area of 17 High Street will not, it appears, have the opportunity to park very close to where we live - where parking is concerned it does feel as if we are being penalised for living on the High Street rather than being encouraged to do so.</p>
<p>(21) Member of public (Emmington, Emmington Road)</p>	<p><b>Concerns</b> – Simply put, this consultation lacks ambition. The basic principle of making parking in the centre of town the most expensive in order to encourage more walking, wheeling and cycling, is not adhered to. Please look into doing this.</p> <p>Additionally, please can you reassure me that the council has a plan to reduce car use for short journeys in the Thame area? It would be great to hear some specifics.</p>
<p>(22) Member of public (Thame, East Street)</p>	<p><b>Support</b> – We live adjacent to the areas mentioned in the scheme. We think generally the scheme makes sense however the exclusion of the top part of East St from the Cross Keys does not seem to make sense. Like the other streets mentioned, residents in East Street who live in terraced properties already suffer from congested on street parking, with many shoppers and workers in the Town using East Street for their parking.</p> <p>For example, we have the same challenges as Park St with parking and an almost identical makeup of housing types without and with their own parking. I can imagine that all the other parking measures will only serve to cumulatively increase demand and strain on East St parking, which at the present is already very congested.</p> <p>So therefore we support the scheme but would like to see it extended to sections of East Street.</p>

<p>(23) Member of public (Thame, North Street)</p>	<p><b>Support</b> – We were absolutely delighted to read your letter about Residents Permits in Thame – We are residents of North Street and have been campaigning for residents parking for many years – we were at the point of losing hope that anything might happen.</p> <p>Back in 2004 – I along with 4 other residents of Thame carried out a detailed survey of parking in North Street, High Street, Park Street and Nelson Street counting the number of cars at various times of the day and came across what was locally known as the “Thame Shuffle” – businesspeople who set their clocks for 3 hours and 2 hours so that they could move their car. We had high hopes when 4 new councillors were elected on a “parking ticket” but sadly shelved the idea when in post. I also spoke to the local MP John Howell who was fully supportive – but unfortunately, he is about as much use as a wet rag and did nothing. One person who campaigned on this issue longer than anyone was the last Thame Traffic Warden – Peggy Long MBE – she lobbied the police, the council and SODC and if there is any way that you can recognise her contribution that would be awesome.</p> <p>I have studied your proposals and the maps in the Thame Library, and I would like to congratulate you on the work that has been done – it is more advanced than our simple proposals and is a proper resident parking scheme. There are a few practical items that I would like to raise that I hope will help with the implementation:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>• I reckon that there are circa 50 resident parking permit locations on North Street (NS) and the Upper High Street (UHS) . I am not convinced that there are sufficient spaces for everyone on North Street – will NS residents be able to overflow to the spaces outside the shops on UHS (e.g., Mint Velvet)?</li> <li>• We will be applying for 2 parking permits when the scheme comes into force – on what basis will permits be allocated – length of residence in Thame – e.g., we have lived on NS for 20 years, are we guaranteed a space above someone who has lived on NS for 2 years?</li> <li>• We frequently see issues where parking is not optimised – please see picture below – as part of the process can you put parking bays in the spaces so that maximum use is made of the space. The parking outside our house 59 NS (NS east side) can take up to 7 cars – on many an occasion I have seen only 4 cars.</li> <li>• I would suggest that NS (east side) would benefit with some resurfacing and there is an opportunity for a parking bay to be created outside the old 2 brewers pub – people frequently park here.</li> <li>• Is provision going to be made for EV Chargers for Residents with electric vehicles – we are considering buying an electric vehicle – it would be nice to think we could get a resident space with a EV Charger – there is potential to charge more for the space and/or take revenue from the charger – I run an EV Charger Solution company and</li> </ul>

	<p>could certainly provide some advice/ ideas about what is possible – portable EV Chargers are coming to market in Q1 2023.</p> <p>Once again, many thanks for taking the initiative and the work that you have done</p>
<p>(24) Member of public (Thame, North Street)</p>	<p><b>Support</b> – I would like to take this opportunity to state that the proposals that have been made for parking in the town centre appear to be thorough and well considered.</p> <p>As a consequence I would like to thank everyone involved including Oxfordshire County Council officers and Oxfordshire Councillors.</p>